arXiv:1411.4082v1 [math.RT] 14 Nov 2014

THE DOUBLE COVER OF ODD GENERAL SPIN GROUPS, SMALL REPRESENTATIONS AND APPLICATIONS

EYAL KAPLAN

Abstract. We construct local and global metaplectic double covers of odd general spin groups, using the cover of Matsumoto of spin groups. Following Kazhdan and Patterson, a local exceptional representation is the unique irreducible quotient of a principal series representation, induced from a certain exceptional character. The global exceptional representation is obtained as the multi-residue of an Eisenstein series, it is an automorphic representation and decomposes as the restricted tensor product of local exceptional representations. As in the case of the small representation of SO_{2n+1} of Bump, Friedberg and Ginzburg, exceptional representations enjoy the vanishing of a large class of twisted Jacquet modules (locally), or Fourier coefficients (globally). Consequently they are useful in many settings, including lifting problems and Rankin-Selberg integrals. We describe one application, to a calculation of a co-period integral.

Let $G_n = GSpin_{2n+1}$ be the split odd general spin group of rank $n+1$. Its derived group is $G'_n = Spin_{2n+1}$, the simple split simply-connected algebraic group of type B_n. The group G_n occurs as a Levi subgroup of G'_{n+1}. For a local field F of characteristic 0, let $\widetilde{G}'_{n+1}(F)$ be the metaplectic double cover of $G'_{n+1}(F)$ defined by Matsumoto [58]. We can obtain a double cover $\widetilde{G}_n(F)$ of $G_n(F)$ by restriction.

Following Banks, Levi and Sepanski [10] we define a section $\mathfrak{s}$ and a 2-cocycle σ of $G'_{n+1}(F)$, representing the cohomology class in $H^2(G'_{n+1}(F), \{\pm 1\})$ of $\widetilde{G}'_{n+1}(F)$. We show that the restriction of σ to $G_n(F) \times G_n(F)$ satisfies a block-compatibility relation, with respect to standard Levi subgroups. This is a useful condition for studying parabolically induced representations.

Fix a Borel subgroup in $G_n(F)$. The preimage $\widetilde{T}_{n+1}(F)$ of the maximal torus $T_{n+1}(F)$ in the cover is a two step nilpotent subgroup, its irreducible genuine representations are parameterized by genuine characters of its center $C_{\widetilde{T}_{n+1}(F)}$. The analogous theory for covers of GL_n was developed by Kazhdan and Patterson [48], who studied a special class of genuine characters which they called "exceptional". In our setting these are characters χ of $C_{\widetilde{T}_{n+1}(F)}$ satisfying $\chi(\alpha^{\vee *}(x^{\mathfrak{l}(\alpha)})) = |x|$ for all simple roots α of G_n and $x \in F^*$, where $\alpha^{\vee *}$ is a certain lift of the coroot $\alpha^\vee$ to the cover and $\mathfrak{l}(\alpha)$ is the length of α.

We use an exceptional character χ to construct a genuine principal series representation of $\widetilde{G}_n(F)$, which has a unique irreducible quotient denoted $\Theta = \Theta_{G_n,\chi}$. The quotient Θ is an exceptional representation, or a small representation in the terminology of Bump, Friedberg and Ginzburg [16]. Our purpose is to develop a theory of these representations.

Let $\mathcal{O}$ be a unipotent class of G_n, it corresponds to a partition of $2n+1$ for which an even number appears with an even multiplicity. Let $V_\mathcal{O}$ be the corresponding unipotent subgroup. We consider certain characters of $V_\mathcal{O}(F)$, called "generic". Roughly, a character ψ of $V_\mathcal{O}(F)$ is generic if it is in general position. The definitions are similar to those of Bump, Friedberg and Ginzburg [16] (see also [21, 19]) for SO_{2n+1} and are given in Section 2.3.2. The following result characterizes the sense of "smallness" of Θ.

Author partially supported by the ISF Center of Excellence grant #1691/10.

Theorem 1. *Assume that F is a p-adic field with an odd residual characteristic. Let $\mathcal{O}_0 = (2^n1)$ if n is even, otherwise $\mathcal{O}_0 = (2^{n-1}1^3)$. Let $\mathcal{O}$ be any class greater than or non comparable with $\mathcal{O}_0$ and ψ be a generic character of $V_{\mathcal{O}}(F)$. The twisted Jacquet module of Θ with respect to $V_{\mathcal{O}}(F)$ and ψ is zero.*

Theorem 1 is proved in Section 2.3.2. To remove the restriction on the residual characteristic, we only need to know that exceptional representations of the double cover of $GL_n(F)$ (with the [48] parameter c = 0) do not have Whittaker models, for $n \geq 3$ and even residual characteristic, this is an expected result ([18] p. 145, see [23] Lemma 6).

The local theory has a global counterpart. Let F be a number field with an adèles ring $\mathbb{A}$. Let $\Theta = \Theta_{G_n,\chi}$ be the exceptional representation of $\widetilde{G}_n(\mathbb{A})$ defined with respect to a global exceptional character χ. It has an automorphic realization as the multi-residue of an Eisenstein series with respect to the Borel subgroup. Also $\Theta \cong \prod'_\nu \Theta_{G_n,\chi_\nu}$ (restricted tensor product). The analogs of the Jacquet modules are Fourier coefficients, over the quotient $V_{\mathcal{O}}(F)\backslash V_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathbb{A})$, with respect to generic characters of $V_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathbb{A})$ trivial on $V_{\mathcal{O}}(F)$. See Section 3.4.4 for the definitions. The local-global principle (see e.g. [39] Proposition 1) immediately implies the following global corollary of Theorem 1.

Theorem 2. *Let $\mathcal{O}$ be any orbit greater than or non comparable with $\mathcal{O}_0$. Any Fourier coefficient with respect to $\mathcal{O}$ and a generic character vanishes identically on the space of Θ.*

A minimal representation is a representation supported on the minimal coadjoint orbit. If $n \geq 4$, Vogan [83] (Theorem 2.13) proved that SO_{2n+1} (or its cover groups) do not afford such representations. Bump, Friedberg and Ginzburg [16] constructed a local and global "small" representation $\Theta_{SO_{2n+1}}$ of SO_{2n+1}. It is a representation of a cover $\widetilde{SO}_{2n+1}(F)$, this cover was obtained by restriction of the 4-fold cover of $SL_{2n+1}(F)$ of Matsumoto [58]. In the cases $n = 2, 3$ it is in fact the minimal representation. It is small in the sense that it is supported on the orbit $\mathcal{O}_0$ [16, 17]. The use of the 4-fold cover implies a minor technical restriction on the field, namely that -1 is a square.

The arguments of Vogan [83] apply also to G_n, that is, for $n \geq 4$ there is no minimal representation. It is reasonable to call Θ a small representation of G_n.

Our local and global results are parallel to those of [16, 17] and are obtained using similar methods. For example, because the unipotent subgroups of G_n are in bijection with those of SO_{2n+1}, manipulations on Jacquet modules are similar. One notable difference, is in the restriction of the cover to Levi subgroups. In contrast with the cover of SO_{2n+1}, here direct factors of Levi subgroups do not commute in the cover. This implies that representations of Levi subgroups cannot be studied using the usual tensor product. In this property, as well as in other details, the cover of G_n is more related to the cover of GL_n, than to that of SO_{2n+1}.

Let $Q_k = M_k \ltimes U_k$ be a maximal parabolic subgroup with a Levi part M_k isomorphic to $GL_k \times G_{n-k}$. In the particular case of $k = n$, $\widetilde{GL}_n(F)$ and $\widetilde{G}_0(F)$ do commute and we can define a tensor product. Let $\chi^{(1)}$ be an exceptional character in the sense of Kazhdan and Patterson [48] and let $\Theta_{GL_n,\chi^{(1)}}$ be the corresponding global exceptional representation of the double cover $\widetilde{GL}_n(\mathbb{A})$ of [48]. Also, let $\chi^{(2)}$ be a genuine character of $\widetilde{G}_0(\mathbb{A})$ (this cover is split). Assume $\chi = \chi^{(1)} \otimes \chi^{(2)}$, for the precise meaning of this equality see Section 3.3. We compute the constant term of an automorphic form θ in the space of Θ along the unipotent radical U_n and prove the following result.

Theorem 3. *The function $m \mapsto \theta^{U_n}(m)$ on $\widetilde{M}_n(\mathbb{A})$ belongs to the space of*

$$\Theta_{GL_n,|\det|^{-1/2}\chi^{(1)}} \otimes \Theta_{G_0,\chi^{(2)}}.$$

The definition of the constant term θ^{U_n} and the proof of the theorem occupy Section 3.4.3. Note that $|\det|^{-1/2}\chi^{(1)}$ is also an exceptional character.

For SO_{2n+1} and any k, the mapping $m \mapsto \theta^{U_k}(m)$ belongs to the space of the tensor product $\Theta_{GL_k} \otimes \Theta_{SO_{2(n-k)+1}}$, for a uniquely determined exceptional representation Θ_{GL_n} ($\Theta_{SO_{2(n-k)+1}}$ is unique). This was conjectured in [16] and proved for $k = 1$, and in general proved in [44]. We mention that for the current applications the case of $k = n$ is sufficient. For the lifting results of [17] (see below) the constant term was not used.

We will study representations of M_k using a "larger" induced representation, similar to the construction of Kable [42], see Section 2.2.4. A metaplectic tensor product for cover groups of GL_n has been studied in [24, 77, 42, 61, 80], but will not be used here. Refer to the discussion in Section 2.2.5.

There are several applications to our work. Essentially, one can simply replace $\Theta_{SO_{2n+1}}$ with Θ. This has the benefit of removing the restriction on the field with respect to the 4-th roots of unity.

We describe one application, whose details are given in Section 4. In general, let G be a split reductive algebraic F-group, where F is a number field. Let $Q = M \ltimes U$ be a maximal parabolic subgroup of G with a Levi part M and let τ be an irreducible unitary cuspidal globally generic automorphic representation of $M(\mathbb{A})$. Denote the central character of τ by ω_τ. Denote by $E(g;\rho,s)$ the Eisenstein series corresponding to an element ρ in the space of the representation of $G(\mathbb{A})$ induced from τ; $g \in G(\mathbb{A})$ and $s \in \mathbb{C}$. For $s_0 \in \mathbb{C}$, let $E_{s_0}(g;\rho)$ denote the residue of $E(g;\rho,s)$ at s_0. The space spanned by the residues $E_{s_0}(\cdot;\rho)$ is called the residual representation E_τ.

Periods of automorphic forms are often related to poles of L-functions and to questions of functoriality. Ginzburg, Jiang and Soudry [28] described such relations in a general setup and considered several examples. They conjectured ([28] Conjecture 1.4) that the pole at $s = 1$ of a partial L-function corresponding to τ is related to the non-triviality of certain period integrals, the existence of a residual representation and the existence of a representation τ_0 such that τ is the Langlands functorial transfer of τ_0.

Among the examples given in [28] is the case of $G = SO_{2n+1}$ and $M = GL_n$. Let A^+ be the subgroup of idèles of F whose finite components are trivial, and Archimedean components are equal, real and positive. Assume that ω_τ is trivial on A^+. The pole of the Eisenstein series at $s = 1/2$ is determined by the presence of a pole of the partial symmetric square L-function at $s = 1$. In [44] we elaborated on this case and proved a result relating a co-period integral

$$\int_{SO_{2n+1}(F)\backslash SO_{2n+1}(\mathbb{A})} E_{1/2}(g;\rho)\theta(g)\theta'(g)dg$$

to the "theta period" integral

$$\int_{GL_n(F)\backslash GL_n(\mathbb{A})^1} \rho(b)\theta^{U_n}(b)\theta'^{U_n}(b)db.$$

Here θ (resp. θ') is an automorphic form in the space of $\Theta_{SO_{2n+1},\vartheta}$ (resp. $\Theta_{SO_{2n+1},\vartheta^{-1}}$), where ϑ is a character of order 4 of the group of 4-th roots of unity (ϑ is implicit in the notation $\Theta_{SO_{2n+1}}$); $GL_n(\mathbb{A})^1$ is the kernel of $|\det|$ on $GL_n(\mathbb{A})$.

Bump and Ginzburg [18] constructed a Rankin-Selberg integral representing the partial symmetric square L-function. In particular, they showed that if this function has a pole at $s = 1$, a certain period integral does not vanish. Their period integral was related in [44], under an additional assumption on ω_τ, to the theta period above.

Using the exceptional representation Θ, this result can be put in a more general setting of G_n and in particular, will hold for any number field. Let τ be as above (but without the assumption $\omega_\tau|_{A^+} = 1$) and η be a unitary Hecke character. The Eisenstein series $E(g;\rho,s)$ is now defined with respect to G_n and the parabolic subgroup Q_n. According to Hundley and Sayag [36], the series $E(g;\rho,s)$ is holomorphic at $\Re(s) > 0$ except perhaps for a simple pole at $s = 1/2$. The existence of this pole is determined by the presence of a pole of the partial L-function $L^S(s,\tau,Sym^2\otimes\eta)$ at $s = 1$ (in [36] the twisting is with respect to η^{-1} but their conventions are different, see Remark 4.1). Takeda [81] constructed a Rankin-Selberg integral for this function and proved that if S is large enough and $\omega_\tau^2\eta^n \neq 1$, then $L^S(s,\tau,Sym^2\otimes\eta)$ is holomorphic at $s = 1$. In particular, the series is holomorphic at $s = 1/2$ unless $\omega_\tau^2\eta^n$ is trivial on A^+.

Denote the center of $G_n(\mathbb{A})$ by $C_{G_n(\mathbb{A})}$. We select global exceptional characters χ and χ' such that $\chi\cdot\chi'\cdot\eta = 1$ on $C_{G_n(\mathbb{A})}$. Let θ (resp. θ') belong to the space of $\Theta_{G_n,\chi}$ (resp. $\Theta_{G_n,\chi'}$). Here is our result, which follows by a minor modification to [44].

Theorem 4. *Consider the co-period integral*

$$\mathcal{I}(E_{1/2}(\cdot;\rho),\theta,\theta') = \int_{C_{G_n(\mathbb{A})}G_n(F)\backslash G_n(\mathbb{A})} E_{1/2}(g;\rho)\theta(g)\theta'(g)dg.$$

Assume that $\omega_\tau^2\eta^n$ is trivial on A^+. Then the following holds.

(1) There is a normalization of measures (explicitly given in the proof) such that

$$\mathcal{I}(E_{1/2}(\cdot;\rho),\theta,\theta') = \int_K \int_{GL_n(F)\backslash GL_n(\mathbb{A})^1} \rho(bk)\theta^{U_n}(bk)\theta'^{U_n}(bk)dbdk.$$

Here K is the product of local maximal compact subgroups.

(2) The co-period $\mathcal{I}(E_{1/2}(\cdot;\rho),\theta,\theta')$ is nonzero for some (ρ,θ,θ') if and only if

$$\int_{GL_n(F)\backslash GL_n(\mathbb{A})^1} \rho_1(m)\theta_1(b)\theta_1'(b)db \neq 0$$

for some cusp form ρ_1 in the space of τ, and θ_1 (resp. θ_1') in the space of $\Theta_{GL_n,|\det|^{-1/2}\chi^{(1)}}$ (resp. $\Theta_{GL_n,|\det|^{-1/2}\chi'^{(1)}}$).

The proof is given in Section 4. Note that according to Theorem 3, the function θ^{U_n} belongs to the space of an exceptional representation on $\widetilde{GL}_n(\mathbb{A})$.

Theorem 4 motivates a local counterpart, which will be used as an ingredient in a proof of a conjecture of Lapid and Mao on Whittaker-Fourier coefficients [54], for even orthogonal groups. Let ε be Arthur's elliptic tempered parameter for SO_{2n} and $\mathcal{S}_\varepsilon$ be the corresponding group [2]. Assume we have an irreducible automorphic cuspidal (ψ-)generic representation π of $SO_{2n}(\mathbb{A})$ in the A-packet associated to ε (π is expected to be unique). Further let W and $W^\wedge$ be two global Whittaker-Fourier coefficients on the spaces of π and $\pi^\wedge$ ($\pi^\wedge$ - the contragradient representation). The conjecture of Lapid and Mao relates the product $W(e)W^\wedge(e)$ to the size of $\mathcal{S}_\varepsilon$. The exceptional representation of G_n can perhaps be also used to prove the conjecture for $GSpin_{2n}$.

The Minimal representation for the group SO_7, which is a representation of $\widetilde{SO}_7$, was constructed and studied by Roskies [68], Sabourin [69] and Torasso [82]. It was used by Bump,

Friedberg and Ginzburg [15] to construct a Rankin-Selberg integral for the 14-dimensional irreducible representation of the L-group of SO_7, corresponding to the third fundamental weight. Bump, Friedberg and Ginzburg [17] used $\Theta_{SO_{2n+1}}$ to construct a lift, with certain functorial properties, from genuine automorphic representations of $\widetilde{SO}_k(\mathbb{A})$ to $\widetilde{SO}_m(\mathbb{A})$, for integers k and m of different parity. Both results can be extended to the context of G_n. In particular, our results lead to a lift from genuine automorphic representations of $\widetilde{GSpin}_k(\mathbb{A})$ to $\widetilde{GSpin}_m(\mathbb{A})$.

Loke and Savin [56] constructed local and global exceptional representations for simply connected Chevalley groups. Their approach was different from [48]. They started with defining a global automorphic representation π, which was invariant under the action of the Weyl group. Their local and global exceptional representations were obtained by unramified twists of π_ν or π. The global representation was also realized as a multi-residue of an Eisenstein series. Their exposition is elegant and applicable to a wide range of groups.

Our results have some overlap with an ongoing work of Loke and Savin[1], we thank them for informing us about their work. They and the author were working independently. The approach and techniques are different. For example, they do not use a cocycle.

Minimal representations have been studied and used by many authors. The fundamental example is the Weil representation of $\widetilde{Sp}_n$, which was used in the theta correspondence between a pair of dual reductive groups, to lift representations from one group to another [66]. The Weil representation also played an important role in the descent method, in the construction of Fourier-Jacobi coefficients [33, 41, 74, 35]. Among the works on minimal representations are [70, 71, 25, 55]. Works on minimal representations for simply laced groups include [83, 45, 47, 14, 30, 46]. Minimal representations enjoy the vanishing of a large class of Fourier coefficients, which makes them valuable for applications involving lifts and Rankin-Selberg integrals [34, 26, 29].

Early works on the metaplectic groups include the work of Weil [84] on $\widetilde{Sp}_n$, Kubota [50, 51] who studied the r-fold cover of GL_2 and Moore [63] and Steinberg [76], who studied central extensions of simple Chevelley groups and also considered metaplectic groups. Matsumoto [58] constructed the metaplectic r-fold cover of any simple simply connected split group G over a local field. For GL_n, the metaplectic groups were constructed and studied by Kazhdan and Patterson [48]. Over a p-adic field containing $2r$ different $2r$-th roots of unity and such that $2r$ is coprime to the residue characteristic, McNamara [60] constructed $\widetilde{G}$ for any split reductive group G, using the results of Brylinski and Deligne [38] and Finkelberg and Lysenko [22]. Sun [78] studied metaplectic covers of G, defined using covers of its derived group G', assuming that G is also connected and G' is a simple simply connected Chevalley group.

Banks, Levy and Sepanski [10] elaborated on the work of Matsumoto [58], by describing an explicit section and a 2-cocycle σ_G representing the corresponding cohomology class in $H^2(G(F),\mu_r)$ of the cover of [58], where F is a local field and μ_r is the subgroup of r r-th roots of unity. They proved several compatibility results, which make their cocycle a convenient choice. For example, if H is a "standard" subgroup of G, which means that H is a simple simply connected split group generated in G by certain data, the restriction of σ to $H(F)\times H(F)$ is σ_H. The cocycle $\sigma_{SL_{n+1}}$ for $SL_{n+1}(F)$ was used in [10] to define a cocycle on $GL_n(F)$, which (in contrast with the cocycle of [48]) is block-compatible.

[1]private communication.

The group $GSpin_m$ has been the focus of study of a few recent works. Asgari [4, 5] studied its local L-functions, Asgari and Shahidi [6, 7] proved functoriality results and Hundley and Sayag [36] extended the descent construction to $GSpin_m$.

Acknowledgments. I wish to express my gratitude to Erez Lapid for suggesting this project to me and for helpful and inspiring conversations. I thank Daniel Bump, Solomon Friedberg, David Ginzburg, Anthony Kable, Paul Mezo, Gordan Savin and Shuichiro Takeda for helpful conversations. Part of this work was done while the author was participating in the workshop "Advances in the theory of automorphic forms and their L-functions" in the Erwin Schrödinger Institute in Vienna. I would like to thank Joachim Schwermer and the institute for their kind hospitality.

Contents

1. Preliminaries 7
1.1. The groups 7
1.2. Properties of $GSpin_{2n+1}$ 8
1.3. Further definitions and notation 9
1.3.1. Central extensions 9
1.3.2. Representations 10
1.3.3. The Hilbert symbol 10
1.3.4. The Weil factor 10
2. Local theory 10
2.1. The double cover of $G_n(F)$ 10
2.1.1. Definition of the r-fold cover 11
2.1.2. Restriction to "standard" subgroups 13
2.1.3. Splitting of the cover for $r = 2$ and $n \leq 1$ 13
2.1.4. A splitting of the hyperspecial subgroup 13
2.1.5. Block-compatibility 14
2.1.6. Subgroups of the torus 17
2.2. Principal series representations 19
2.2.1. Representations of the torus 19
2.2.2. Unramified representations 20
2.2.3. Intertwining operators 21
2.2.4. Structure of certain induced representations 22
2.2.5. Discussion on the metaplectic tensor product 25
2.3. Exceptional (small) representations 26
2.3.1. Definition and basic properties 26
2.3.2. Vanishing results 30
2.3.3. Explicit construction of exceptional characters 38
3. Global theory 39
3.1. The r-fold cover of $G_n(\mathbb{A})$ 39
3.2. Representations, intertwining operators and Eisenstein series 40
3.3. Induction from M_n 41
3.4. Global exceptional (small) representations 42
3.4.1. Definition and basic properties 43
3.4.2. Explicit construction of exceptional characters 43

3.4.3. The constant term 44
3.4.4. Vanishing results 47
4. Application - the theta period 48
References 52

1. Preliminaries

1.1. **The groups.** Let F be a field of characteristic 0. For any $r \geq 1$, let $\mu_r = \mu_r(F)$ be the subgroup of the r-th roots of unity in F. Put $F^{*r} = (F^*)^r$. If F is any local field, let $(,)_r$ be the Hilbert symbol of order r of F, and we usually denote by ψ a fixed nontrivial additive character of F. Then γ_ψ is the normalized Weil factor associated to ψ ([84] Section 14, $\gamma_\psi(a)$ is $\gamma_F(a,\psi)$ in the notation of [67], $\gamma_\psi(\cdot)^4 = 1$). If F is a local p-adic field, its ring of integers is $\mathfrak{O}$, the maximal ideal is $\mathcal{P} = \varpi\mathfrak{O}$ and $|\varpi|^{-1} = q = |\mathfrak{O}/\mathcal{P}|$.

In the group GL_n, fix the Borel subgroup $B_{GL_n} = T_{GL_n} \ltimes N_{GL_n}$ of upper triangular invertible matrices, where T_{GL_n} is the diagonal torus. Denote by I_n the identity matrix of $GL_n(F)$.

We define the special odd orthogonal group

$$SO_{2n+1}(F) = \{g \in SL_{2n+1}(F) : {}^t g J_{2n+1} g = J_{2n+1}\},$$

where ${}^t g$ is the transpose of g and for any $k \geq 1$, $J_k \in GL_k(F)$ is the matrix with 1 on the anti-diagonal and 0 elsewhere. Fix the Borel subgroup $B_{SO_{2n+1}} = T_{SO_{2n+1}} \ltimes N_{SO_{2n+1}}$ where $B_{SO_{2n+1}} = B_{GL_{2n+1}} \cap SO_{2n+1}$ and $T_{SO_{2n+1}}$ is the torus. If $t \in T_{SO_{2n+1}}(F)$, $t = diag(t_1, \dots, t_n, 1, t_n^{-1}, \dots, t_1^{-1})$, where $diag(\cdots)$ denotes a diagonal or block diagonal matrix. Denote by ϵ_i, $1 \leq i \leq n$, the i-th coordinate function, $\epsilon_i(t) = t_i$.

Let $Spin_{2n+1}$ be the simple split simply-connected algebraic group of type B_n. It is the algebraic double cover of SO_{2n+1}. The standard Borel subgroup $B_{Spin_{2n+1}}$ of $Spin_{2n+1}$ is the preimage of $B_{SO_{2n+1}}$, $B_{Spin_{2n+1}} = T_n \ltimes N_{Spin_{2n+1}}$. Each ϵ_i can be pulled back to T_n, this pull back will still be denoted ϵ_i. Denote the set of roots of $Spin_{2n+1}$ by $\Sigma_{Spin_{2n+1}}$ and the positive roots by $\Sigma^+_{Spin_{2n+1}}$. The set of simple roots of $Spin_{2n+1}$ is $\Delta_{Spin_{2n+1}} = \{\alpha_i : 1 \leq i \leq n\}$, where $\alpha_i = \epsilon_i - \epsilon_{i+1}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ and $\alpha_n = \epsilon_n$.

Define $\epsilon_i^\vee$ with respect to the standard $\mathbb{Z}$-pairing $(,)$, i.e., $(\epsilon_i, \epsilon_j^\vee) = \delta_{i,j}$. The set $\Delta_n^\vee = \{\alpha_i : 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ of simple coroots is given by $\alpha_i^\vee = \epsilon_i^\vee - \epsilon_{i+1}^\vee$ for $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ and $\alpha_n^\vee = \epsilon_n^\vee$. Because $Spin_{2n+1}$ is simply connected, any $t \in T_n(F)$ can be written uniquely as $t = \prod_{i=1}^n \alpha_i^\vee(t_i)$ for $t_i \in F^*$. For a description of the Levi subgroups of $Spin_{2n+1}$ see Matić [57].

The group $GSpin_{2n+1}$ is an F-split connected reductive algebraic group, which can be defined using a based root datum as in [5, 6, 36]. It is also embedded in $Spin_{2n+3}$ as the Levi part of the parabolic subgroup corresponding to $\Delta_{Spin_{2n+3}} - \{\alpha_1\}$ (see [57]). Since we will be constructing a cover of $GSpin_{2n+1}(F)$ using a cover of $Spin_{2n+3}(F)$, it is natural for us to view $GSpin_{2n+1}(F)$ as this subgroup. Henceforth we adapt this identification. The simple roots of $GSpin_{2n+1}$ are $\{\alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_{n+1}\}$. In the degenerate case $n = 0$, $GSpin_{2n+1} = GL_1$.

The group $Spin_{2n+1}$ is the derived group of $GSpin_{2n+1}$. Denote $G_n = GSpin_{2n+1}$ and $G'_n = Spin_{2n+1}$. Additionally, let Σ_{G_n} (resp. $\Sigma^+_{G_n}$) denote the set of roots (resp. positive roots) of G_n, determined according to the embedding $G_n < G'_{n+1}$. The set of simple roots of G_n is $\Delta_{G_n} = \Delta_{G'_{n+1}} - \{\alpha_1\}$. The corresponding Borel subgroup of G_n is $B_n = T_{n+1} \ltimes N_n$. For $0 \leq k \leq n$, denote by $Q_k = M_k \ltimes U_k$ the standard maximal parabolic subgroup of G_n with a Levi part M_k isomorphic to $GL_k \times G_{n-k}$. The modulus character of $Q(F)$ for a parabolic

subgroup $Q < G_n$ is denoted by $\delta_{Q(F)}$. Let W_n be the Weyl group of G_n. The longest element of W_n is denoted $\mathbf{w_0}$. If F is p-adic, let $K = G_n(\mathfrak{O})$ be the hyperspecial subgroup.

We will also encounter the quasi-split group $GSpin_{2n}$. Let SO_{2n} be a quasi-split even orthogonal group, split over F or a quadratic extension of F. The group $Spin_{2n}$ is the simply connected algebraic double cover of SO_{2n}. In the split case, it is the simple simply-connected algebraic group of type D_n. In the non-split case, its relative root system is of type B_{n-1}. Regarding SO_{2n} as a subgroup of GL_{2n}, define the Borel subgroup $B_{SO_{2n}} = SO_{2n} \cap B_{GL_{2n}}$. Then $B_{Spin_{2n}}$ is the preimage of $B_{SO_{2n}}$. This fixes a set of simple roots. The group $GSpin_{2n}$ can be defined as the Levi subgroup of the maximal parabolic subgroup of $Spin_{2(n+1)}$ corresponding to the subset of simple roots obtained by removing the first root ([49] Section 2.3). For a definition using a based root datum see [5, 6, 36].

In general if G is a group, denote by C_G the center of G. If $H < G$, $C(G,H)$ is the centralizer of H in G. For any two elements $x, y \in G$, $[x,y] = xyx^{-1}y^{-1}$ denotes their commutator. Also put ${}^x y = xyx^{-1}$ and ${}^x H = \{{}^x h : h \in H\}$.

1.2. **Properties of $GSpin_{2n+1}$.** We collect a few structure properties of G_n that will be used throughout. The center of G_n is

$$C_{G_n(F)} = \{\prod_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i^\vee(t_1^2)\alpha_{n+1}^\vee(t_1) : t_1 \in F^*\}. \tag{1.1}$$

If $t = \prod_{i=1}^{n+1} \alpha_i^\vee(t_i) \in T_{n+1}(F)$,

$$^{\mathbf{w_0}}t = \prod_{i=1}^{n+1} \alpha_i^\vee(t_i^{-1}) \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i^\vee(t_1^2)\alpha_{n+1}^\vee(t_1). \tag{1.2}$$

To see this one may work over the algebraic closure of F, where t is a product of elements $\alpha_i^\vee(y_i)$ with $2 \le i \le n+1$, which are inverted by $\mathbf{w_0}$, and an element of the center.

Let M be a Levi subgroups of G_n. As in classical groups, M is isomorphic to $GL_{k_1} \times \ldots \times GL_{k_l} \times G_{n-k}$, $k = k_1 + \ldots + k_l$, with $0 \le k \le n$ ([5]). We define an isomorphism of $GL_k \times G_{n-k}$ with M_k. This isomorphism can be used to define an isomorphism between $GL_{k_1} \times \ldots \times GL_{k_l} \times G_{n-k}$ and M using the standard embedding of $GL_{k_1} \times \ldots \times GL_{k_l}$ in GL_k.

The derived group SL_k of GL_k is generated by the root subgroups of $\{\alpha_i : 2 \le i \le k\}$. To complete the description of the embedding of GL_k, let $\eta_1^\vee, \ldots, \eta_k^\vee$ be the standard cocharacters of T_{GL_k} and map $\eta_i^\vee \mapsto \epsilon_{i+1}^\vee - \epsilon_1^\vee$ for $1 \le i \le k$. Under this embedding, the image of a torus element $\prod_{i=1}^{k} \eta_i^\vee(a_i)$ of $GL_k(F)$ is

$$\prod_{i=1}^{k} \alpha_i^\vee(\prod_{j=i}^{k} a_j^{-1}). \tag{1.3}$$

Regarding G_{n-k}, the set $\{\alpha_i : k+2 \le i \le n+1\}$ identifies G'_{n-k} and if $\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_{n-k+1}$ are the characters of T_{n-k+1}, define $\theta_1^\vee \mapsto \epsilon_1^\vee$ and for $2 \le i \le n-k+1$, $\theta_i^\vee \mapsto \epsilon_{k+i}^\vee$. Denote by $\beta_1^\vee, \ldots, \beta_{n-k+1}^\vee$ the simple coroots of T_{n-k+1}. We have $\beta_i^\vee = \theta_i^\vee - \theta_{i+1}^\vee$ for $1 \le i \le n-k$ and $\beta_{n-k+1}^\vee = 2\theta_{n-k+1}^\vee$. When $k = 0$, $\beta_i^\vee = \alpha_i^\vee$ for all $1 \le i \le n+1$. The image of $\prod_{i=1}^{n-k+1} \beta_i^\vee(t_i)$ in $G_n(F)$ is

$$\begin{cases} \prod_{i=1}^{k} \alpha_i^\vee(t_1) \prod_{i=1}^{n-k+1} \alpha_{k+i}^\vee(t_i) & k < n, \\ \prod_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i^\vee(t_1^2)\alpha_{n+1}^\vee(t_1) & k = n. \end{cases} \tag{1.4}$$

For $k=n$ the image of $\beta_1^\vee$ is $C_{G_n(F)}$.

The restriction of the projection $G'_n \to SO_{2n+1}$ to unipotent subgroups is an isomorphism. In particular, the unipotent radical U_k corresponds to the unipotent radical U'_k of the standard parabolic subgroup Q'_k of SO_{2n+1}, whose Levi part M'_k is isomorphic to $GL_k \times SO_{2(n-k)+1}$. In coordinates,

$$U'_k(F) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} I_k & u_1 & u_2 \\ & I_{2(n-k)+1} & u'_1 \\ & & I_k \end{pmatrix} \in SO_{2n+1}(F) \right\} \qquad (u'_1 = -J_{2(n-k)+1}\,{}^t u_1 J_k).$$

If $b_0 \in GL_k(F)$, b is the image of b_0 in $M_k(F)$ and b' is the image of b_0 in $M'_k(F)$ ($b' = diag(b, I_{2(n-k)+1}, J_k\,{}^t b^{-1} J_k)$), the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} U_k & \xrightarrow{\mapsto^b} & U_k \\ \downarrow & & \uparrow \\ U'_k & \xrightarrow{\mapsto^{b'}} & U'_k. \end{array}$$

Here the horizontal arrows denote conjugation.

For calculations it will sometimes be more convenient to use the cocharacters of T_{GL_n} and the coroot of T_1 to write an element in T_{n+1}, instead of the coroots of T_{n+1}. Then we write

$$t = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \eta_i^\vee(a_i)\beta_1^\vee(t_1) \tag{1.5}$$

and the image of t in $G_n(F)$ is

$$\prod_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i^\vee((\prod_{j=i}^{n} a_j^{-1})t_1^2)\alpha_{n+1}(t_1). \tag{1.6}$$

In these coordinates,

$${}^{\mathbf{wo}}t = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \eta_i^\vee(a_i^{-1})\beta_1^\vee((\prod_{i=1}^{n} a_i)^{-1}t_1), \tag{1.7}$$

$$\delta_{B_n(F)}(t) = \delta_{B_{SO_{2n+1}}(F)}(diag(a_1,\dots,a_n,1,a_n^{-1},\dots,a_1^{-1})) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} |a_i|^{2(n-i)+1}. \tag{1.8}$$

We use the character ϵ_1 to define the following "canonical" character Υ of G_n, which is the extension of $-\epsilon_1$ to G_n. The extension exists because $G_n(F) = \{\alpha_1^\vee(x) : x \in F^*\} \ltimes G'_n(F)$ and $\epsilon_1|_{T_{n+1}(F)\cap G'_n(F)}$ is trivial. We call Υ canonical because its restriction to GL_k is det, as is evident from (1.3). For example if t is given by (1.5), $\Upsilon(t) = t_1^{-2}\prod_{i=1}^n a_i$. The restriction of Υ to G_{n-k} is the corresponding character of G_{n-k}. For $n=0$, $\Upsilon(x) = x^{-2}$.

1.3. **Further definitions and notation.**

1.3.1. *Central extensions.* Let G be a group. We recall a few notions of abstract central extensions (i.e., ignoring topologies). A central extension of G by a group A is a short exact sequence of groups

$$1 \to A \xrightarrow{\iota} \widetilde{G} \xrightarrow{p} G \to 1$$

such that $\iota(A) < C_{\widetilde{G}}$. For any subset $X \subset G$, denote $\widetilde{X} = p^{-1}(X)$. Assume we have a fixed faithful character $\vartheta : A \to \mathbb{C}^*$. Let $H < G$. A representation π of $\widetilde{H}$ is called ϑ-genuine if

$\pi(\iota(a)h) = \vartheta(\iota(a))\pi(h)$ for all $a \in A$ and $h \in \widetilde{H}$. In particular if $A = \mu_2$, such a representation is simply called genuine. Any representation π' of G can be pulled back to a nongenuine representation of $\widetilde{G}$ by composing it with p.

A section of H is a mapping $\varphi : H \to \widetilde{G}$ such that $\varphi(1) = 1$ and $p \circ \varphi = id_H$. If $H_1 < H$, we say that φ splits H_1, or H_1 is split under φ, if the restriction $\varphi|_{H_1}$ is a homomorphism. In this case, because $\varphi(H_1) \cap \iota(A) = \{1\}$, $\widetilde{H}_1 = \iota(A) \cdot \varphi(H_1)$ (an inner direct product). Now any ϑ-genuine representation of $\widetilde{H}_1$ is uniquely determined by its restriction to $\varphi(H_1)$ and conversely, any representation of $\varphi(H_1)$ can be extended uniquely to a ϑ-genuine representation of $\widetilde{H}_1$. Of course, the splitting φ might not be unique, but once fixed, a representation of H_1 has a unique extension to a ϑ-genuine representation of $\widetilde{H}_1$.

A 2-cocycle defined on G is a mapping $\sigma : G \times G \to A$ such that $\sigma(1,1) = 1$ and for all $g, g', g'' \in G$,

$$\sigma(g,g')\sigma(gg',g'') = \sigma(g,g'g'')\sigma(g',g''). \tag{1.9}$$

If $\varphi : G \to \widetilde{G}$ is a section, then the function $(g,g') \mapsto \iota^{-1}(\varphi(g)\varphi(g')\varphi(gg')^{-1})$ is a 2-cocycle. Once we fix σ, the elements of $\widetilde{G}$ can be written as pairs (g,a) where $g \in G$ and $a \in A$. In this realization, the multiplication in $\widetilde{G}$ is defined by

$$(g,a) \cdot (g',a') = (gg', \sigma(g,g')aa').$$

Remark 1.1. *Note one subtlety of the notation. For clarity reasons, we write $\widetilde{X}(F)$ instead of $\widetilde{X(F)}$, but always mean $p^{-1}(X(F))$.*

1.3.2. *Representations.* Let G be an ℓ-group (see [11] 1.1). Throughout, unless mentioned otherwise, representations of G will be complex, smooth and admissible. If π is a representation of G, the representation contragradient to π is denoted $\pi^\vee$. If π is a representation of $H < G$ and $g \in G$, ${}^g\tau$ is the representation of ${}^gH$ defined on the space of τ by ${}^g\tau(x) = \tau({}^{g^{-1}}x)$.

Parabolically induced representations will always be normalized as in [12] (1.8). We use *Ind* to denote regular induction, *ind* signifies compact induction. The (normalized) Jacquet functor $j_{U,\psi}$ is defined as in [12] (1.8), where U is a unipotent subgroup and ψ is a character of U. If ψ is trivial, we write $j_U = j_{U,\psi}$.

1.3.3. *The Hilbert symbol.* For an integer $r > 1$, let $c = (,)_r^{-1}$. We recall that c is an anti-symmetric bi-character, i.e., $c(1,1) = 1$, $c(xy,z) = c(x,z)c(y,z)$ and $c(x,y) = c(y,x)^{-1}$. Also, $c(x,y) = 1$ for all $y \in F^*$ if and only if $x \in F^{*r}$. If $|r| = 1$ in F^*, c is trivial on $\mathfrak{O}^* \times \mathfrak{O}^*$ and $c(x,y) = 1$ for all $y \in \mathfrak{O}^*$ if and only if $x \in \mathfrak{O}^* F^{*r}$ ([85] Section XIII.5 Proposition 6).

1.3.4. *The Weil factor.* Let ψ be given and γ_ψ be the Weil factor (see Section 1.1). We recall that $\gamma_\psi(xy) = \gamma_\psi(x)\gamma_\psi(y)(x,y)_2$, and if $a \in F^*$ and $\psi_a(x) = \psi(ax)$, $\gamma_{\psi_a}(x) = (a,x)_2\gamma_\psi(x)$. Moreover, $\gamma_{\psi_a} = \gamma_{\psi_b}$ if and only if $ab^{-1} \in F^{*2}$. Also $\gamma_\psi(x^2) = 1$ and $\gamma_\psi(x^{-1}) = \gamma_\psi(x)$. See the appendix of Rao [67].

2. Local theory

2.1. The double cover of $G_n(F)$.

2.1.1. *Definition of the r-fold cover.* Let F be a local field of characteristic 0 and let $r > 1$ be an integer. Assume that F contains all the r-th roots of unity, i.e. $|\mu_r| = r$. Let $\widetilde{G'}_{n+1}(F)$ be the r-fold cover of $G'_{n+1}(F)$, constructed by Matsumoto [58] using $c = (,)_r^{-1}$ as the Steinberg symbol. The group $\widetilde{G'}_{n+1}(F)$ fits into an exact sequence

$$1 \to \mu_r \xrightarrow{\iota} \widetilde{G'}_{n+1}(F) \xrightarrow{p} G'_{n+1}(F) \to 1.$$

Let $\widetilde{G}_n(F) = p^{-1}(G_n(F))$, we have an exact sequence

$$1 \to \mu_r \xrightarrow{\iota} \widetilde{G}_n(F) \xrightarrow{p} G_n(F) \to 1$$

and $\widetilde{G}_n(F)$ is an r-fold cover of $G_n(F)$.

Although most of this work will focus on the double cover, we start with the more general setting. From Section 2.1.6 onward, except for Section 3.1, we will assume $r = 2$.

Banks, Levy and Sepanski [10] gave an explicit description of a section $\mathfrak{s}$ of $G'_{n+1}(F)$ and used it to construct a 2-cocycle $\sigma_{G'_{n+1}}$, representing the cohomology class of $\widetilde{G'}_{n+1}(F)$ in $H^2(G'_{n+1}(F), \mu_r)$. We obtain a section of $G_n(F)$ and a 2-cocycle by restricting $\mathfrak{s}$ and $\sigma_{G'_{n+1}}$.

We briefly describe the construction and results of [10]. For $\alpha \in \Sigma_{G'_{n+1}}$, let $\mathcal{U}_\alpha$ be the corresponding root subgroup. Fix an isomorphism $n_\alpha : F \to \mathcal{U}_\alpha$ (based on an explicit decomposition of the Chevalley algebra corresponding to $G'_{n+1}(F)$). For $x \in F^*$, put $w_\alpha(x) = n_\alpha(x)n_{-\alpha}(-x^{-1})n_\alpha(x)$. Note that $\alpha^\vee(x) = h_\alpha(x)$ in the notation of [10] ($h_\alpha(x) = w_\alpha(x)w_\alpha(1)^{-1}$). If $\alpha' \in \Sigma_{G'_{n+1}}$, let $\langle \alpha, \alpha'^\vee \rangle$ be the standard pairing between characters and cocharacters, where $\alpha'^\vee$ is the coroot corresponding to α'.

Given $\alpha \in \Sigma_{G'_{n+1}}$, let $n^*_\alpha : F \to \widetilde{G'}_{n+1}(F)$ be the canonical lift of Steinberg [75]. Using these lifts one defines $w^*_\alpha(x)$ and $\alpha^{\vee *}(x)$: $w^*_\alpha(x) = n^*_\alpha(x)n^*_{-\alpha}(-x^{-1})n^*_\alpha(x)$ and $\alpha^{\vee *}(x) = w^*_\alpha(x)w^*_\alpha(1)$.

Let $\alpha \in \Delta_{G'_{n+1}}$. For any $x, y \in F^*$, define $c_\alpha(x, y) = c(x, y)$ if $n > 0$ and α is a long root, otherwise ($n = 0$ or $\alpha = \alpha_{n+1}$) put $c_\alpha(x, y) = c(x^2, y)$. Also set $w_\alpha = w_\alpha(-1)$ and $w^*_\alpha = w^*_\alpha(-1)$.

Remark 2.1. *In the case $n = 0$ there is only one root α_1, which is by definition a long root. In this case in* [10] *it was defined that $c_\alpha(x, y) = c(x, y)$. The present definition seems to be correct because it preserves the compatibility with restriction to "standard" subgroups, see Section 2.1.2.*

Following Matsumoto [58], Banks, Levi and Sepanski described a list of identities in the cover group describing the multiplication laws between the elements. The following partial list of identities in $\widetilde{G'}_{n+1}(F)$ ([10] Section 1) will be used repeatedly in computations:

$$\alpha^{\vee *}(x)\alpha^{\vee *}(y) = \iota(c_\alpha(x, y))\alpha^{\vee *}(xy), \tag{2.1}$$

$$\alpha^{\vee *}(x)\alpha'^{\vee *}(y) = \iota(c_\alpha(x, y^{\langle \alpha, \alpha'^\vee \rangle}))\alpha'^{\vee *}(y)\alpha^{\vee *}(x), \tag{2.2}$$

$$w^*_\alpha \alpha'^{\vee *}(x) w^{*-1}_\alpha = \alpha^{\vee *}(x^{-\langle \alpha, \alpha'^\vee \rangle})\alpha'^{\vee *}(x), \tag{2.3}$$

$$w^{*-1}_\alpha = w^*_\alpha \alpha^{\vee *}(-1)\iota(c_\alpha(-1, -1))^{r-1}, \tag{2.4}$$

$$w^*_{\alpha''}(x)n^*_{\alpha''}(z)w^*_{\alpha''}(x)^{-1} = n^*_{-\alpha''}(-x^{-2}z), \tag{2.5}$$

$$w^*_\alpha n^*_\alpha(x) = n^*_\alpha(-x^{-1})\alpha^{\vee *}(x^{-1})n^*_{-\alpha}(x^{-1}), \tag{2.6}$$

$$n^*_{\alpha'''}(z)\alpha^{\vee *}(y) = \alpha^{\vee *}(y)n^*_{\alpha'''}(zy^{-\langle \alpha''', \alpha'^\vee \rangle}), \tag{2.7}$$

$$w^{*-1}_\alpha n^*_{\alpha'''}(z)w^*_\alpha = n_{\mathbf{s}_\alpha \alpha'''}(d_{\alpha, \alpha'''}z). \tag{2.8}$$

Here $\alpha,\alpha'\in\Delta_{G'_{n+1}}$, $x,y\in F^*$, $z\in F$, $\alpha''\in\Sigma_{G'_{n+1}}$, $\alpha'''\in\Sigma^+_{G'_{n+1}}$; In (2.8) $\alpha\neq\alpha'''$, $\mathbf{s}_\alpha$ is the reflection along α in the Weyl group of G'_{n+1} and $d_{\alpha,\alpha'''}=\pm1$ depending only on $G'_{n+1}(F)$.

Banks, Levy and Sepanski [10] defined the following section $\mathfrak{s}$ of $G'_{n+1}(F)$. First, it is the only splitting of $N_{n+1}(F)$ and satisfies $\mathfrak{s}(n_\alpha(x))=n^*_\alpha(x)$ for all $\alpha\in\Sigma^+_{G'_{n+1}}$. On $T_{n+1}(F)$ it satisfies

$$\mathfrak{s}(\prod_{i=1}^{n+1}\alpha_i^\vee(t_i))=\alpha_{n+1}^{\vee\,*}(t_{n+1})\alpha_n^{\vee *}(t_n)\cdot\ldots\cdot\alpha_1^{\vee *}(t_1)\prod_{i=1}^{n+1}\iota(c_{\alpha_i}(t_i,t_i)). \tag{2.9}$$

Let $\mathfrak{W}_{n+1}$ be the set of elements $w=w_{\alpha_{i_1}}w_{\alpha_{i_2}}\ldots w_{\alpha_{i_k}}$, where $\alpha_{i_1},\ldots,\alpha_{i_k}\in\Delta_{G'_{n+1}}$ and w is assumed to be a reduced expression. For such w, put $l(w)=k$. As sets, $\mathfrak{W}_{n+1}\cong W_{n+1}$. We use boldface to denote the elements of W_{n+1}. If $\mathbf{w}\in W_{n+1}$, its representative in $\mathfrak{W}_{n+1}$ is w.

The section $\mathfrak{s}$ is extended to $G'_{n+1}(F)$ with the following properties ([10] Lemmas 2.2 and 2.3):

$$\mathfrak{s}(w_\alpha)=w^*_\alpha, \tag{2.10}$$

$$\mathfrak{s}(ww')=\mathfrak{s}(w)\mathfrak{s}(w'), \tag{2.11}$$

$$\mathfrak{s}(utwu')=\mathfrak{s}(u)\mathfrak{s}(t)\mathfrak{s}(w)\mathfrak{s}(u'). \tag{2.12}$$

Here $\alpha\in\Delta_{G'_{n+1}}$, $w,w'\in\mathfrak{W}_{n+1}$ satisfy $l(ww')=l(w)+l(w')$, $u,u'\in N_{G'_{n+1}}(F)$ and $t\in T_{n+1}(F)$.

Finally, the 2-cocycle $\sigma=\sigma_{G'_{n+1}}$ is defined by $\sigma(g,g')=\mathfrak{s}(g)\mathfrak{s}(g')\mathfrak{s}(gg')^{-1}$. The image of σ belongs to $\iota(\mu_r)$ but we implicitly compose it with ι^{-1}. The following formulas hold ([10] Proposition 2.4):

$$\sigma(ugu'',g'u')=\sigma(g,u''g'), \tag{2.13}$$

$$\sigma(t,w)=1, \tag{2.14}$$

where $u,u',u''\in N_{G'_{n+1}}(F)$, $t\in T_{n+1}(F)$ and $w\in\mathfrak{W}_{n+1}$.

We pull back the character Υ to a nongenuine character of $\widetilde{G}_n(F)$, still denoted Υ.

For any $g,g'\in G'_{n+1}(F)$ denote $[g,g']_\sigma=\sigma(g,g')\sigma(g',g)^{-1}$. Let $x,x'\in\widetilde{G}'_{n+1}(F)$ be with $p(x)=g$ and $p(x')=g'$. If $[g,g']=1$, then $\mathfrak{s}(gg')=\mathfrak{s}(g'g)$ and

$$[x,x']=\mathfrak{s}(g)\mathfrak{s}(g')\mathfrak{s}(g)^{-1}\mathfrak{s}(g')^{-1}=[g,g']_\sigma. \tag{2.15}$$

In particular x and x' commute if and only if $[g,g']=1$ and $[g,g']_\sigma=1$.

The following claim describes the value of the cocycle on the torus.

Claim 2.1. *Let $t=\prod_{i=1}^{n+1}\alpha_i^\vee(t_i)$, $t'=\prod_{i=1}^{n+1}\alpha_i^\vee(t'_i)$. Then*

$$\sigma(t,t')=c(t^2_{n+1},t'_{n+1})c(t_n,t'^{-2}_{n+1})\prod_{i=1}^{n}c(t_i,t'_i)\prod_{i=1}^{n-1}c(t_i,t'^{-1}_{i+1}).$$

In particular if $n=0$, $\sigma(t,t')=c(t_1^2,t'_1)$ and for $n=1$, $\sigma(t,t')=c(t_2^2,t'_2)c(t_1,t'^{-2}_2)c(t_1,t'_1)$.

Proof. The proof follows from (2.9), (2.1), (2.2) and the fact that for $1\leq i<i'\leq n+1$, if $i<i'-1$ then $\langle\alpha_i,\alpha^\vee_{i'}\rangle=0$; if $i'\leq n$, $\langle\alpha_{i'-1},\alpha^\vee_{i'}\rangle=-1$; and $\langle\alpha_n,\alpha^\vee_{n+1}\rangle=-2$. □

Note that a similar construction works for $GSpin_{2n}$. As explained in Section 1.1 this group can be regarded as a subgroup of $Spin_{2(n+1)}$, then the cover $\widetilde{GSpin_{2n}}(F)$ can be obtained by restriction from $\widetilde{Spin_{2(n+1)}}(F)$. Here $GSpin_{2n}$ will appear a priori as a subgroup of some G_m, so the cover is obtained by restricting $\widetilde{G}_m(F)$.

2.1.2. *Restriction to "standard" subgroups.* Let H be a simple simply-connected algebraic F-group, which is F-split. Let $\Delta \subset \Delta_{G'_{n+1}}$. Further assume that $H(F)$ is the subgroup of $G'_{n+1}(F)$ generated by the elements $\alpha^\vee(x)$, w_α and $n_{\alpha'}(y)$, where $\alpha \in \Delta$; $x \in F^*$; $\alpha' \in \Sigma^+_{G'_{n+1}}$ is spanned by the roots in Δ; and $y \in F$. Then $H(F)$ was called a "standard" subgroup of $G'_{n+1}(F)$ in [10]. According to [10] (Lemma 2.5 and its proof), the restriction of $\mathfrak{s}$ to $H(F)$ gives the section defined by [10] on $H(F)$ and $\sigma|_{H(F)\times H(F)}$ is the 2-cocycle of [10] on $H(F)$. Moreover, if $H_1(F), \dots, H_k(F)$ is a collection of standard subgroups that are mutually commuting and σ_i is the 2-cocycle on $H_i(F)$, by Theorem 2.7 of [10],

$$\sigma(g_1 \dots g_k, g'_1 \dots g'_k) = \prod_{i=1}^{k} \sigma_i(g_i, g'_i).$$

This property was used in [10] to define their block-compatible cocycle for $GL_n(F)$, see Section 2.1.5.

For example if $n > 0$, according to the embedding $M_{n-1} < G_n$, the group $G'_1(F)$ is the standard subgroup generated by $\{\alpha^\vee_{n+1}(x), w_{\alpha_{n+1}}, n_{\alpha_{n+1}}(y)\}$. If $\sigma_{G'_1}$ is the cocycle on $G'_1(F)$,

$$\sigma_{G'_1}(\alpha^\vee_{n+1}(t_1), \alpha^\vee_{n+1}(t'_1)) = \sigma(\alpha^\vee_{n+1}(t_1), \alpha^\vee_{n+1}(t'_1)) = c_{\alpha_{n+1}}(t_1, t'_1).$$

Since $n > 0$, $c_{\alpha_{n+1}}(t_1, t'_1) = c(t_1^2, t'_1)$. Therefore, when $n = 0$ we must define $c_{\alpha_1}(x, y) = c(x^2, y)$ (see Remark 2.1).

2.1.3. *Splitting of the cover for $r = 2$ and $n \leq 1$.* We have the following minimal cases.

Claim 2.2. *Assume $r = 2$. For $n \leq 1$, the cover $\widetilde{G}_n(F)$ splits under $\mathfrak{s}$. Moreover, for $n = 0$ the restriction of σ to $G_0(F) \times G_0(F)$ is trivial.*

Proof. In the case $n = 0$, $\sigma|_{G_0(F)\times G_0(F)} = 1$ because $c_{\alpha_1}(x, y) = c(x^2, y) = 1$. Assume $n = 1$. Since $G_1 = GL_2$, if the cocycle is nontrivial, then it is equal up to a coboundary to one of the cocycles defined by Kazhdan and Patterson [48], all of which are nontrivial on $SL_2(F)$. However, $\sigma|_{G'_1\times G'_1} = \sigma_{G'_1}$ (by Section 2.1.2) and $\sigma_{G'_1} = 1$, as can be seen using (2.1)-(2.7), note that we have only one simple root α_2 and in this case $c_{\alpha_2} = 1$. □

2.1.4. *A splitting of the hyperspecial subgroup.* Assume $|r| = 1$ in F (in particular, F is p-adic) and $q > 3$. By Moore [63] (p. 54-56), there is a unique splitting κ of $G'_{n+1}(\mathfrak{O})$, which is in particular a splitting of $K(= G_n(\mathfrak{O}))$. Denote $K^* = \kappa(K)$. As in [48] (Proposition 0.1.3), we have the following relation between κ and $\mathfrak{s}$.

Claim 2.3. *The sections $\mathfrak{s}$ and κ agree on $K \cap N_n(F)$, $K \cap T_{n+1}(F)$ and $\mathfrak{W}_n$ ($\mathfrak{W}_n \subset K$).*

Proof. Let $\alpha \in \Delta_{G'_{n+1}}$. First assume $\alpha \neq \alpha_{n+1}$ and let SL_2 be embedded in G'_{n+1} along α. Let σ_{SL_2} be the 2-cocycle of [10] on $SL_2(F)$. According to [10] (Corollary 3.8 and Lemma 2.5), σ_{SL_2} is the cocycle of Kubota [50] on $SL_2(F)$. Kubota [51] (Theorem 2) proved that the mapping $\gamma : SL_2(\mathfrak{O}) \to \mu_r$, which is 1 on $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} * & * \\ x & y \end{smallmatrix}\right)$ if $|x| \in \{0, 1\}$ and otherwise equals $c(x, y)$, satisfies $\sigma_{SL_2}(k, k') = \gamma(k)\gamma(k')\gamma(kk')^{-1}$.

Again by [10] (Lemma 2.5), $\mathfrak{s}(k)\mathfrak{s}(k')\mathfrak{s}(kk')^{-1} = \sigma_{SL_2}(k, k')$ whence $\varphi(k) = \mathfrak{s}(k)/\iota(\gamma(k))$ is a splitting of $SL_2(\mathfrak{O})$. Hence φ coincides with κ on $SL_2(\mathfrak{O})$ ([63] Lemma 11.1). Whenever $\gamma(k) = 1$, $\mathfrak{s}(k) = \kappa(k)$ and in particular $\mathfrak{s}$ and κ agree on $n_\alpha(\mathfrak{O})$, $\alpha^\vee(\mathfrak{O}^*)$, and w_α.

If $\alpha = \alpha_{n+1}$ and $r > 2$, restriction to $SL_2(F)$ gives a nontrivial cover (of order $r/\gcd(2, r)$) and the proceeding discussion applies (with γ defined using $c(,)^2$ instead of $c(,)$). If $r = 2$, $\mathfrak{s}|_{SL_2(F)}$ is a homomorphism ($\sigma_{G'_1} = 1$, see the proof of Claim 2.2), hence $\mathfrak{s}|_{SL_2(\mathfrak{O})} = \kappa|_{SL_2(\mathfrak{O})}$.

The section κ is in particular a splitting of $K \cap T_{n+1}(F)$ and the same holds for $\mathfrak{s}$ (because c is trivial on $\mathfrak{O}^* \times \mathfrak{O}^*$). Writing $t = \prod_{i=1}^{n+1} \alpha_i^\vee(t_i) \in K \cap T_{n+1}(F)$ ($t_i \in \mathfrak{O}^*$) and noting that $\mathfrak{s}(\alpha_i^\vee(t_i)) = \kappa(\alpha_i^\vee(t_i))$ for each i, since $\alpha_i^\vee(t_i)$ belongs to the copy of $SL_2(\mathfrak{O})$ along $\alpha_i \in \Delta_{G'_{n+1}}$, one deduces $\kappa(t) = \mathfrak{s}(t)$.

Regarding $\mathfrak{W}_n$, according to (2.11) it is enough to show $\kappa(w_\alpha) = \mathfrak{s}(w_\alpha)$ for $\alpha \in \Delta_{G_n}$, which holds because w_α belongs to a suitable copy of $SL_2(\mathfrak{O})$.

It remains to consider $\mathcal{U}_{\alpha'}$ where $\alpha' \in \Sigma^+_{G_n}$. Let $y \in \mathfrak{O}$ and take $\alpha \in \Delta_{G'_{n+1}}$, $x \in \mathfrak{O}$ and $w \in \mathfrak{W}_n$ such that $w^{-1} n_\alpha(x) w = n_{\alpha'}(y)$. The fact that κ is a splitting of K and the assertions already proved imply $\kappa(w^{-1} n_\alpha(x) w) = \mathfrak{s}(w)^{-1} \mathfrak{s}(n_\alpha(x)) \mathfrak{s}(w)$. Then (2.10), (2.11) and (2.8) give $\mathfrak{s}(w)^{-1} \mathfrak{s}(n_\alpha(x)) \mathfrak{s}(w) = n^*_{\alpha'}(y)$, whence $\mathfrak{s}(n_{\alpha'}(y)) = \kappa(n_{\alpha'}(y))$. Note that we actually apply (2.8) repeatedly, according to the decomposition of w into a product of simple reflections w_{α_i} ($\alpha_i \in \Delta_{G_n}$), and after j conjugations, if we have to conjugate $n^*_{\alpha'''}(x_j)$ by $w^*_{\alpha_i}$, then $\alpha''' \in \Sigma^+_{G_n} - \Delta_{G_n}$ whence $\alpha_i \neq \alpha'''$ (this is needed for (2.8)). □

2.1.5. *Block-compatibility.* As mentioned in the introduction, the 2-cocycle defined on $SL_{n+1}(F)$ was used in [10] to define a 2-cocycle σ_n for $GL_n(F)$. Specifically, they defined

$$\sigma_n(b, b') = c(\det b, \det b')^{-1} \sigma_{SL_{n+1}}(diag(b, \det b^{-1}), diag(b', \det b'^{-1})) \qquad (b, b' \in GL_n(F)).$$

If $n = 1$, $\sigma_n = 1$. Their cocycle is block-compatible ([10] Theorem 3.11), in the sense that for any $g_i, g'_i \in GL_{n_i}(F)$, $1 \leq i \leq l$,

$$\sigma_n(diag(g_1, \dots, g_l), diag(g'_1, \dots, g'_l)) = \prod_{i=1}^{l} \sigma_{n_i}(g_i, g'_i) \prod_{i<j} c(\det g_i, \det g'_j)^{-1}.$$

We seek similar block-compatibility. We begin with the following lemma, which encapsulates several arguments of [10] and summarizes a list of properties which, when satisfied by a pair of subgroups, implies a certain plausible block formula.

Lemma 2.4. *Assume H_1 and H_2 are two subgroups of $G'_{n+1}(F)$ with the following properties.*

(1) For each i and $h \in H_i$, there is a Bruhat decomposition $h = utwv$ with $u, v \in N_{G'_{n+1}}(F)$, $t \in T_{n+1}(F)$ and $w \in \mathfrak{W}_{n+1}$, and such that $u, t, w, v \in H_i$.
(2) The subgroups H_1 and H_2 commute.
(3) If $w_1 \in H_1 \cap \mathfrak{W}_{n+1}$ and $w_2 \in H_2 \cap \mathfrak{W}_{n+1}$, $l(w_1 w_2) = l(w_1) + l(w_2)$.
(4) If $i \in \{1, 2\}$, $w \in H_i \cap \mathfrak{W}_{n+1}$ and $t' \in H_{3-i} \cap T_{n+1}(F)$, then $\sigma(w, t') = 1$.
(5) If $t_1 \in H_1 \cap T_{n+1}(F)$ and $t_2 \in H_2 \cap T_{n+1}(F)$, then $\sigma(t_2, t_1) = 1$.

Then for any $h_1, h'_1 \in H_1$ and $h_2, h'_2 \in H_2$,

$$\sigma(h_1 h_2, h'_1 h'_2) = \sigma(h_1, h'_1) \sigma(h_2, h'_2) \sigma(h_1, h'_2).$$

Moreover, if $h_1 = u_1 t_1 w_1 v_1$ and $h'_2 = u'_2 t'_2 w'_2 v'_2$, with $u_1, v_1, u'_2, v'_2 \in N_{G'_{n+1}}(F)$, $t_1, t'_2 \in T_{n+1}(F)$, $w_1, w'_2 \in \mathfrak{W}_{n+1}$, $u_1, t_1, w_1, v_1 \in H_1$ and $u'_2, t'_2, w'_2, v'_2 \in H_2$, then

$$\sigma(h_1, h'_2) = \sigma(t_1, t'_2).$$

Proof. Let $i \in \{1, 2\}$, $t \in H_i \cap T_{n+1}(F)$, $w \in H_i \cap \mathfrak{W}_{n+1}$, $t' \in H_{3-i} \cap T_{n+1}(F)$ and $w' \in H_{3-i} \cap \mathfrak{W}_{n+1}$. Note that by (2) and (2.12),

$$\sigma(w, t') = \mathfrak{s}(w)\mathfrak{s}(t')\mathfrak{s}(wt')^{-1} = \mathfrak{s}(w)\mathfrak{s}(t')\mathfrak{s}(t'w)^{-1} = \mathfrak{s}(w)\mathfrak{s}(t')\mathfrak{s}(w)^{-1}\mathfrak{s}(t')^{-1},$$

whence (4) implies $\mathfrak{s}(w)\mathfrak{s}(t')\mathfrak{s}(w)^{-1} = \mathfrak{s}(t')$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned}(2.16)\qquad \sigma(tw,t') =& \mathfrak{s}(tw)\mathfrak{s}(t')\mathfrak{s}(twt')^{-1} = \mathfrak{s}(t)\mathfrak{s}(w)\mathfrak{s}(t')\mathfrak{s}(tt'w)^{-1}\\ =& \mathfrak{s}(t)\mathfrak{s}(w)\mathfrak{s}(t')\mathfrak{s}(w)^{-1}\mathfrak{s}(tt')^{-1} = \mathfrak{s}(t)\mathfrak{s}(t')\mathfrak{s}(tt')^{-1} = \sigma(t,t'),\end{aligned}$$

where we also used (2.12) and (2). Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}(2.17)\qquad \sigma(twt',w') =& \sigma(tt'w,w') = \mathfrak{s}(tt')\mathfrak{s}(w)\mathfrak{s}(w')\mathfrak{s}(tt'ww')^{-1}\\ =& \mathfrak{s}(tt')\sigma(w,w')\mathfrak{s}(tt')^{-1} = \mathfrak{s}(tt')\mathfrak{s}(tt')^{-1} = 1.\end{aligned}$$

Here we used (2.11), (2) and (3) to deduce $\sigma(w,w') = 1$.

Now (2.14), (1.9), (2.16) and (2.17) imply

$$\sigma(tw,t'w') = \sigma(tw,t'w')\sigma(t',w') = \sigma(tw,t')\sigma(twt',w') = \sigma(t,t').$$

Let $h \in H_i$ and $h' \in H_{3-i}$. Write $h = utwv$ and $h' = u't'w'v'$, with $u,v \in H_i \cap N_{G'_{n+1}}(F)$ and $u',v' \in H_{3-i} \cap N_{G'_{n+1}}(F)$ (this is possible by (1)). Then (2.13) and (2) give

$$\sigma(h,h') = \sigma(twv,u't'w') = \sigma(twu',vt'w') = \sigma(u'tw,t'w'v) = \sigma(tw,t'w') = \sigma(t,t').$$

Hence for $i = 1$ ($h \in H_1$), we deduce the second assertion, i.e., $\sigma(h_1,h_2') = \sigma(t_1,t_2')$. When $i = 2$ ($h \in H_2$, $h' \in H_1$), $\sigma(h,h') = 1$ because of (5). Then exactly as in [10] (top of p. 157), a repeated application of (1.9) implies $\sigma(h_1h_2,h_1'h_2') = \sigma(h_1,h_1')\sigma(h_2,h_2')\sigma(h_1,h_2')$. □

In the case of $M_k(F)$, it is a product of $H_2 = GL_k(F)$ and $H_1 = G_{n-k}(F)$. These subgroups clearly satisfy conditions (1)-(3) of Lemma 2.4. The following claim checks the validity of the other conditions.

Claim 2.5. *Let $a = \prod_{i=1}^{k}\eta_i^\vee(a_i) \in T_{GL_k}(F)$ and $t = \prod_{i=1}^{n-k+1}\beta_i^\vee(t_i) \in T_{n-k+1}(F)$ and consider their images in $G_n(F)$, given by* (1.3) *and* (1.4)*. Then*

$$\sigma(a,t) = 1,$$

$$\sigma(t,a) = \begin{cases} c(t_1,\det a^{-1}) & k < n,\\ c(t_1^2,\det a^{-1}) & k = n.\end{cases}$$

In particular condition (5) *of Lemma 2.4 holds. Further let $w_1 \in H_1 \cap \mathfrak{W}_{n+1}$ and $w_2 \in H_2 \cap \mathfrak{W}_{n+1}$. Then $\sigma(w_1,a) = 1$ and if $r = 2$, $\sigma(w_2,t) = 1$ whence condition* (4) *also holds.*

Proof. The computations of $\sigma(a,t)$ and $\sigma(t,a)$ are immediate from (1.3), (1.4) and Claim 2.1. The equality $\sigma(w_1,a) = 1$ follows from the fact that for any $k+2 \le i \le n+1$ and $1 \le j \le k$, $\langle\alpha_i,\alpha_j^\vee\rangle = 0$ and then (2.3) implies that $w_{\alpha_i}^*$ commutes with $\alpha_j^{\vee *}(x)$ for any $x \in F^*$.

Regarding $\sigma(w_2,t)$, we show that if $r = 2$,

$$(2.18)\qquad \mathfrak{s}(w_2)\mathfrak{s}(t)\mathfrak{s}(w_2)^{-1} = \mathfrak{s}(t).$$

Then the result follows from (2.12) (note that $w_2t = tw_2$). It is enough to establish this for $w = w_{\alpha_i}^*$ with $2 \le i \le k$.

First assume $k < n$. Using (2.3) and then (2.1) and (2.2),

$$\begin{aligned}w\alpha_{i+1}^{\vee\,*}(t_1)\alpha_i^{\vee *}(t_1)\alpha_{i-1}^{\vee\,*}(t_1)w^{-1} =& \alpha_i^{\vee *}(t_1)\alpha_{i+1}^{\vee\,*}(t_1)\alpha_i^{\vee *}(t_1^{-2})\alpha_i^{\vee *}(t_1)\alpha_i^{\vee *}(t_1)\alpha_{i-1}^{\vee\,*}(t_1)\\ =& \alpha_{i+1}^{\vee\,*}(t_1)\alpha_i^{\vee *}(t_1)\alpha_{i-1}^{\vee\,*}(t_1)\iota(c_{\alpha_i}(t_1,t_1^{-1})^2c_{\alpha_i}(t_1^{-2},t_1))\\ =& \alpha_{i+1}^{\vee\,*}(t_1)\alpha_i^{\vee *}(t_1)\alpha_{i-1}^{\vee\,*}(t_1).\end{aligned}$$

This computation implies (2.18). For $k = n$ the computation is similar (one distinguishes between the cases $i < n$ and $i = n$). □

Remark 2.2. *For a general r, the cocycle does not seem to satisfy a convenient formula on $M_k(F)\times M_k(F)$. One can remedy this by defining another isomorphism of $GL_k\times G_{n-k}$ with M_k. Let SL_k be generated by the simple roots $\{\alpha_i : 1\le i\le k-1\}$ and G'_{n-k} be generated by $\{\alpha_i : k+2\le i\le n+1\}$. If $\{\eta_i^\vee\}$ are the cocharacters of T_{GL_k} and $\{\theta_i\}$ are the characters of T_{n-k+1}, map $\eta_i^\vee\mapsto\epsilon_i^\vee-\epsilon_{k+1}^\vee$ for $1\le i\le k$ and $\theta_i^\vee\mapsto\epsilon_{k+i}^\vee$ for $1\le i\le n-k+1$. This is a twist of the embeddings described in Section 1.2, by a Weyl element of G'_{n+1}.*

The evaluation of σ on $T_{GL_k}(F)\times T_{GL_k}(F)$ and $T_{n-k+1}(F)\times T_{n-k+1}(F)$ provides evidence that the cocycle indeed satisfies certain block-compatibility properties. Claim 2.1 along with (1.3)-(1.4) show that for any $0\le k\le n$,

$$\sigma(\prod_{i=1}^{k}\eta_i^\vee(a_i),\prod_{i=1}^{k}\eta_i^\vee(a'_i))=c(\det a,\det a')\prod_{1\le i<j\le k}c(a_i,a'_j)^{-1},$$

$$\sigma(\prod_{i=1}^{n-k+1}\beta_i^\vee(t_i),\prod_{i=1}^{n-k+1}\beta_i^\vee(t'_i))=c(t_{n-k+1}^2,t'_{n-k+1})c(t_{n-k},t'^{-2}_{n-k+1})\prod_{i=1}^{n-k}c(t_i,t'_i)\prod_{i=1}^{n-k-1}c(t_i,t'^{-1}_{i+1}).$$

We see that $\sigma(a,a')=c(\det a,\det a')\sigma_k(a,a')$ for $a,a'\in T_{GL_k}(F)$ and for $t,t'\in T_{n-k+1}(F)$, $\sigma(t,t')=\sigma_{G'_{n-k+1}}(t,t')$.

Henceforth until the end of Section 2, $r=2$. Lemma 2.4 and Claim 2.5 imply that for $b,b'\in GL_k(F)$ and $h,h'\in G_{n-k}(F)$,

$$\sigma(bh,b'h')=\sigma(b,b')\sigma(h,h')c(\Upsilon(h),\det b').$$

The subgroup $GL_k(F)$ (of $M_k(F)$) is contained in the subgroup $SL_{k+1}(F)<G'_{n+1}(F)$ generated by $\{\alpha_i : 1\le i\le k\}$. If we regard $SL_{k+1}(F)$ as a group of matrices in the standard way, i.e., identify $n_{\epsilon_i-\epsilon_j}(x)$ $(1\le i<j\le k+1,\ x\in F)$ with the matrix having 1 on the diagonal, x on the (i,j)-th place and 0 elsewhere, b takes the form $diag(\det b^{-1},b)$. Since $SL_{k+1}(F)$ is a standard subgroup (in the sense of [10]), the restriction of σ to $SL_{k+1}(F)\times SL_{k+1}(F)$ is just $\sigma_{SL_{k+1}}$ of [10] (Section 3). We define a 2-cocycle σ_{GL_k} of $GL_k(F)$ via

$$\sigma_{GL_k}(b,b')=\sigma_{SL_{k+1}}(diag(\det b^{-1},b),diag(\det b'^{-1},b')).$$

Here with a minor abuse of notation, we regard the arguments b and b' on the right-hand side as matrices. This cocycle is related to σ_k of [10] by

$$\sigma_{GL_k}(b,b')=c(\det b,\det b')\sigma_k(b',b).$$

Since $\sigma(b,b')=\sigma_{SL_{k+1}}(b,b')$, we get

$$\sigma(b,b')=\sigma_{GL_k}(b,b').$$

Regarding $G_{n-k}(F)$, as a subgroup of $M_k(F)$ its embedding in $G_n(F)$ is not contained in a standard subgroup of type G'_{n-k+1} (in contrast with the embedding described by Remark 2.2). However, it is still true that

$$\sigma(h,h')=\sigma_{G'_{n-k+1}}(h,h'). \tag{2.19}$$

To see this, first note that G'_{n-k} (as a subgroup of G_{n-k}) is generated by the roots $\{\alpha_i : k+2\le i\le n+1\}$, and is therefore a standard subgroup whence

$$\sigma|_{G'_{n-k}(F)\times G'_{n-k}(F)}=\sigma_{G'_{n-k+1}}|_{G'_{n-k}(F)\times G'_{n-k}(F)}.$$

Moreover, according to the computation on $T_{n-k+1}(F)\times T_{n-k+1}(F)$ above,

$$\sigma|_{T_{n-k+1}(F)\times T_{n-k+1}(F)}=\sigma_{G'_{n-k+1}}|_{T_{n-k+1}(F)\times T_{n-k+1}(F)}.$$

According to Sun [78] (Proposition 1), the restrictions of a cocycle (defined using a bilinear Steinberg symbol) to the derived group and to the torus determine it uniquely. Thus we conclude (2.19).

Therefore,

$$\sigma(bh, b'h') = \sigma_{GL_k}(b, b')\sigma_{G'_{n-k+1}}(h, h')c(\Upsilon(h), \det b'). \tag{2.20}$$

Let $Q < G_n$ be a standard parabolic subgroup with a Levi part M isomorphic to $GL_{k_1} \times \ldots \times GL_{k_l} \times G_{n-k}$, where $k = k_1 + \ldots + k_l$. Our standard embedding $M < G_n$ was defined in Section 1.2. According to (2.20), for $(b_1, \ldots, b_l, h), (b'_1, \ldots, b'_l, h') \in M(F)$ $(h, h' \in G_{n-k}(F))$,

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma((b_1, \ldots, b_l, h), (b'_1, \ldots, b'_l, h')) \\ = (\prod_{i=1}^{l} \sigma_{GL_{k_i}}(b_i, b'_i)c(\Upsilon(h), \det b'_i))(\prod_{i>j}^{l} c(\det b_i, \det b'_j))\sigma_{G'_{n-k+1}}(h, h').\end{aligned} \tag{2.21}$$

We mention one particularly convenient Levi subgroup, M_n. Then $c(\Upsilon(h), \cdot) = 1$ for all $h \in G_0(F)$ (see Section 1.2). Therefore the subgroups $\widetilde{GL}_n(F)$ and $\widetilde{G}_0(F)$ of $\widetilde{M}_n(F)$ are commuting and $\widetilde{M}_n(F)$ is simply their direct product with amalgamated μ_2. Also note that (since $r = 2$) the cover $\widetilde{G}_0(F)$ is abelian and splits, $\sigma|_{G_0(F)\times G_0(F)}$ is trivial (Claim 2.2). Then

$$\sigma(\prod_{i=1}^{n} \eta_i^\vee(a_i)\beta_1^\vee(t_1), \prod_{i=1}^{n} \eta_i^\vee(a'_i)\beta_1^\vee(t'_1)) = c(\det a, \det a') \prod_{1\le i<j\le k} c(a_i, a'_j)^{-1}. \tag{2.22}$$

2.1.6. *Subgroups of the torus.* For $n > 1$, $\widetilde{T}_{n+1}(F)$ is not abelian. Its irreducible genuine representations are parameterized by genuine characters of its center, as follows from an analog of the Stone-von Neumann theorem ([48, 60]). In this section we compute $C_{\widetilde{T}_{n+1}(F)}$ and several other subgroups, which will be used in Section 2.2 to study these representations.

The following subgroup of $T_{n+1}(F)$ will play an important role in constructing irreducible representations of $\widetilde{T}_{n+1}(F)$:

$$T_{n+1}(F)^2 = \{\prod_{i=1}^{n+1} \alpha_i^\vee(t_i) : \forall 1 \le i \le n, t_i \in F^{*2}, t_{n+1} \in F^*\}.$$

Equality (1.6) implies $T_{n+1}(F)^2 = \{\prod_{i=1}^{n} \eta_i^\vee(a_i^2)\beta_1^\vee(t_1) : a_i, t_1 \in F^*\}$.

Claim 2.6. *The subgroup $T_{n+1}(F)^2$ splits under $\mathfrak{s}$. In particular, any character of $T_{n+1}(F)^2$ can be extended to a genuine character of $\widetilde{T}_{n+1}(F)^2$ (uniquely, using the splitting $\mathfrak{s}$).*

Proof. This is evident from (2.9), (2.1) and (2.2). The point is that $\alpha_i^{\vee *}(x)$ and $\alpha_j^{\vee *}(y)$ commute whenever both $x, y \in F^{*2}$ or $n+1 \in \{i, j\}$. □

Next we describe the center of $\widetilde{G}_n(F)$.

Claim 2.7. $C_{\widetilde{G}_n(F)} = \widetilde{C}_{G_n(F)}$ *and splits under* $\mathfrak{s}$.

Proof. Let $t = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i^\vee(t_1^2)\alpha_{n+1}^\vee(t_1) \in C_{G_n(F)}$ (see (1.1)). Then $[t, g] = 1$ for all $g \in G_n(F)$ and we must show $[t, g]_\sigma = 1$ ($[,]_\sigma$ was defined in Section 2.1.1). Since $[,]_\sigma$ is bi-multiplicative, it suffices to consider $g = t', u, w$ where $t' \in T_{n+1}(F)$, $u \in N_n(F)$ and $w = w_{\alpha_i}$ with $2 \le i \le n+1$. Now $[t, t']_\sigma = 1$ because of (2.2) and $[t, u]_\sigma = 1$ by (2.13). Using (2.15), it is enough to show $\mathfrak{s}(w)\mathfrak{s}(t)\mathfrak{s}(w)^{-1} = \mathfrak{s}(t)$. This follows from (2.1)-(2.3) and the observation in the proof of Claim 2.6. Now the splitting follows from Claim 2.6. □

Recall that by [48] (Proposition 0.1.1),

$$C_{\widetilde{GL}_n(F)} = p^{-1}(\{\prod_{i=1}^{n} \eta_i^\vee(d) : d \in (F^*)^{2/\gcd(2,n+1)}\}). \tag{2.23}$$

Also set $T_{GL_n}(F)^2 = \{\prod_{i=1}^n \eta_i^\vee(a_i^2) : a_i \in F^*\}$. By [48] (p. 57), $C_{\widetilde{T}_{GL_n}(F)} = \widetilde{T}_{GL_n}(F)^2 C_{\widetilde{GL}_n(F)}$.

Note that $C_{\widetilde{G}_n(F)} < \widetilde{T}_{n+1}(F)^2$, in contrast with the case of $\widetilde{GL}_n(F)$, where $C_{\widetilde{GL}_n(F)}$ is contained in $\widetilde{T}_{GL_n}(F)^2$ only when n is even. This causes technical difficulties when trying to adapt definitions of metaplectic tensor product from GL_n ([42, 61, 80]) to G_n, see Sections 2.2.4-2.2.5 below.

We compute the center of the torus.

Claim 2.8. *We have $C_{\widetilde{T}_{n+1}(F)} = \widetilde{T}_{n+1}(F)^2 C_{\widetilde{GL}_n(F)}$. More specifically,*

$$C_{\widetilde{T}_{n+1}(F)} = p^{-1}(\{\prod_{i=1}^{n} \eta_i^\vee(a_i^2 d)\beta_1^\vee(t_1) : a_i \in F^*, d \in (F^*)^{2/\gcd(2,n+1)}, t_1 \in F^*\}). \tag{2.24}$$

Proof. In general if $b = \prod_{i=1}^n \eta_i^\vee(b_i)\beta_1^\vee(t_1)$ and $b' = \prod_{i=1}^n \eta_i^\vee(b_i')\beta_1^\vee(t_1')$, Equality (2.22) implies

$$[b, b']_\sigma = \prod_{i=1}^{n} c(b_i, b_i') c(\prod_{i=1}^{n} b_i, \prod_{i=1}^{n} b_i'). \tag{2.25}$$

Set $d = \prod_{i=1}^n b_i^{-1}$. On the one hand, for any $1 \le j \le n$ and $z \in F^*$, $[b, \eta_j^\vee(z)]_\sigma = c(b_j d^{-1}, z)$. Then if $b \in C_{\widetilde{T}_{n+1}(F)}$, $b_j d^{-1} \in F^{*2}$ whence $b_j = a_j^2 d$ for some $a_j \in F^*$. It follows that $d^{n-1} \in F^{*2}$ or equivalently $d^{n+1} \in F^{*2}$ and $d \in (F^*)^{2/\gcd(2,n+1)}$. Hence b is of the required form. On the other hand, if $d \in (F^*)^{2/\gcd(2,n+1)}$,

$$[\prod_{i=1}^{n} \eta_i^\vee(a_i^2 d)\beta_1^\vee(t_1), \eta_j^\vee(z)]_\sigma = c(d^{n+1}, z) c(a_j^2, z) \prod_{i=1}^{n} c(a_i^2, z).$$

Because $c(d^{n+1}, z) = c(a_i^2, z) = 1$, we obtain $[\prod_{i=1}^n \eta_i^\vee(a_i^2 d)\beta_1^\vee(t_1), \eta_j^\vee(z)]_\sigma = 1$ for all $1 \le j \le n$. Since $[\prod_{i=1}^n \eta_i^\vee(a_i^2 d)\beta_1^\vee(t_1), \eta_{n+1}^\vee(z)]_\sigma = 1$ clearly holds and $[,]_\sigma$ is bi-multiplicative, any element on the right-hand side of (2.24) belongs to the center of $\widetilde{T}_{n+1}(F)$.

Now $C_{\widetilde{T}_{n+1}(F)} = \widetilde{T}_{n+1}(F)^2 C_{\widetilde{GL}_n(F)}$ follows immediately from (2.23). □

Remark 2.3. *If n is even, $C_{\widetilde{GL}_n(F)} < \widetilde{T}_{n+1}(F)^2$ whence $C_{\widetilde{T}_{n+1}(F)} = \widetilde{T}_{n+1}(F)^2$.*

Remark 2.4. *In the case of the cover of $SO_{2n+1}(F)$ obtained by restricting the 4-fold cover of $SL_{2n+1}(F)$ of Matsumoto* [58]*, we have (*[17] *Section 6)*

$$C_{\widetilde{T}_{SO_{2n+1}}(F)} = p^{-1}(\{diag(a_1^2, \dots, a_n^2, 1, a_n^{-2}, \dots, a_1^{-2}) : a_i \in F^*\}).$$

We describe certain maximal abelian subgroups of $\widetilde{T}_{n+1}(F)$. A representation of $C_{\widetilde{T}_{n+1}(F)}$ can always be extended to such a subgroup, then induced to a representation of $\widetilde{T}_{n+1}(F)$.

Claim 2.9. *Assume $|2| = 1$ and $q > 3$ in F. The subgroup $C(\widetilde{T}_{n+1}(F), \widetilde{T}_{n+1}(F) \cap K^*)$ (i.e., the centralizer of $\widetilde{T}_{n+1}(F) \cap K^*$ in $\widetilde{T}_{n+1}(F)$, see Section 1.1) is a maximal abelian subgroup of $\widetilde{T}_{n+1}(F)$ containing $\widetilde{T}_{n+1}(F) \cap K^*$. We have*

$$C(\widetilde{T}_{n+1}(F), \widetilde{T}_{n+1}(F) \cap K^*) = C_{\widetilde{T}_{n+1}(F)} \cdot (\widetilde{T}_{n+1}(F) \cap K^*). \tag{2.26}$$

Proof. Equality (2.26) follows if we show that $C(\widetilde{T}_{n+1}(F), \widetilde{T}_{n+1}(F) \cap K^*)$ is equal to

$$p^{-1}(\{\prod_{i=1}^{n} \eta_i^\vee(a_i d)\beta_1^\vee(t_1) : a_i \in \mathfrak{O}^* F^{*2}, d \in \mathfrak{O}^*(F^*)^{2/\gcd(2,n+1)}, t_1 \in F^*\}). \tag{2.27}$$

The proof of this is similar to the proof of Claim 2.8 and omitted. □

It can be useful for applications to have a convenient choice of a maximal abelian subgroup, over any field. We follow the construction of Bump and Ginzburg [18] (p. 141). Let

$$T_{GL_n}(F)^{\mathrm{m}} = \{\prod_{i=1}^{n} \eta_i^\vee(a_i) : a_1, \dots, a_n \in F^*, a_{n-2i}^{-1} a_{n-2i-1} \in F^{*2}, 0 \le i < \lfloor n/2 \rfloor\}.$$

(This is T_F^{e} of [18].) Then $\widetilde{T}_{GL_n}(F)^{\mathrm{m}}$ is a maximal abelian subgroup. The advantage of this subgroup is that it always contains $\widetilde{C}_{GL_n(F)}$, regardless of the parity of n. Similarly,

Claim 2.10. *Let*

$$T_{n+1}(F)^{\mathrm{m}} = \{\prod_{i=1}^{n} \eta_i^\vee(a_i)\beta_1^\vee(t_1) : a_1, \dots, a_n \in F^*, a_{n-2i}^{-1} a_{n-2i-1} \in F^{*2}, 0 \le i < \lfloor n/2 \rfloor, t_1 \in F^*\}.$$

Then $\widetilde{T}_{n+1}(F)^{\mathrm{m}}$ is a maximal abelian subgroup of $\widetilde{T}_{n+1}(F)$ containing $\widetilde{C}_{GL_n(F)}$.

Proof. If b belongs to an abelian subgroup of $\widetilde{T}_{n+1}(F)$ containing $\widetilde{T}_{n+1}(F)^{\mathrm{m}}$, write $p(b) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \eta_i^\vee(b_i)\beta_1^\vee(t_1)$, then for all $0 \le j < \lfloor n/2 \rfloor$ and $x, y \in F^*$, by (2.25),

$$[b, \eta_j^\vee(x)\eta_{j+1}^\vee(xy^2)]_\sigma = c(b_j, x)c(b_j, xy^2) = c(b_j b_{j+1}, x).$$

Because $c(b_j b_{j+1}, x)$ must be equal to 1, we obtain $b_j^{-1} b_{j+1} \in F^{*2}$, whence $b \in \widetilde{T}_{n+1}(F)^{\mathrm{m}}$.

To see that $\widetilde{T}_{n+1}(F)^{\mathrm{m}}$ is an abelian subgroup let $t = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \eta_i^\vee(a_i)\beta_1^\vee(t_1)$, $t' = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \eta_i^\vee(a_i')\beta_1^\vee(t_1')$ belong to $T_{n+1}(F)^{\mathrm{m}}$. If n is even, $\prod_{i=1}^{n} a_i \in F^{*2}$ and (2.25) implies $[t, t']_\sigma = \prod_{i=1}^{n} c(a_i, a_i')$. This equals 1 because if $a_{j+1}^{-1} a_j, {a'_{j+1}}^{-1} a_j' \in F^{*2}$.

$$c(a_j, a_j')c(a_{j+1}, a_{j+1}') = c(a_{j+1}a_{j+1}^{-1}a_j, a_j')c(a_{j+1}, a_{j+1}') = c(a_{j+1}, a_j' a_{j+1}') = 1.$$

If n is odd, $\prod_{i=2}^{n} a_i \in F^{*2}$ and we proceed similarly. □

2.2. **Principal series representations.**

2.2.1. *Representations of the torus.* We describe the representations of $\widetilde{T}_{n+1}(F)$. Since $r = 2$, $\widetilde{T}_{n+1}(F)$ is abelian for $n \le 1$, as may be seen either by a direct verification using (2.2) or from Claim 2.2. For $n > 1$ it is a 2-step nilpotent group.

We follow the exposition of McNamara [60] (Sections 13.5-13.7, we only use arguments which do not impose restrictions on the field). See also Kazhdan and Patterson [48] (Sections 0.3,I.1-I.2) and Bump, Friedberg and Ginzburg [16] (Section 2).

Assume $n > 1$. Since $\widetilde{T}_{n+1}(F)$ is a 2-step nilpotent group, the genuine irreducible representations of $\widetilde{T}_{n+1}(F)$ are parameterized by the genuine characters of $C_{\widetilde{T}_{n+1}(F)}$. Let χ be a genuine character of $C_{\widetilde{T}_{n+1}(F)}$ and choose a maximal abelian subgroup $X < \widetilde{T}_{n+1}(F)$. Of course, $C_{\widetilde{T}_{n+1}(F)} < X$. We can extend χ to a character of X, then induce it to $\widetilde{T}_{n+1}(F)$. Denote $\rho(\chi) = ind_X^{\widetilde{T}_{n+1}(F)}(\chi)$. This is a genuine irreducible representation, which is independent of the actual choices of X and the extension ([60], Theorem 3). If $n \le 1$, we start with a genuine character χ of $C_{\widetilde{T}_{n+1}(F)} = \widetilde{T}_{n+1}(F)$ and put $\rho(\chi) = \chi$.

Extend $\rho(\chi)$ to a representation of $\widetilde{B}_n(F)$, trivially on $\mathfrak{s}(N_n(F))$, and induce to a representation $Ind_{\widetilde{B}_n(F)}^{\widetilde{G}_n(F)}(\rho(\chi))$, whose space we denote by $V(\chi)$. The elements $f \in V(\chi)$ are smooth complex-valued functions f on $\widetilde{G}_n(F)\times\widetilde{T}_{n+1}(F)$ such that $f(tug,1) = \delta_{B_n(F)}^{1/2}(t)f(g,t)$ and $t \mapsto f(g,t)$ belongs to the space of $\rho(\chi)$ ($t \in \widetilde{T}_{n+1}(F)$, $u \in \mathfrak{s}(N_n(F))$ and $g \in \widetilde{G}_n(F)$). For brevity we will write $f(g) = f(g,1)$.

The Weyl group W_n acts on the representations χ and $\rho(\chi)$. If $\mathbf{w} \in W_n$ and ξ is a representation of $C_{\widetilde{T}_{n+1}(F)}$ or $\widetilde{T}_{n+1}(F)$, ${}^{\mathbf{w}}\xi$ denotes the representation on the space of ξ given by ${}^{\mathbf{w}}\xi(t) = \xi(\mathfrak{s}(w)^{-1}t)$, where $w \in \mathfrak{W}_n$ is the representative of $\mathbf{w}$. We have ${}^{\mathbf{w}}\rho(\chi) = \rho({}^{\mathbf{w}}\chi)$.

Let χ be a genuine character of $C_{\widetilde{T}_{n+1}(F)}$. Then $\rho(\chi)^\wedge = \rho(\chi^\wedge) = \rho(\chi^{-1})$ (recall from Section 1.3.2 that $\rho(\chi)^\wedge$ is the contragradient representation).

A genuine character χ of $C_{\widetilde{T}_{n+1}(F)}$ is called regular if ${}^{\mathbf{w}}\chi \neq \chi$ for all $1 \neq \mathbf{w} \in W_n$. For such characters, $j_{N_n}(\rho(\chi))$ is semi-simple ([60] Proposition 5) and is the direct sum of $\rho({}^{\mathbf{w}}\chi)$ where $\mathbf{w}$ varies over W_n. If χ' is another genuine character, the dimension of

$$Hom_{\widetilde{G}_n}(Ind_{\widetilde{B}_n(F)}^{\widetilde{G}_n(F)}(\rho(\chi)), Ind_{\widetilde{B}_n(F)}^{\widetilde{G}_n(F)}(\rho(\chi'))$$

is zero unless $\chi' = {}^{\mathbf{w}}\chi$ for some $\mathbf{w}$, in which case the dimension is 1. These statements follow from Bernstein and Zelevinsky [12] (see [60]).

Let χ be a genuine character of $C_{\widetilde{T}_{n+1}(F)}$. There are unique $m_1,\dots,m_{n+1} \in \mathbb{R}$ such that for all $t \in C_{\widetilde{T}_{n+1}(F)}$, if $p(t) = \prod_{i=1}^{n+1}\alpha_i^\vee(t_i)$, $|\chi(t)| = \prod_{i=1}^{n+1}|t_i|^{m_i}$. Define $\mathrm{Re}\chi = \sum_{i=2}^{n+1} m_i\alpha_i$. We say that χ belongs to the positive Weyl chamber if $\langle \mathrm{Re}\chi, \alpha^\vee\rangle > 0$ for all $\alpha \in \Delta_{G_n}$.

For a genuine character χ of $C_{\widetilde{T}_{n+1}(F)}$, $\chi|_{\widetilde{T}_{n+1}(F)^2}$ is a genuine character and by Claim 2.6, it is obtained as the unique extension (via $\mathfrak{s}$) to $\widetilde{T}_{n+1}(F)^2$ of a character χ_0 of $T_{n+1}(F)^2$. According to Claim 2.8, one can further extend to $C_{\widetilde{T}_{n+1}(F)}$ and obtain χ, according to $\chi|_{C_{\widetilde{GL}_n(F)}}$. We will see that the "important" properties of χ are shared by χ_0. Motivated by this observation, let $\Pi(\chi)$ be the set of genuine characters χ' of $C_{\widetilde{T}_{n+1}(F)}$ which agree with χ on $\widetilde{T}_{n+1}(F)^2$. By Claim 2.8, $|\Pi(\chi)| = 1$ or $[F^* : F^{*2}]$ depending on the parity of n.

2.2.2. *Unramified representations.* In this section assume $|2| = 1$ and $q > 3$ in F. Then K is split under κ and $K^* = \kappa(K)$ (Section 2.1.4). An irreducible genuine representation π of $\widetilde{G}_n(F)$ is called unramified if it has a nonzero vector fixed by K^*. Since Claim 2.3 implies that for any $w \in \mathfrak{W}_n \subset K$, $\mathfrak{s}(w) = \kappa(w) \in K^*$, ${}^{\mathbf{w}}\pi$ is also unramified for all $\mathbf{w} \in W_n$.

Let χ be a genuine character of $C_{\widetilde{T}_{n+1}(F)}$. The subgroup $\widetilde{T}_{n+1}(F)\cap K^*$ is abelian (Claim 2.9). Let X be a maximal abelian subgroup of $\widetilde{T}_{n+1}(F)$ which contains $\widetilde{T}_{n+1}(F)\cap K^*$. Assume that χ can be extended to a character of X such that this extension is trivial on $\widetilde{T}_{n+1}(F)\cap K^*$.

According to [60] (Lemma 2) the subspace of $V(\chi)$ fixed by K^* is one-dimensional. In particular $Ind_{\widetilde{B}_n(F)}^{\widetilde{G}_n(F)}(\rho(\chi))$ is unramified and we also call χ an unramified character. A function $f \in V(\chi)$ is unramified if it is fixed by K^*, and normalized if in addition $f(1) = 1$. We will use the following observation from [48] (Lemma I.1.3), [60] (Lemma 2): let f be unramified. If $t \in \widetilde{T}_{n+1}(F)$ does not belong to X, $f(t) = 0$. This is because for $k \in \widetilde{T}_{n+1}(F)\cap K^*$, $tk = [t,k]kt$, $[k,t] \neq 1$ by the maximality of X and $\chi(k) = 1$, therefore

$$f(t) = f(tk,1) = [k,t]\delta_{B_n(F)}^{1/2}(t)\chi(k)f(1,t) = [k,t]f(t). \tag{2.28}$$

We always choose $X = C(\widetilde{T}_{n+1}(F), \widetilde{T}_{n+1}(F) \cap K^*)$ (see Claim 2.9). According to (2.26) any genuine character of $C_{\widetilde{T}_{n+1}(F)}$, which is trivial on $C_{\widetilde{T}_{n+1}(F)} \cap K^*$, can be extended uniquely to a character of X which is trivial on $\widetilde{T}_{n+1} \cap K^*$. Therefore, any such character is unramified.

Let $\alpha \in \Sigma^+_{G_n}$. If α is a long root and $n > 1$, put $\mathfrak{l}(\alpha) = 2$, otherwise $\mathfrak{l}(\alpha) = 1$. Set $a_\alpha = \alpha^{\vee *}(\varpi^{\mathfrak{l}(\alpha)})$. For any character χ of $C_{\widetilde{T}_{n+1}(F)}$ (not necessarily unramified), $\chi(a_\alpha)$ is defined.

2.2.3. *Intertwining operators.* Let χ be a genuine character of $C_{\widetilde{T}_{n+1}(F)}$ and $\rho(\chi)$ be the corresponding representation of $\widetilde{T}_{n+1}(F)$ (see Section 2.2.1). Let $\mathbf{w} \in W_n$. Put $N_n^w = {}^w N_n \cap N_n$. Let $M(w,\chi) : V(\chi) \to V({}^{\mathbf{w}}\chi)$ be the intertwining operator defined by

$$M(w,\chi)f(g) = \int_{N_n^w(F)\backslash N_n(F)} f(\mathfrak{s}(w)^{-1}\mathfrak{s}(u)g)du \qquad (g \in \widetilde{G}_n(F)).$$

If $|2| = 1$ and $q > 3$ in F, $\mathfrak{s}(w) = \kappa(w) \in K^*$ (Claim 2.3) and we follow Casselman [20] in the normalization of the measure du. The following claim adapted from [48] (Sections I.2 and I.6) provides the basic properties of the intertwining operators.

Claim 2.11. *The integral defining $M(w,\chi)$ is absolutely convergent if $\langle Re\chi, \alpha^\vee \rangle > 0$ for all $\alpha \in \Sigma^+_{G_n}$ such that $\mathbf{w}\alpha < 0$. The integral has a meromorphic continuation by which it is defined for all χ. If $\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{w}' \in W_n$, $ww' \in \mathfrak{W}_n$ and $l(ww') = l(w) + l(w')$, then $M(ww',\chi) = M(w, {}^{\mathbf{w}'}\chi)M(w',\chi)$. Finally, if χ is unramified and $f \in V(\chi)$ is the unramified normalized element, $M(w,\chi)f = c(\mathbf{w},\chi)f$, where*

$$c(\mathbf{w},\chi) = \prod_{\{\alpha \in \Sigma^+_{G_n} : \mathbf{w}\alpha < 0\}} \frac{1 - q^{-1}\chi(a_\alpha)}{1 - \chi(a_\alpha)}.$$

Remark 2.5. *The constant $c(\mathbf{w},\chi)$ is given by the Gindikin-Karpelevich formula ([20] Section 3). This formula was proved in the context of $\widetilde{GL}_n$ by [48] (Section I.2) and by McNamara [59, 60] for any split reductive algebraic group over a p-adic field, as long as $|\mu_{2r}| = 2r$ and q is coprime to $2r$.*

Proof. We assume $n > 1$, since otherwise the cover splits and the statement is well known. These results were proved by Kazhdan and Patterson [48] (Sections I.2, I.6) for $\widetilde{GL}_n(F)$, over any local field. We provide the calculation of the constant $c(\mathbf{w},\chi)$. Over a p-adic field, the meromorphic continuation can also be proved using the continuation principle of Bernstein, see Banks [9] (see also [48] p. 67 and [64]).

Assume that χ is unramified and take f as in the claim. It is enough to consider $\mathbf{w}_\alpha$ for $\alpha \in \Delta_{G_n}$. Let $2 \le l \le n+1$ and put $\alpha = \alpha_l$. The volume of $\mathfrak{O}$ with respect to the additive measure of F is 1. Hence

$$c(\mathbf{w}_\alpha,\chi) = M(w_\alpha,\chi)f(1) = 1 + \int_{\mathcal{U}_\alpha - K} f(w_\alpha^{*-1}\mathfrak{s}(u))du.$$

Write $u = n_\alpha(x)$ for some $x \in F$ with $x \notin \mathfrak{O}$, then $\mathfrak{s}(u) = n^*_\alpha(x)$. Using (2.2)-(2.7) we see that

$$w_\alpha^{*-1} n^*_\alpha(x) = \alpha^{\vee *}(x^{-1}) n^*_\alpha(-x) \alpha^{\vee *}(-1) w^*_\alpha n^*_\alpha(-x^{-1}) w_\alpha^{*-1}.$$

By virtue of Claim 2.3 we have $w^*_\alpha = \mathfrak{s}(w_\alpha) \in K^*$, $n^*_\alpha(-x^{-1}) = \mathfrak{s}(n_\alpha(-x^{-1})) \in K^*$ ($|x| > 1$) and $\alpha^{\vee *}(-1) = \mathfrak{s}(\alpha^\vee(-1)) \in K^*$. Therefore

$$f(w_\alpha^{*-1}\mathfrak{s}(u)) = f(\alpha^{\vee *}(x^{-1})) = \delta^{1/2}_{B_n(F)}(\alpha^\vee(x^{-1}))f(1, \alpha^{\vee *}(x^{-1})) = |x| f(1, \alpha^{\vee *}(x^{-1})).$$

Assume $l \leq n$. If $f(1, \alpha^{\vee *}(x^{-1})) \neq 0$, by the observation in Section 2.2.2 (see (2.28)) we must have $\alpha^{\vee *}(x^{-1}) \in C(\widetilde{T}_{n+1}(F), \widetilde{T}_{n+1}(F) \cap K^*)$. Assume this holds and set $l' = l+1$ if $l \leq n-1$, otherwise $l' = n-1$ (recall that $n > 1$). Then Equality (2.2) applied to $\alpha^{\vee *}(x^{-1})$ and $\alpha_{l'}^{\vee *}(z)$ implies $c(x^{-1}, z) = 1$ for all $z \in \mathfrak{O}^*$, whence $x \in \mathfrak{O}^* F^{*2}$. We have shown that the integrand vanishes unless $x \in \mathfrak{O}^* F^{*2}$, in which case $f(1, \alpha^{\vee *}(x^{-1})) = \chi(\alpha^{\vee *}(x^{-1}))$. When $l = n+1$, this last equality holds for all $x \in F^*$.

Thus for all $2 \leq l \leq n+1$,

$$\int_{\mathcal{U}_\alpha(F)-K} f(w_\alpha^{*-1} \mathfrak{s}(u)) du = \sum_{v=1}^{\infty} \chi(a_\alpha)^v = (1-q^{-1}) \frac{\chi(a_\alpha)}{1-\chi(a_\alpha)}.$$

(The change $du \mapsto d^*x$ contributed a factor canceled by $\delta_{B_n(F)}^{1/2}$.) Therefore $c(\mathbf{w}_\alpha, \chi) = (1-q^{-1}\chi(a_\alpha))/(1-\chi(a_\alpha))$, completing the proof. □

2.2.4. *Structure of certain induced representations.* In this section F is p-adic. For any $H < G_n$, set $H(F)^\star = \{h \in H(F) : \Upsilon(h) \in F^{*2}\}$. In particular if $H = GL_k$, $H(F)^\star = \{h \in GL_k(F) : \det h \in F^{*2}\}$, and $G_0(F)^\star = G_0(F)$. The subgroup $H(F)^\star$ is normal in $H(F)$ and $H(F)^\star \backslash H(F)$ is abelian. The index of $H(F)^\star$ in $H(F)$ is either 1 or $[F^* : F^{*2}]$. These properties hold also for $\widetilde{H}(F)^\star (= p^{-1}(H(F)^\star))$ and $\widetilde{H}(F)$.

The subgroup $H(F)^\star$ is open in $H(F)$, and $\widetilde{H}(F)^\star$ is open in $\widetilde{H}(F)$ ([63] p. 54-56, see also [48] Proposition 0.1.2).

If ξ is a genuine irreducible representation of $\widetilde{H}(F)$, let $\xi^\star = \xi|_{\widetilde{H}(F)^\star}$. The representation $\xi^\star$ is a finite sum of (at most $[F^* : F^{*2}]$) genuine irreducible representations ([11] 2.9).

We will need the following results of Kable [42] (in Propositions 3.1 and 3.2).

Proposition 2.12. *Let H be a Levi subgroup of GL_n and let τ be a genuine irreducible representation of $\widetilde{H}(F)$. If n is odd, $\tau^\star$ is irreducible and $ind_{\widetilde{H}(F)^\star}^{\widetilde{H}(F)}(\tau^\star) = \oplus_\omega \omega \cdot \tau$, where ω ranges over the (finite set of) characters of $\widetilde{H}(F)^\star \backslash \widetilde{H}(F)$. Furthermore, $Hom_{\widetilde{H}(F)}(\omega\tau, \tau) = 0$ unless $\omega = 1$. If n is even, $\tau^\star = \oplus_a {}^a\sigma$ where σ is any irreducible summand of $\tau^\star$ and a ranges over $\widetilde{H}(F)^\star \backslash \widetilde{H}(F)$. Furthermore, ${}^a\sigma \ncong \sigma$ for all $a \notin \widetilde{H}(F)^\star$.*

Let H_1 be a Levi subgroup of GL_k and let H_2 be a Levi subgroup of G_{n-k}. Then $H = H_1 \times H_2$ is a Levi subgroup of G_n. We assume that the cocycle defined on $G_n(F)$ satisfies the block-compatibility criterion (2.21).

Let τ be a genuine irreducible representation of $\widetilde{H}_1(F)$ and let π be a genuine irreducible representation of $\widetilde{H}_2(F)$. The following discussion describes a replacement for the usual tensor "$\tau \otimes \pi$", which is not defined when the groups are not commuting.

According to (2.21), the subgroups $\widetilde{H}_1(F)^\star$ and $\widetilde{H}_2(F)^\star$ are commuting in $\widetilde{G}_n(F)$, hence

$$p^{-1}(H_1(F)^\star \times H_2(F)^\star) \cong \{(\zeta, \zeta) : \zeta \in \mu_2\} \backslash (\widetilde{H}_1(F)^\star \times \widetilde{H}_2(F)^\star).$$

Here on the right-hand side we have an outer direct product. In other words, $p^{-1}(H_1(F)^\star \times H_2(F)^\star)$ is the direct product of $\widetilde{H}_1(F)^\star$ and $\widetilde{H}_2(F)^\star$ with amalgamated μ_2. The representation $\tau^\star \otimes \pi^\star$ is defined as the usual tensor product and can be regarded as a genuine irreducible representation of $p^{-1}(H_1(F)^\star \times H_2(F)^\star)$. The representations $\tau^\star \otimes \pi$ and $\tau \otimes \pi^\star$ are defined similarly, because the same arguments apply to the pairs $(\widetilde{H}_1(F)^\star, \widetilde{H}_2(F))$ and $(\widetilde{H}_1(F), \widetilde{H}_2(F)^\star)$. We have the following semisimple representation

$$I(\tau, \pi)^\star = ind_{p^{-1}(H_1(F)^\star \times H_2(F)^\star)}^{\widetilde{H}(F)}(\tau^\star \otimes \pi^\star).$$

In the case of $H_2 = G_0$, $H_2(F)^\star = H_2(F)$ hence $(\widetilde{H}_1(F), \widetilde{H}_2(F))$ are commuting and

$$I(\tau,\pi)^\star = ind_{p^{-1}(H_1(F)^\star \times H_2(F))}^{\widetilde{H}(F)}(\tau^\star \otimes \pi) = ind_{\widetilde{H}_1(F)^\star}^{\widetilde{H}_1(F)}(\tau^\star) \otimes \pi.$$

Remark 2.6. *In this case the tensor $\tau \otimes \pi$ is defined and of course will be preferred over $I(\tau,\pi)^\star$. See Claim 2.21 in Section 2.3.1 below.*

Lemma 2.13. *Assume $0 < k < n$. The representation $I(\tau,\pi)^\star$ is a direct sum of $[F^* : F^{*2}]$ copies of*

$$ind_{p^{-1}(H_1(F)^\star \times H_2(F))}^{\widetilde{H}(F)}(\tau^\star \otimes \pi).$$

Similarly, it is a direct sum of $[F^* : F^{*2}]$ copies of

$$ind_{p^{-1}(H_1(F) \times H_2(F)^\star)}^{\widetilde{H}(F)}(\tau \otimes \pi^\star).$$

Proof. The arguments are similar to those of Kable [42] (Theorem 3.1). Since $p^{-1}(H_1(F)^\star \times H_2(F)^\star)$ is a normal subgroup of $\widetilde{H}(F)$ with a finite index and the quotient of $p^{-1}(H_1(F)^\star \times H_2(F))$ by $p^{-1}(H_1(F)^\star \times H_2(F)^\star)$ is abelian,

$$ind_{p^{-1}(H_1(F)^\star \times H_2(F)^\star)}^{\widetilde{H}(F)}(\tau^\star \otimes \pi^\star) = \bigoplus_\omega ind_{p^{-1}(H_1(F)^\star \times H_2(F))}^{\widetilde{H}(F)}(\tau^\star \otimes \omega\pi), \tag{2.29}$$

where the summation ranges over the characters of $\widetilde{H}_2(F)^\star \backslash \widetilde{H}_2(F)$ (these are nongenuine characters). Any such character ω takes the form $\omega(h) = \omega_a(h) = c(\Upsilon(h), \det a)$ for some $a \in \widetilde{H}_1(F)$. By the block-compatibility of the cocycle (and (2.15)), $ha = c(\Upsilon(h), \det a)ah$ ($a \in \widetilde{H}_1(F)$, $h \in \widetilde{H}_2(F)$) whence $\tau^\star \otimes \omega_a\pi = {}^a(^{a^{-1}}(\tau^\star) \otimes \pi)$. Thus the right-hand side of (2.29) is equal to a direct sum of $[\widetilde{H}_1(F) : \widetilde{H}_1(F)^\star] = [F^* : F^{*2}]$ copies of

$$ind_{p^{-1}(H_1(F)^\star \times H_2(F))}^{\widetilde{H}(F)}(\tau^\star \otimes \pi). \tag{2.30}$$

One can similarly consider $p^{-1}(H_1(F) \times H_2(F)^\star)$ and repeating the steps above obtain

$$\begin{aligned} ind_{p^{-1}(H_1(F)^\star \times H_2(F)^\star)}^{\widetilde{H}(F)}(\tau^\star \otimes \pi^\star) &= \bigoplus_{h \in \widetilde{H}_2(F)^\star \backslash \widetilde{H}_2(F)} ind_{p^{-1}(H_1(F) \times H_2(F)^\star)}^{\widetilde{H}(F)}(\omega_h\tau \otimes \pi^\star) \\ &= [F^* : F^{*2}] ind_{p^{-1}(H_1(F) \times H_2(F)^\star)}^{\widetilde{H}(F)}(\tau \otimes \pi^\star). \end{aligned}$$

Here $\omega_h(a) = c(\Upsilon(h), \det a)$. □

The aforementioned results of Kable [42] (Propositions 3.1, 3.2) do not apply to the group $G_n(F)$, mainly because its center does not play a role similar to that of the center of $GL_n(F)$. Namely, $C_{G_n(F)} < G_n(F)^\star$ for all n (see Section 1.2). However, if H_2 is a Levi subgroup of a proper parabolic subgroup of G_n, it is possible to extend Proposition 2.12 to H_2. We will only need the following result on T_{n+1}.

Claim 2.14. *Let π be a genuine irreducible representation of $\widetilde{T}_{n+1}(F)$ and assume that n is even (including zero). Then $\pi^\star = \oplus_h {}^h\rho$ where ρ is any irreducible summand of $\pi^\star$ and h ranges over $\widetilde{T}_{n+1}(F)^\star \backslash \widetilde{T}_{n+1}(F)$.*

Proof. Equality (2.25) implies that $\widetilde{C}_{GL_n(F)}$ is contained in the center of $\widetilde{T}_{n+1}(F)^\star$ and furthermore, if $z \in \widetilde{C}_{GL_n(F)}$ with $p(z) = \prod_{i=1}^n \eta_i^\vee(d)$ and $t \in \widetilde{T}_{n+1}(F)$ with $p(t) = \prod_{i=1}^n \eta_i^\vee(t_i)\beta_1^\vee(t_{n+1})$, $tzt^{-1} = c(\prod_{i=1}^n t_i, d)z$ (see (2.15) and (2.25)). Given these observations, the arguments of Kable [42] (Proposition 3.2) readily apply to our case. □

Recall that for a genuine irreducible representation ξ of $\widetilde{T}_{n+1}(F)$ we have a corresponding genuine character χ_ξ of $C_{\widetilde{T}_{n+1}(F)}$ and $\xi = \rho(\chi_\xi)$. The same applies to representations of $\widetilde{T}_{GL_n}(F)$ and we use the same notation $\rho(\cdots)$.

Let χ_1' and χ_2' be genuine characters of $\widetilde{T}_{GL_k}(F)^2$ and $\widetilde{T}_{n-k+1}(F)^2$. Since

$$\widetilde{T}_{n+1}(F)^2 \cong \{(\zeta,\zeta) : \zeta \in \mu_2\} \backslash (\widetilde{T}_{GL_k}(F)^2 \times \widetilde{T}_{n-k+1}(F)^2), \tag{2.31}$$

the tensor representation $\chi_1' \otimes \chi_2'$ of $\widetilde{T}_{n+1}(F)^2$ is defined, it is a genuine character. For genuine characters χ_1 and χ_2 of $C_{\widetilde{T}_{GL_k}(F)}$ and $C_{\widetilde{T}_{n-k+1}(F)}$, put

$$\chi_1 \odot \chi_2 = \chi_1|_{\widetilde{T}_{GL_k}(F)^2} \otimes \chi_2|_{\widetilde{T}_{n-k+1}(F)^2}.$$

The last claim enables us to deduce the following result.

Lemma 2.15. *Assume $0 < k \leq n$, τ and π are irreducible genuine representations of $\widetilde{T}_{GL_k}(F)$ and $\widetilde{T}_{n-k+1}(F)$ (resp.). Write $\tau = \rho(\chi_\tau)$ and $\pi = \rho(\chi_\pi)$, where χ_τ and χ_π are genuine characters of $C_{\widetilde{T}_{GL_k}(F)}$ and $C_{\widetilde{T}_{n-k+1}(F)}$ (resp.). Also let χ be a genuine character of $C_{\widetilde{T}_{n+1}(F)}$ which agrees with $\chi_\tau \odot \chi_\pi$ on $\widetilde{T}_{n+1}(F)^2$. Then*

$$I(\tau,\pi)^\star = [F^* : F^{*2}]^r \bigoplus_{\chi' \in \Pi(\chi)} \rho(\chi'),$$

where $r = 2$ if n and k are even and $k < n$, $r = 0$ if n is odd and $k = n$, otherwise $r = 0$; the finite set $\Pi(\chi)$ was defined in Section 2.2.1.

Proof. We follow the arguments of Kable [42] (Theorem 3.1). Applying Lemma 2.13 to $I(\tau,\pi)^\star$ we write it as a direct sum of $[F^* : F^{*2}]$ copies of

$$ind_{p^{-1}(T_{GL_k}(F)^\star \times T_{n-k+1}(F))}^{\widetilde{T}_{n+1}(F)} (\tau^\star \otimes \pi). \tag{2.32}$$

Now we consider the different cases.

(1) n is even, k is odd: by Proposition 2.12 the representation $\tau^\star$ is irreducible. Hence $\tau^\star \otimes \pi$ is also irreducible. As explained in the proof of Lemma 2.13, for any $a \in \widetilde{T}_{GL_k}(F)$, ${}^a(\tau^\star \otimes \pi) = \tau^\star \otimes \omega_a\pi$. Because π and $\omega_a\pi$ are both irreducible genuine representations of $\widetilde{T}_{n-k+1}(F)$, they are isomorphic if and only if their restrictions to $C_{\widetilde{T}_{n-k+1}(F)}$ are identical. Since $n-k$ is odd, $C_{\widetilde{GL}_{n-k}(F)} < C_{\widetilde{T}_{n-k+1}(F)}$. The restriction $\omega_a|_{C_{\widetilde{GL}_{n-k}(F)}}$ is trivial if and only if $a \in \widetilde{T}_{GL_k}(F)^\star$, whence

$$Hom_{\widetilde{T}_{n-k+1}(F)}(\omega_a\pi, \pi) = 0, \qquad \forall a \notin \widetilde{T}_{GL_k}(F)^\star.$$

This means that $\tau^\star \otimes \pi$ satisfies Mackey's criterion and therefore by Mackey's theory (2.32) is an irreducible representation of $\widetilde{T}_{n+1}(F)$. We claim that it is isomorphic to $\rho(\chi)$, which implies $I(\tau,\pi)^\star = [F^* : F^{*2}]\rho(\chi)$ (since n is even, $\Pi(\chi) = \{\chi\}$).

Indeed, n is even hence $C_{\widetilde{T}_{n+1}(F)} = \widetilde{T}_{n+1}(F)^2$ and it suffices to show both representations agree on $\widetilde{T}_{n+1}(F)^2$. This holds because of (2.31) and $\widetilde{T}_{GL_k}(F)^2 < \widetilde{T}_{GL_k}(F)^\star$.

(2) n and k are even: write $\tau^\star = \oplus_a {}^a\sigma$ as in Proposition 2.12. Then (2.32) is the direct sum

$$\bigoplus_{a \in \widetilde{T}_{GL_k}(F)^\star \backslash \widetilde{T}_{GL_k}(F)} ind_{p^{-1}(T_{GL_k}(F)^\star \times T_{n-k+1}(F))}^{\widetilde{T}_{n+1}(F)} (\sigma \otimes \omega_a\pi). \tag{2.33}$$

If ${}^b(\sigma \otimes \omega_a\pi) \cong \sigma \otimes \omega_a\pi$ for some $b \in \widetilde{T}_{GL_k}(F)$, then ${}^b\sigma \cong \sigma$, which by Proposition 2.12 only happens for $b \in \widetilde{T}_{GL_k}(F)^\star$. Thus $\sigma \otimes \omega_a\pi$ satisfies Mackey's criterion and each summand

is irreducible. Since $n-k$ is even, $\omega_a|_{C_{\widetilde{T}_{n-k+1}(F)}}=1$ whence $\omega_a\pi\cong\pi$ and all summands are equal. Thus if $k<n$ we get $I(\tau,\pi)^\star=[F^*:F^{*2}]^2\rho(\chi)$. If $k=n$, $I(\tau,\pi)^\star$ is equal to (2.32) hence $I(\tau,\pi)^\star=[F^*:F^{*2}]\rho(\chi)$.

(3) n is odd, k is even: as in case (2) consider (2.33). Because k is even, as above each summand of (2.33) is irreducible. Since both n and $n-k$ are odd, any $z\in C_{\widetilde{GL}_n(F)}$ can be written as $z=z_1z_2$ with $z_1\in\widetilde{C}_{GL_k(F)}$ and $z_2\in C_{\widetilde{GL}_{n-k}(F)}$, and furthermore, $z\in C_{\widetilde{T}_{n+1}(F)}$ and $z_2\in C_{\widetilde{T}_{n-k+1}(F)}$. Additionally, because k is even, $\widetilde{C}_{GL_k(F)}$ is contained in the center of $\widetilde{T}_{GL_k}(F)^\star$, hence $\sigma|_{\widetilde{C}_{GL_k(F)}}$ is a character. Using these observations we see that the restrictions of $\sigma\otimes\omega_a\pi$ to $C_{\widetilde{GL}_n(F)}$ as a varies are different. Therefore the summands in (2.33) are inequivalent.

Each summand takes the form $\rho(\chi')$, where χ' is some genuine character of $C_{\widetilde{T}_{n+1}(F)}$, but χ' must agree with χ on $\widetilde{T}_{n+1}(F)^2$ because $\sigma|_{\widetilde{T}_{GL_k}(F)^2}=\tau|_{\widetilde{T}_{GL_k}(F)^2}$ ($\widetilde{T}_{GL_k}(F)^2<C_{\widetilde{T}_{GL_k}(F)}$) and $\omega_a|_{\widetilde{T}_{n-k+1}(F)^2}=1$. There are $[F^*:F^{*2}]$ such characters χ' and because there are exactly $[F^*:F^{*2}]$ non isomorphic summands in (2.33), all possible χ' appear. It follows that $I(\tau,\pi)^\star=[F^*:F^{*2}]\oplus_{\chi'\in\Pi(\chi)}\rho(\chi')$.

(4) n and k are odd: assume $k<n$. Using Lemma 2.13,

$$\begin{aligned}I(\tau,\pi)^\star&=[F^*:F^{*2}]ind_{p^{-1}(T_{GL_k}(F)\times T_{n-k+1}(F)^\star)}^{\widetilde{T}_{n+1}(F)}(\tau\otimes\pi^\star)\\&=[F^*:F^{*2}]\bigoplus_{h\in\widetilde{T}_{n-k+1}(F)^\star\backslash\widetilde{T}_{n-k+1}(F)}ind_{p^{-1}(T_{GL_k}(F)\times T_{n-k+1}(F)^\star)}^{\widetilde{T}_{n+1}(F)}(\omega_h\tau\otimes\rho).\end{aligned}$$

Here $\omega_h(a)=c(\Upsilon(h),\det a)$ and $\pi^\star=\oplus_h{}^h\rho$ as in Claim 2.14. Since k is odd, by Proposition 2.12 we have $Hom_{\widetilde{T}_{GL_k}(F)}(\omega_{h'}\omega_h\tau,\omega_h\tau)=0$ as long as $h'\notin\widetilde{T}_{n-k+1}(F)^\star$ and it follows that each of the last summands is irreducible. For $h\neq h'$, the summands are non isomorphic: this follows as in case (3) (the opposite case with respect to k and $n-k$), because $\widetilde{C}_{GL_{n-k}(F)}$ is contained in the center of $\widetilde{T}_{n-k+1}(F)^\star$ (see (2.25)) and for $z\in C_{\widetilde{GL}_n(F)}$, $z=z_1z_2$ where $z_1\in C_{\widetilde{GL}_k(F)}$ and $z_2\in\widetilde{C}_{GL_{n-k}(F)}$. Thus $I(\tau,\pi)^\star=[F^*:F^{*2}]\oplus_{\chi'\in\Pi(\chi)}\rho(\chi')$. Finally if $k=n$, by Proposition 2.12,

$$I(\tau,\pi)^\star=ind_{\widetilde{T}_{GL_n}(F)^\star}^{\widetilde{T}_{GL_n}(F)}(\tau^\star)\otimes\pi=\oplus_\omega(\omega\tau\otimes\pi)=\oplus_{\chi'\in\Pi(\chi)}\rho(\chi').\qquad\square$$

Remark 2.7. *One could define* $I(\tau,\pi)^\star=ind_{p^{-1}(H_1(F)\times H_2(F)^\star)}^{\widetilde{H}(F)}(\tau\otimes\pi^\star)$. *With this definition the case* $k=n$ *is simpler,* $I(\tau,\pi)^\star=\tau\otimes\pi$. *Lemma 2.13 implies that for* $k<n$,

$$ind_{p^{-1}(H_1(F)\times H_2(F)^\star)}^{\widetilde{H}(F)}(\tau\otimes\pi^\star)\cong ind_{p^{-1}(H_1(F)^\star\times H_2(F))}^{\widetilde{H}(F)}(\tau^\star\otimes\pi).$$

Then in Lemma 2.15 we obtain smaller multiplicities. However, the presentation in Sections 2.3.1-2.3.2 below seems to be simpler with the definition above.

2.2.5. *Discussion on the metaplectic tensor product.* Irreducible representations of Levi subgroups of classical groups are usually described in terms of the tensor product. For metaplectic groups the direct factors of Levi subgroups do not necessarily commute, hence the tensor construction cannot be extended in a straightforward manner.

The metaplectic tensor product in the context of GL_n has been studied by various authors [24, 77, 42, 61, 80]. Kable [42] used a representation similar to $I(\tau,\pi)^\star$ (see Section 2.2.4) to define a metaplectic tensor product, between genuine indecomposable representations of Levi

subgroups of the double cover of $GL_n(F)$. He studied the induced space $I(\tau_1,\tau_2)^\star$, where τ_i are representations of $\widetilde{L}_i(F)$ and $L_i < GL_{n_i}$ are Levi subgroups. To any genuine character ω of $C_{\widetilde{GL}_n(F)}$, Kable defined $\tau_1 \otimes_\omega \tau_2$ as an indecomposable summand of $I(\tau_1,\tau_2)^\star$, on which $C_{\widetilde{GL}_n(F)}$ acts by ω. He proved that this construction satisfies many of the useful properties of the tensor product, e.g., associativity, compatibility with taking contragradients and certain compatibility with Jacquet functors.

Essential for his approach was the Mackey theory for the restriction $\tau_i^\star = \tau_i|_{\widetilde{L}_i(F)^\star}$, which he developed for the double cover ([42] Propositions 3.1,3.2). The main obstacle in trying to extend his construction to our setting, is the lack of an analog of these results for $G_n(F)$.

Mezo [61] considered r-fold covers. Denote $GL_m(F)^r = \{b \in GL_m(F) : \det b \in F^{*r}\}$. Mezo defined a tensor representation for $L = GL_{n_1} \times \ldots \times GL_{n_k}$ using restrictions from $GL_{n_i}(F)$ to $GL_{n_i}(F)^r$ and a process resembling the construction in Section 2.2.1. Takeda [80] constructed a global metaplectic tensor product, whose local components agree with those of Mezo [61]. He proved several properties for the global (and local) tensor, e.g., compatibility with induction and automorphicity.

For our purposes it will be sufficient to consider the space $I(\tau,\pi)^\star$, on which we can compute certain Jacquet functors simply enough. The lack of a universal property for the metaplectic tensor product places a heavy burden on details. At present, we do not attempt to extend the results of [61, 80] to our context.

2.3. **Exceptional (small) representations.**

2.3.1. *Definition and basic properties.* We construct exceptional representations, adapting the results of Bump, Friedberg and Ginzburg [16] in the context of SO_{2n+1}. These representations were called "small" in [16].

Let χ be a genuine character of $C_{\widetilde{T}_{n+1}(F)}$. We say that χ is exceptional if for all $\alpha \in \Delta_{G_n}$, $\chi(\alpha^{\vee *}(x^{\mathfrak{l}(\alpha)})) = |x|$ for all $x \in F^*$. In particular, such a character is regular.

Let χ_0 be a character of $T_{n+1}(F)^2$ such that χ is obtained from χ_0 by extension, as explained in Section 2.2.1. Since $\mathfrak{s}(\alpha^\vee(x^{\mathfrak{l}(\alpha)})) = \alpha^{\vee *}(x^{\mathfrak{l}(\alpha)})$, χ_0 satisfies $\chi_0(\alpha^\vee(x^{\mathfrak{l}(\alpha)})) = |x|$ for all $\alpha \in \Delta_{G_n}$ and $x \in F^*$. We see that χ is exceptional if and only if χ_0 satisfies this property. Refer to Section 2.3.3 below for a detailed construction of an exceptional character.

In this section, except in Proposition 2.16, the field is p-adic. Recall that $\mathbf{w_0}$ denotes the longest element of W_n and $w_0 \in \mathfrak{W}_n$ is the representative. Additionally, for brevity, when we refer to a Jacquet functor applied to a genuine representation along some unipotent subgroup U, we drop $\mathfrak{s}$ from the notation, e.g., write $j_U(\cdots)$ instead of $j_{\mathfrak{s}(U)}(\cdots)$.

As in [16] (Theorem 2.2) we have the "periodicity theorem" (see also [48] Theorem I.2.9):

Proposition 2.16. *Let χ be an exceptional character. The representation $\Theta_{G_n,\chi}$ on the space $M(w_0,\chi)V(\chi)$ is irreducible, it is the unique irreducible subrepresentation of $V(^{\mathbf{w_0}}\chi)$ and the unique irreducible quotient of $V(\chi)$. Furthermore if F is p-adic, $j_{N_n}(\Theta_{G_n,\chi}) = \rho(^{\mathbf{w_0}}\chi)$.*

Proof. Consider the p-adic case first. The facts that $V(^{\mathbf{w_0}}\chi)$ has a unique irreducible subrepresentation and $V(\chi)$ has a unique irreducible quotient, follow from the Langlands Quotient Theorem proved for metaplectic groups by Ban and Jantzen [8]. This is because χ belongs to the positive Weyl chamber. Now it is left to compute the Jacquet module and establish the irreducibility of $\Theta_{G_n,\chi}$.

One argues exactly as in [16], starting with computation of $j_{N_n}(\Theta_{G_n,\chi})$, which implies that $\Theta_{G_n,\chi}$ is irreducible. The calculation follows from the properties of the Jacquet functor

described in Section 2.2.1, Claim 2.11, the observation that when $|2| = 1$ and $q > 3$, $1 - q^{-1}({}^{\mathbf{w}_\alpha}\chi(a_\alpha)) = 0$ for all $\alpha \in \Delta_{G_n}$, and a computation on $G_1(F)$ and $\widetilde{SL}_2(F)$ in the remaining cases ($\widetilde{G}_1(F)$ is split).

If F is Archimedean, the proposition follows from the Langlands Quotient Theorem [53], whose proof by Borel and Wallach [13] is applicable to cover groups (see [8]). Note that the results of [8] do not include the characterization of the Langlands quotient in terms of the intertwining operators. □

The representation $\Theta_{G_n,\chi}$ is the exceptional representation corresponding to the exceptional character χ.

We describe the contragradient exceptional representation.

Claim 2.17. *We have $\Theta^\wedge_{G_n,\chi} = \Theta_{G_n,{}^{\mathbf{w_0}}\chi^\wedge}$. Furthermore, the restriction of ${}^{\mathbf{w_0}}\chi^\wedge$ to $\widetilde{T}_{n+1}(F)^2$ agrees with the restriction of χ multiplied by some nongenuine character λ of $\widetilde{T}_{n+1}(F)^2$.*

Proof. Put $\chi' = {}^{\mathbf{w_0}}\chi^\wedge$. Proposition 2.16 implies that $\Theta^\wedge_{G_n,\chi}$ is an irreducible quotient of $V(\chi')$. Let χ_0 (resp. χ'_0) be a character of $T_{n+1}(F)^2$ such that $\chi|_{\widetilde{T}_{n+1}(F)^2}$ (resp. $\chi'|_{\widetilde{T}_{n+1}(F)^2}$) is the extension of χ_0 (resp. χ'_0). If $t \in T_{n+1}(F)^2$, Equality (1.7) implies $\chi'_0 = \chi_0(t \cdot \beta_1^\vee(\Upsilon(t)))$. Denote $\lambda(t) = \chi_0(\beta_1^\vee(\Upsilon(t)))$. Since $\beta_1^\vee(x) \in T_{n+1}(F)^2$ for all $x \in F^*$, λ is a character of $T_{n+1}(F)^2$ and $\chi'_0 = \lambda \cdot \chi_0$. It follows that $\chi'|_{\widetilde{T}_{n+1}(F)^2} = \lambda \cdot (\chi|_{\widetilde{T}_{n+1}(F)^2})$. In particular, χ' is an exceptional character. Then by Proposition 2.16, $\Theta_{G_n,\chi'}$ is the unique irreducible quotient of $V(\chi')$. Hence $\Theta^\wedge_{G_n,\chi} = \Theta_{G_n,\chi'}$. □

Remark 2.8. *In contrast with $\Theta_{SO_{2n+1}}$ of* [16]*, the representation $\Theta_{G_n,\chi}$ is not self-dual.*

The next proposition describes an exceptional representation in terms of exceptional representations of GL_k and G_{n-k}. We briefly recall the construction of exceptional representations of Kazhdan and Patterson [48] (Section I.1 and Theorem I.2.9). Let χ_1 be a genuine character of $C_{\widetilde{T}_{GL_k}(F)} = \widetilde{T}_{GL_k}(F)^2 C_{\widetilde{GL}_k(F)}$. Call χ_1 exceptional if $\chi_1(\mathfrak{s}(\eta_i^\vee(x^2)\eta_{i+1}^\vee(x^{-2}))) = |x|$ for all $1 \le i < k$ and $x \in F^*$. In this case the exceptional representation Θ_{GL_k,χ_1} is the unique irreducible quotient of $Ind_{\widetilde{B}_{GL_k}(F)}^{\widetilde{GL}_k(F)}(\rho(\chi_1))$. It is also the unique irreducible subrepresentation of $Ind_{\widetilde{B}_{GL_k}(F)}^{\widetilde{GL}_k(F)}(\rho({}^{\mathbf{w'_0}}\chi_1))$, where $\mathbf{w'_0}$ is the longest element of the Weyl group of GL_k. Note that for $1 \le i < n$, $\mathfrak{s}(\eta_i^\vee(x^2)\eta_{i+1}^\vee(x^{-2})) = {\alpha_{i+1}^\vee}^*(x^{\mathfrak{l}(\alpha_{i+1})})$.

Let $\mathbf{w''_0}$ be the longest element of W_{n-k} (the Weyl group of G_{n-k}). Also recall the representation $\chi_1 \odot \chi_2$ defined in Section 2.2.4.

The following claim relates an exceptional character of $C_{\widetilde{T}_{n+1}(F)}$ to a pair of such characters of $C_{\widetilde{T}_{GL_k}(F)}$ and $C_{\widetilde{T}_{n-k+1}(F)}$.

Claim 2.18. *Let χ be an exceptional character and let $0 \le k \le n$. There are exceptional characters χ_1 and χ_2 of $C_{\widetilde{T}_{GL_k}(F)}$ and $C_{\widetilde{T}_{n-k+1}(F)}$ such that*

$$ {}^{\mathbf{w_0}}\chi|_{\widetilde{T}_{n+1}(F)^2} = {}^{\mathbf{w'_0}}\chi_1 \odot {}^{\mathbf{w''_0}}\chi_2. \tag{2.34} $$

Proof. Since $\widetilde{T}_{n+1}(F)^2$ is split under $\mathfrak{s}$, one can regard χ as a character of $T_{n+1}(F)^2$ satisfying $\chi(\alpha^\vee(x^{\mathfrak{l}(\alpha)})) = |x|$ for all $\alpha \in \Delta_{G_n}$ and $x \in F^*$. It is enough to show there are characters χ_1 and χ_2 of $T_{GL_k}(F)^2$ and $T_{n-k+1}(F)^2$ with the following properties.

(1) $\chi_1(\eta_i^\vee(x^2)\eta_{i+1}^\vee(x^{-2})) = |x|$ for all $1 \le i < k$ and $x \in F^*$,
(2) $\chi_2(\alpha_i^\vee(x^{\mathfrak{l}(\alpha_i)})) = |x|$ for all $k+2 \le i \le n+1$ and $x \in F^*$,

(3) ${}^{\mathbf{w_0}}\chi|_{T_{n+1}(F)^2} = {}^{\mathbf{w_0'}}\chi_1 \otimes {}^{\mathbf{w_0''}}\chi_2$.

Indeed, given such characters, one can extend them to genuine characters of $\widetilde{T}_{GL_k}(F)^2$ and $\widetilde{T}_{n-k+1}(F)^2$, then extend them again, not necessarily uniquely (depending on the parity of k and n), to exceptional characters of the corresponding centers.

If $a = \prod_{i=1}^{k} \eta_i^\vee(a_i^2)$ and $t = \prod_{i=1}^{n-k} \beta_i^\vee(t_i^2)\beta_{n-k+1}^\vee(t_{n-k+1})$, using (1.2)-(1.4) and (1.7) we get

$$ {}^{\mathbf{w_0}}(at) = \begin{cases} \prod_{i=1}^{k} \eta_i^\vee(a_i^{-2}) \prod_{i=1}^{n-k} \beta_i^\vee(t_i^{-2}t_1^4 \det a^{-2})\beta_{n-k+1}^\vee(t_{n-k+1}^{-1}t_1^2 \det a^{-1}) & k < n, \\ \prod_{i=1}^{n} \eta_i^\vee(a_i^{-2})\beta_1^\vee(\det a^{-1}t_1) & k = n. \end{cases} $$

Set $\eta(a) = \chi(\prod_{i=1}^{n-k} \beta_i^\vee(\det a^{-2})\beta_{n-k+1}^\vee(\det a^{-1}))$, $\chi_1 = \eta \cdot {}^{\mathbf{w_0'}}(\chi|_{T_{GL_k}(F)^2})^{-1}$ and $\chi_2 = \chi|_{T_{n-k+1}(F)^2}$. Clearly these characters satisfy the above properties. □

In general if $0 < k \leq n$ and χ_1 and χ_2 are exceptional characters of $C_{\widetilde{T}_{GL_k}(F)}$ and $C_{\widetilde{T}_{n-k+1}(F)}$, we have the representation $I(\Theta_{GL_k,\chi_1}, \Theta_{G_{n-k},\chi_2})^\star$ defined in Section 2.2.4,

$$ I(\Theta_{GL_k,\chi_1}, \Theta_{G_{n-k},\chi_2})^\star = ind_{p^{-1}(GL_k(F)^\star \times G_{n-k}(F)^\star)}^{\widetilde{M}_k(F)}(\Theta_{GL_k,\chi_1}^\star \otimes \Theta_{G_{n-k},\chi_2}^\star). $$

The following two results are analogs of [16] (Theorem 2.3 and Proposition 2.4).

Proposition 2.19. *Let χ be an exceptional character and let $0 < k \leq n$. Then for any exceptional characters χ_1 and χ_2 of $C_{\widetilde{T}_{GL_k}(F)}$ and $C_{\widetilde{T}_{n-k+1}(F)}$ satisfying* (2.34)*,*

$$ j_{U_k}(\Theta_{G_n,\chi}) \subset I(\Theta_{GL_k,\chi_1}, \Theta_{G_{n-k},\chi_2})^\star. $$

Proof. We start with computing the Jacquet module along $N_{GL_k} \times N_{n-k} < M_k$ of both representations. The double coset space

$$ (GL_k(F)^\star G_{n-k}(F)^\star)\backslash M_k(F)/(T_{n+1}(F)N_{GL_k}(F)N_{n-k}(F)) $$

has one element. According to the Geometric Lemma of Bernstein and Zelevinsky [12] (Theorem 5.2),

$$ \begin{aligned} &j_{N_{GL_k}N_{n-k}}(I(\Theta_{GL_k,\chi_1}, \Theta_{G_{n-k},\chi_2})^\star) \\ &= ind_{p^{-1}(T_{GL_k}(F)^\star \times T_{n-k+1}(F)^\star)}^{\widetilde{T}_{n+1}(F)}(j_{N_{GL_k}}^\star(\Theta_{GL_k,\chi_1}^\star) \otimes j_{N_{n-k}}^\star(\Theta_{G_{n-k},\chi_2}^\star)). \end{aligned} \tag{2.35} $$

Here $j_{N_{GL_k}}^\star$ is the Jacquet functor taking representations of $\widetilde{GL}_k(F)^\star$ to representations of $\widetilde{T}_{GL_k}(F)^\star$ and $j_{N_{n-k}}^\star$ is defined similarly. We have

$$ j_{N_{GL_k}}^\star(\Theta_{GL_k,\chi_1}^\star) = (j_{N_{GL_k}}(\Theta_{GL_k,\chi_1}))^\star = \rho({}^{\mathbf{w_0'}}\chi_1)^\star, $$

where for the first equality we used the fact that $N_{GL_k}(F) < \widetilde{GL}_k(F)^\star$, the second equality follows from [48] (Theorem I.2.9). Similarly, using Proposition 2.16 we get $j_{N_{n-k}}^\star(\Theta_{G_{n-k},\chi_2}^\star) = \rho({}^{\mathbf{w_0''}}\chi_2)^\star$. Applying Lemma 2.15 to the right-hand side of (2.35) implies

$$ j_{N_{GL_k}N_{n-k}}(I(\Theta_{GL_k,\chi_1}, \Theta_{G_{n-k},\chi_2})^\star) = m \bigoplus_{\chi'} \rho(\chi'), $$

where $m > 0$ is an integer (depending only on k and n), and the summation is over all genuine characters χ' of $C_{\widetilde{T}_{n+1}(F)}$ such that

$$ \chi'|_{\widetilde{T}_{n+1}(F)^2} = {}^{\mathbf{w_0'}}\chi_1 \odot {}^{\mathbf{w_0''}}\chi_2 = {}^{\mathbf{w_0}}\chi|_{\widetilde{T}_{n+1}(F)^2} $$

(the second equality is (2.34)). Thus ${}^{\mathbf{w_0}}\chi$ appears in the summation at least once.

Since $j_{N_{GL_k}N_{n-k}}(I(\Theta_{GL_k,\chi_1},\Theta_{G_{n-k},\chi_2})^\star)$ is semisimple and the Jacquet functor is exact, $j_{N_{GL_k}N_{n-k}}(\mathcal{V}_0)$ is semisimple for any $\mathcal{V}_0 \subset I(\Theta_{GL_k,\chi_1},\Theta_{G_{n-k},\chi_2})^\star$. Thus there is an irreducible $\mathcal{V} \subset I(\Theta_{GL_k,\chi_1},\Theta_{G_{n-k},\chi_2})^\star$ such that $\rho({}^{\mathbf{w_0}}\chi)$ is a quotient of $j_{N_{GL_k}N_{n-k}}(\mathcal{V})$. Set

$$I^{\widetilde{M}_k}(\rho({}^{\mathbf{w_0}}\chi)) = Ind_{p^{-1}(T_{n+1}(F)N_{GL_k}(F)N_{n-k}(F))}^{\widetilde{M}_k(F)}(\rho({}^{\mathbf{w_0}}\chi)).$$

Then Frobenius reciprocity shows

$$Hom_{\widetilde{M}_k(F)}(\mathcal{V}, I^{\widetilde{M}_k}(\rho({}^{\mathbf{w_0}}\chi))) = Hom_{\widetilde{T}_{n+1}(F)}(j_{N_{GL_k}N_{n-k}}(\mathcal{V}), \rho({}^{\mathbf{w_0}}\chi)) \neq 0 \tag{2.36}$$

whence $\mathcal{V} \subset I^{\widetilde{M}_k}(\rho({}^{\mathbf{w_0}}\chi))$. According to the Langlands Quotient Theorem [8] the representation $I^{\widetilde{M}_k}(\rho({}^{\mathbf{w_0}}\chi))$ has a unique irreducible subrepresentation, which is $\mathcal{V}$.

Let us turn to $j_{U_k}(\Theta_{G_n,\chi})$. Proposition 2.16 implies

$$j_{N_{GL_k}N_{n-k}}(j_{U_k}(\Theta_{G_n,\chi})) = j_{N_n}(\Theta_{G_n,\chi}) = \rho({}^{\mathbf{w_0}}\chi).$$

The representation $j_{U_k}(\Theta_{G_n,\chi})$, as a Jacquet module of a quotient of $V(\chi)$ with respect to a non-minimal unipotent radical, does not have a cuspidal constituent. Hence, since $j_{N_{GL_k}N_{n-k}}(j_{U_k}(\Theta_{G_n,\chi}))$ is irreducible, so is $j_{U_k}(\Theta_{G_n,\chi})$. Now (2.36) with $j_{U_k}(\Theta_{G_n,\chi})$ instead of $\mathcal{V}$ shows $j_{U_k}(\Theta_{G_n,\chi}) \subset I^{\widetilde{M}_k}(\rho({}^{\mathbf{w_0}}\chi))$. Thus $j_{U_k}(\Theta_{G_n,\chi}) = \mathcal{V} \subset I(\Theta_{GL_k,\chi_1},\Theta_{G_{n-k},\chi_2})^\star$. □

Corollary 2.20. *Let χ be an exceptional character and let $0 < k \leq n$. For any exceptional characters χ_1,χ_3 of $C_{\widetilde{T}_{GL_k}(F)}$ and χ_2,χ_4 of $C_{\widetilde{T}_{n-k+1}(F)}$ such that (χ_1,χ_2) satisfy* (2.34) *with respect to χ, and $({}^{\mathbf{w_0'}}\chi_3^\wedge, {}^{\mathbf{w_0''}}\chi_4^\wedge)$ satisfy* (2.34) *with respect to ${}^{\mathbf{w_0}}\chi^\wedge$,*

$$Hom_{\widetilde{G}_n(F)}(\Theta_{G_n,\chi}, Ind_{\widetilde{Q}_k(F)}^{\widetilde{G}_n(F)}(I(\Theta_{GL_k,\chi_1},\Theta_{G_{n-k},\chi_2})^\star)) \neq 0,$$
$$Hom_{\widetilde{G}_n(F)}(Ind_{\widetilde{Q}_k(F)}^{\widetilde{G}_n(F)}(I(\Theta_{GL_k,\chi_3},\Theta_{G_{n-k},\chi_4})^\star), \Theta_{G_n,\chi}) \neq 0.$$

Proof. The first assertion follows from Proposition 2.19 using the Frobenius reciprocity. Dualizing,

$$Hom_{\widetilde{G}_n(F)}(Ind_{\widetilde{Q}_k(F)}^{\widetilde{G}_n(F)}((I(\Theta_{GL_k,\chi_1},\Theta_{G_{n-k},\chi_2})^\star)^\wedge), \Theta_{G_n,\chi}^\wedge) \neq 0.$$

In general if ξ is a genuine representation of $\widetilde{H}(F)$ where $H < G_n$, $(\xi^\star)^\wedge = (\xi^\wedge)^\star$ (because $\widetilde{H}(F)^\star$ contains an open neighborhood of the identity of $\widetilde{H}(F)$). Applying Claim 2.17 and its analog for GL_n we get

$$(I(\Theta_{GL_k,\chi_1},\Theta_{G_{n-k},\chi_2})^\star)^\wedge = I(\Theta_{GL_k,{}^{\mathbf{w_0'}}\chi_1^\wedge},\Theta_{G_{n-k},{}^{\mathbf{w_0''}}\chi_2^\wedge})^\star.$$

Now replace χ with ${}^{\mathbf{w_0}}\chi^\wedge$ and set $\chi_1 = {}^{\mathbf{w_0'}}\chi_3^\wedge$ and $\chi_2 = {}^{\mathbf{w_0''}}\chi_4^\wedge$. We see that

$$Hom_{\widetilde{G}_n(F)}(Ind_{\widetilde{Q}_k(F)}^{\widetilde{G}_n(F)}(I(\Theta_{GL_k,\chi_3},\Theta_{G_{n-k},\chi_4})^\star), \Theta_{G_n,\chi}) \neq 0.$$ □

In the case $k = n$, we can strengthen the results of Proposition 2.19 and Corollary 2.20 and obtain a result more similar to that of [16].

Claim 2.21. *There are unique exceptional characters $\chi_1,\chi_2,\chi_3,\chi_4$ such that*

$$j_{U_n}(\Theta_{G_n,\chi}) = \Theta_{GL_n,\chi_1}\otimes\Theta_{G_0,\chi_2},$$
$$Hom_{\widetilde{G}_n(F)}(\Theta_{G_n,\chi}, Ind_{\widetilde{Q}_n(F)}^{\widetilde{G}_n(F)}(\Theta_{GL_n,\chi_1}\otimes\Theta_{G_0,\chi_2}))\neq 0,$$
$$Hom_{\widetilde{G}_n(F)}(Ind_{\widetilde{Q}_n(F)}^{\widetilde{G}_n(F)}(\Theta_{GL_n,\chi_3}\otimes\Theta_{G_0,\chi_4}),\Theta_{G_n,\chi})\neq 0.$$

Proof. In this case $C_{\widetilde{T}_{n+1}(F)}$ is the product of $C_{\widetilde{T}_{GL_n}(F)}$ and $\widetilde{T}_1(F)$ with amalgamated μ_2. Therefore, there are unique characters χ_1 and χ_2 such that ${}^{\mathbf{w_0}}\chi = {}^{\mathbf{w_0'}}\chi_1\otimes\chi_2$. Then the first assertion follows as in [16] (Theorem 2.3), by calculating the Jacquet modules and using the fact that the representation induced from $\rho({}^{\mathbf{w_0}}\chi)$ to $\widetilde{M}_n(F)$ has a unique irreducible subrepresentation. The other assertions follow from the Frobenius reciprocity. □

Due to global reasons (see Proposition 3.4 below), it will be necessary to compute the constant $c(\mathbf{w_0},\chi)$ defined in Claim 2.11. We have the following claim.

Claim 2.22. *Let χ be an exceptional character, which is unramified. Then*

$$c(\mathbf{w_0},\chi) = \prod_{2\leq i<j\leq n+1}\frac{(1-q^{-1-j+i})(1-q^{-1+j+i-2(n+2)})}{(1-q^{-j+i})(1-q^{j+i-2(n+2)})}\prod_{2\leq i\leq n+1}\frac{(1-q^{-1-n-2+i})}{(1-q^{-n-2+i})}.$$

Proof. We compute $\chi(a_\alpha)$ for an arbitrary $\alpha\in\Sigma_{G_n}^+$. We will show

$$\chi(a_\alpha) = \begin{cases} q^{-j+i} & \alpha=\epsilon_i-\epsilon_j,\quad 2\leq i<j\leq n+1,\\ q^{j+i-2(n+2)} & \alpha=\epsilon_i+\epsilon_j,\quad 2\leq i<j\leq n+1,\\ q^{-n-2+i} & \alpha=\epsilon_i,\quad 2\leq i\leq n+1.\end{cases}$$

The formula for $c(\mathbf{w_0},\chi)$ clearly follows from this.

In general if $\alpha^\vee(x)=\prod_{l=1}^m\alpha_{i_l}^\vee(x_l)$ where $\alpha_{i_l}\in\Delta_{G'_{n+1}}$, i.e., $1\leq i_l\leq n+1$ for all $1\leq l\leq m$, and for each l either $x_l\in F^{*2}$ or $i_l=n+1$, then $\prod_{l=1}^m\alpha_{i_l}^\vee(x_l)\in T_{n+1}(F)^2$. Then by applying $\mathfrak{s}$ we obtain $\alpha^{\vee *}(x)=\prod_{l=1}^m\alpha_{i_l}^{\vee *}(x_l)$, whence $\chi(\alpha^{\vee *}(x))=\prod_{l=1}^m\chi(\alpha_{i_l}^{\vee *}(x_l))$.

Start with the computation of $\chi(a_\alpha)$ for $\alpha=\epsilon_i-\epsilon_j$, $2\leq i<j\leq n+1$. Since $\alpha^\vee=\prod_{l=i}^{j-1}\alpha_l^\vee$ and $\mathfrak{l}(\alpha)=2$, $\alpha^\vee(\varpi^2)=\prod_{l=i}^{j-1}\alpha_l^\vee(\varpi^2)$. The definition of χ implies $\chi({\alpha_l}^{\vee *}(\varpi^2))=q^{-1}$, giving the result.

Next consider $\alpha=\epsilon_i$, $2\leq i\leq n+1$. We have $\mathfrak{l}(\alpha)=1$ and $\alpha^\vee(\varpi)=\prod_{l=i}^n\alpha_l^\vee(\varpi^2)\alpha_{n+1}^\vee(\varpi)$ (if $n=1$ there is no product), whence $\chi(a_\alpha)=q^{-n-2+i}$.

For $\alpha=\epsilon_i+\epsilon_j$ $(2\leq i<j\leq n+1)$, $\alpha^\vee(\varpi^2)=(\epsilon_i-\epsilon_j)^\vee(\varpi^2)\epsilon_j^\vee(\varpi^2)$. Applying $\mathfrak{s}$ gives $\alpha^{\vee *}(\varpi^2)=(\epsilon_i-\epsilon_j)^{\vee *}(\varpi^2)\epsilon_j^{\vee *}(\varpi^2)$. Now the result follows from the previous calculations. □

2.3.2. *Vanishing results.* As described in the introduction, the main motivation for studying exceptional representations is their applications. The remarkable (local) property of these representations is the vanishing of many twisted Jacquet modules.

In this section F is a non-Archimedean field of odd residual characteristic. Fix a nontrivial additive character ψ of F. For a column $b\in F^l$ define the "length" of b, $\ell(b)$, with respect to the symmetric bilinear form corresponding to J_l, $\ell(b)={}^tbJ_lb$. If $U<N_n$, $u\in U(F)$ and $\alpha\in\Sigma_{G_n}^+$, denote by u_α the projection of u on $\mathcal{U}_\alpha$.

We recall the notion of unipotent classes and their corresponding unipotent subgroups and characters. Since the unipotent subgroups of G_n are in bijection with those of SO_{2n+1},

we can use the description of Bump, Friedberg and Ginzburg [16] (Section 4). For a general reference see [21, 19]. See also Ginzburg [26].

A partition of k is an m-tuple $r_1 \geq \ldots \geq r_m > 0$ such that $r_1 + \ldots + r_m = k$. There is a natural partial order on the set of partitions of k, $(r_1, \ldots, r_m) \geq (r'_1, \ldots, r'_{m'})$ if $\sum_{i=1}^{l} r_i \geq \sum_{i=1}^{l} r'_i$ for all $1 \leq l \leq \min(m, m')$. We denote $(r_1, \ldots, r_m) \not\geq (r'_1, \ldots, r'_{m'})$ if $(r_1, \ldots, r_m)$ is greater than or non comparable with $(r'_1, \ldots, r'_{m'})$.

A unipotent class $\mathcal{O} = (r_1, \ldots, r_m)$ of G_n corresponds to a partition of $2n+1$ in which any even number appears with even multiplicity. Write the integers occurring in the multiset $\bigcup_{i=1}^{m} \{r_i - 2j + 1\}_{j=1}^{r_i}$ in a decreasing order and let $l_1 \geq \ldots \geq l_n \geq 0$ be the first n numbers (these are the n largest ones). Define the one-parameter subgroup $h_{\mathcal{O}}$ by the image of

$$h_{\mathcal{O}} = \prod_{i=1}^{n} (\eta_i^{\vee})^{l_i}.$$

The group $h_{\mathcal{O}}$ acts on N_n by conjugation. For any $\alpha \in \Sigma_{G_n}^{+}$ there exists $j_\alpha \geq 0$ such that $h_{\mathcal{O}}(t) n_\alpha(x) h_{\mathcal{O}}(t)^{-1} = n_\alpha(t^{j_\alpha} x)$ for all x and t. Let $V_{\mathcal{O}} < N_n$ be the unipotent subgroup generated by those n_α for which $j_\alpha \geq 2$ (i.e., $V_{\mathcal{O}}(F)$ is generated by $\{n_\alpha(x) : j_\alpha \geq 2, x \in F\}$). Let $V_{\mathcal{O}}^{\mathrm{a}}$ be the quotient of $V_{\mathcal{O}}$ by its derived group. Recall (Section 1.1) that $C(G_n, h_{\mathcal{O}})$ denotes the centralizer of $h_{\mathcal{O}}$ in G_n. The centralizer $C(G_n, h_{\mathcal{O}})$ acts on $V_{\mathcal{O}}^{\mathrm{a}}$ by conjugation. The stabilizer of $x \in V_{\mathcal{O}}^{\mathrm{a}}$ under this action is denoted St_x and its connected component by St_x^0.

Any character of $V_{\mathcal{O}}(F)$ is the pull back of a character of $V_{\mathcal{O}}^{\mathrm{a}}(F)$, and because $V_{\mathcal{O}}^{\mathrm{a}}(F)$ is abelian, such a character can be identified with a point in $V_{\mathcal{O}}^{\mathrm{a}}(F)$. If $x \in V_{\mathcal{O}}^{\mathrm{a}}(F)$, let ψ_x be the corresponding character.

Let $\overline{F}$ be the algebraic closure of F. The action of $C(G_n(\overline{F}), h_{\mathcal{O}}(\overline{F}^*))$ on $V_{\mathcal{O}}^{\mathrm{a}}(\overline{F})$ has an open orbit. For $\varepsilon \in V_{\mathcal{O}}^{\mathrm{a}}(\overline{F})$ in this orbit, $St_\varepsilon(\overline{F})^0$ is a reductive group. Let $b \in V_{\mathcal{O}}^{\mathrm{a}}(F)$. The character ψ_b is called generic if b belongs to the open orbit.

Remark 2.9. *In* [16] *the notion of a generic character was restricted to allow only F-split stabilizers. For example, if $St_\varepsilon(\overline{F})^0 = SO_{2l}(\overline{F})$, then ψ_b is generic if $St_b(F)^0$ is the F-split group $SO_{2l}(F)$. A result of* [17] *(Proposition 3) indicates this notion can be relaxed by considering quasi-split stabilizers.*

Recall that $\mathcal{O}_0 = (2^n 1)$ if n is even, otherwise $\mathcal{O}_0 = (2^{n-1} 1^3)$. Let χ be an exceptional character of $C_{\widetilde{T}_{n+1}(F)}$. In this section we prove Theorem 1. Namely, for any $\mathcal{O} \not\geq \mathcal{O}_0$ and generic character ψ_b of $V_{\mathcal{O}}(F)$,

$$j_{V_{\mathcal{O}}, \psi_b}(\Theta_{G_n, \chi}) = 0. \tag{2.37}$$

(As in Section 2.3.1 we omitted $\mathfrak{s}$.) Let $\mathcal{O}_1 = (31^{2n-2})$. If a unipotent class $\mathcal{O}$ satisfies $\mathcal{O} \not\geq \mathcal{O}_0$, then $\mathcal{O} \geq \mathcal{O}_1$. This was used by Bump, Friedberg and Ginzburg [16] (Theorem 4.2) to reduce the (global) vanishing results to a statement on $\mathcal{O}_1$ ([16] Proposition 4.3). We follow (a local version of) their arguments (see [17], proof of Proposition 6). In Lemmas 2.23-2.25 we prove $j_{V_{\mathcal{O}_1}, \psi_b}(\Theta_{G_n, \chi}) = 0$. The proof of (2.37) will follow from this using properties of Jacquet modules.

In the case of $\mathcal{O}_1$, the corresponding unipotent subgroup $V_{\mathcal{O}_1}$ is U_1. If $u \in U_1(F)$, let $r(u) \in F^{2n-1}$ be the row vector given by

$$r(u) = (u_{\epsilon_2 - \epsilon_3}, \ldots, u_{\epsilon_2 - \epsilon_{n+1}}, u_{\epsilon_2}, u_{\epsilon_2 + \epsilon_{n+1}}, \ldots, u_{\epsilon_2 + \epsilon_3}).$$

Since U_1 is abelian, for any column $b \in F^{2n-1}$ we have a character of $U_1(F)$ given by $\psi_b(u) = \psi(r(u)b)$. Also $C(G_n, h_{\mathcal{O}_1}) = M_1$. The points belonging to the open orbit are those $b \in U_1(\overline{F})$ with $\ell(b) \neq 0$. Indeed, there are only three orbits, $\{b : \ell(b) \neq 0\}$, $\{b : \ell(b) = 0\}$ and $\{b = 0\}$. if $\ell(b) = 0$, $St_b(\overline{F})^0$ is not reductive, because it contains a unipotent radical of G_{n-1}, which is normal in $St_b(\overline{F})^0$.

Let $b \in U_1(F)$. Then ψ_b is generic if and only if $\ell(b) \neq 0$. For a generic ψ_b, $St_b(F)^0 \cong GSpin_{2(n-1)}(F)$, a quasi-split group (in [16, 17] this was $SO_{2(n-1)}(F)$), where $GSpin_{2(n-1)} < G_{n-1} < M_1$. To see this start with the root subgroups contained in the stabilizer, these are found using the identification of U_1 with a unipotent radical U_1' of SO_{2n+1} (see Section 1.2). To find the coroots write $t \in T_{n+1}(F)$ in the form (1.5), then consider the action of $\prod_{i=1}^{n} \eta_i^\vee(a_i)$ on $U_1'(F)$ ($\beta_1^\vee(t_1) \in C_{G_n(F)}$).

Lemma 2.23. *Assume $n = 1$ and let φ be a linear functional on the space of $\Theta_{G_1,\chi}$. If $\varphi(n^*_{\alpha_2}(x)v) = \psi(x)\varphi(v)$ for all $x \in F$, then $\varphi = 0$.*

Proof. The subgroup generated by $n_{\alpha_2}(x)$ is $U_1(F) = N_1(F)$. The functional φ is the usual Whittaker functional with respect to N_1 and ψ. We will show that $V({}^{\mathbf{w_0}}\chi)$ contains an irreducible genuine representation, which does not afford such a functional. By Proposition 2.16 this representation must be $\Theta_{G_1,\chi}$, which gives the result.

In this case χ is a character of $\widetilde{T}_2(F)$ (see Section 2.2.1). By Claim 2.2 the cover splits, hence χ can be considered as the extension of a character χ' of $T_2(F)$. The character χ' must satisfy $\chi'(\alpha_2^\vee(t_2)) = |t_2|$ for all $t_2 \in F^*$. Since $w_0 = w_{\alpha_2}$, Equalities (1.6)-(1.8) imply

$$\delta_{B_1(F)}^{1/2} {}^{\mathbf{w_0}}\chi'(\alpha_1^\vee(t_1)\alpha_2^\vee(t_2)) = |t_1|^{1/2}\chi'(\alpha_1^\vee(t_1)) = \eta(\Upsilon(\alpha_1^\vee(t_1)\alpha_2^\vee(t_2))),$$

where η is some character of F^*. Hence the nongenuine function $f(g) = \eta(\Upsilon(g))$ belongs to $V({}^{\mathbf{w_0}}\chi)$ and by its definition, spans a one-dimensional subspace which is also a $G_1(F)$-module. Because the cover splits, f can be extended to a genuine function hence this is also a genuine $\widetilde{G}_1(F)$-module. The action of $n^*_{\alpha_2}(x)$ on this subspace is trivial, completing the proof. □

In the case $n = 2$, $U_1(F)$ is generated by $n_{\alpha_2}(x)$, $n_{\alpha_2+\alpha_3}(y)$ and $n_{\alpha_2+2\alpha_3}(z)$.

Lemma 2.24. *Assume $n = 2$ and let φ be a linear functional on the space of $\Theta_{G_2,\chi}$. If $b_1, b_2, b_3 \in F$ satisfy $\ell({}^t(b_1, b_2, b_3)) \neq 0$ and for all $x, y, z \in F$,*

$$\varphi(n^*_{\alpha_2}(x)n^*_{\alpha_2+\alpha_3}(y)n^*_{\alpha_2+2\alpha_3}(z)v) = \psi(b_1x + b_2y + b_3z)\varphi(v),$$

then $\varphi = 0$.

Proof. By Claim 2.8, $C_{\widetilde{T}_3(F)} = \widetilde{T}_3(F)^2$, hence we can regard χ as the extension to $\widetilde{T}_3(F)^2$ of a character χ_0 of $T_3(F)^2$. If $t = \eta_1^\vee(a_1^2)\eta_2^\vee(a_2^2)\beta_1^\vee(t_1)$,

$$\delta_{B_2(F)}^{1/2} {}^{\mathbf{w_0}}\chi_0(t) = |a_1|^{-1}|a_2|^{-2}|t_1|^2\chi_0(\alpha_1^\vee(a_1^{-2}a_2^{-2}t_1^2)) = |a_1|\eta^{-1}(a_1^{-2}a_2^{-2}t_1^2) = |a_1|\eta(\Upsilon(t)),$$

for a suitable character η of F^*.

Let $M_1(F)^{\boxplus} = GL_1(F)^* \times G_1(F)^*$ and $Q_1(F)^{\boxplus} = M_1(F)^{\boxplus} \ltimes U_1(F)$. According to (2.21), and because the covers of $GL_1(F)$ and $G_1(F)$ are split, the cover of $M_1(F)^{\boxplus}$ is split. Therefore $\delta_{Q_1(F)}^{1/6}\eta\Upsilon$ can be pulled back to a genuine representation of $\widetilde{Q}_1(F)^{\boxplus}$ whence $Ind_{\widetilde{Q}_1(F)^{\boxplus}}^{\widetilde{G}_2(F)}(\delta_{Q_1(F)}^{1/6}\eta\Upsilon)$ is a genuine representation (this induction is not normalized). Because

$[\widetilde{Q_1}(F):\widetilde{Q_1}(F)^{\boxplus}]$ is finite and for t as above $\delta_{Q_1(F)}^{1/6}(t)=|a_1|$, we get

$$Ind_{\widetilde{Q_1}(F)^{\boxplus}}^{\widetilde{G}_2(F)}(\delta_{Q_1(F)}^{1/6}\eta\Upsilon)\subset Ind_{\widetilde{B}_2(F)}^{\widetilde{G}_2(F)}(\rho({}^{\mathbf{w_0}}\chi)).$$

This inclusion and Proposition 2.16 imply that $Ind_{\widetilde{Q_1}(F)^{\boxplus}}^{\widetilde{G}_2(F)}(\delta_{Q_1(F)}^{1/6}\eta\Upsilon)$ has a unique irreducible genuine subrepresentation, which must be $\Theta_{G_2,\chi}$. We proceed to show that $[F^*:F^{*2}]$ non-isomorphic irreducible representations can be embedded in $\Theta_{G_2,\chi}$ over $\widetilde{G}_2(F)^\star$. These will be certain twists of the Weil representation. According to Bernstein and Zelevinsky [11] (2.9), $\Theta_{G_2,\chi}^\star$ equals the sum of these representations ($[\widetilde{G}_2(F):\widetilde{G}_2(F)^\star]=[F^*:F^{*2}]$). Thus it will suffice to show that the prescribed functional must vanish on each of them.

We use the exceptional isomorphism $G_2'\cong Sp_2$. Let Sp_2 be the subgroup of $GL_4(F)$ preserving the antisymmetric form on F^4 given by $(x,y)\mapsto {}^tx\left(\begin{smallmatrix}&J_2\\-J_2&\end{smallmatrix}\right)y$ (the matrix J_2 was defined in Section 1.1). Fix the Borel subgroup $B_{Sp_2}=T_{Sp_2}\ltimes N_{Sp_2}$ of upper triangular matrices in Sp_2. Let γ_1,γ_2 be the coordinate functions, i.e., if $y=diag(y_1,y_2,y_2^{-1},y_1^{-1})\in T_{Sp_2}(F)$, $\gamma_i(y)=y_i$. Denote by ϱ_1,ϱ_2 the simple roots of Sp_2. The mapping from G_2' to Sp_2 is defined by $\alpha_2\mapsto\varrho_2$ and $\alpha_3\mapsto\varrho_1$.

Let ω_ψ be the Weil representation of the metaplectic double cover $\widetilde{Sp_2}(F)$ of $Sp_2(F)$, realized on the space $\mathcal{S}(F^2)$ of Schwartz-Bruhat functions on the row space F^2. It satisfies the following formulas (see [65]):

$$\begin{aligned}&\omega_\psi((diag(a,a^*),\zeta))\phi(\xi)=\zeta\gamma_\psi(\det a)|\det a|^{\frac{1}{2}}\phi(\xi a),\\ &\omega_\psi(((\begin{smallmatrix}I_2&u\\&I_2\end{smallmatrix}),\zeta))\phi(\xi)=\zeta\psi(\frac{1}{2}\xi J_2{}^tu^t\xi)\phi(\xi).\end{aligned}\tag{2.38}$$

Here $a\in GL_2(F)$, $\zeta\in\mu_2$, $\phi\in\mathcal{S}(F^2)$, $\xi\in F^2$ and γ_ψ is the normalized Weil factor associated to ψ (see Sections 1.1 and 1.3.4). Note that $\gamma_\psi|_{F^{*2}}=1$. We have $\omega_\psi=\omega_\psi^{even}\oplus\omega_\psi^{odd}$, a decomposition into even and odd functions, each space is irreducible. For $c\in F^*$, denote $\psi_c(x)=\psi(cx)$. If $c\neq d$ modulo F^{*2}, $\omega_{\psi_c}^{even}$ and $\omega_{\psi_d}^{even}$ are non-isomorphic.

The cover $\widetilde{G}_2'(F)$ is nontrivial and because $\widetilde{Sp}_2(F)$ is unique, the isomorphism $G_2'\cong Sp_2$ extends to the cover groups and we can regard ω_ψ as a representation of $\widetilde{G}_2'(F)$. We have $C_{\widetilde{G}_2(F)^\star}=C_{\widetilde{G}_2(F)}$, $C_{\widetilde{G}_2(F)}\cap\widetilde{G}_2'(F)=p^{-1}(\alpha_3^\vee(\mp1))$ and $\widetilde{G}_2(F)^\star=C_{\widetilde{G}_2(F)^\star}\widetilde{G}_2'(F)$ (see Claim 2.7). Since $\alpha_3^\vee(-1)$ is mapped to the matrix $-I_4\in Sp_2(F)$ which acts by -1 on ω_ψ^{odd} and by 1 on ω_ψ^{even}, and $\Upsilon(\alpha_3^\vee(-1))=1$, we can extend ω_ψ^{even} to an irreducible genuine representation $\eta\Upsilon\cdot\omega_\psi^{even}$ of $\widetilde{G}_2(F)^\star$.

For an even function $\phi\in\mathcal{S}(F^2)$, define

$$E(\phi)(g)=\begin{cases}\eta\Upsilon\cdot\omega_\psi^{even}(g)\phi(0) & g\in\widetilde{G}_2(F)^\star,\\ 0 & \text{otherwise.}\end{cases}$$

We claim that $\phi\mapsto E(\phi)$ defines an embedding of $\eta\Upsilon\cdot\omega_\psi^{even}$ in $\Theta_{G_2,\chi}^\star$. It is enough to show $E(\phi)\in Ind_{\widetilde{Q_1}(F)^{\boxplus}}^{\widetilde{G}_2(F)}(\delta_{Q_1(F)}^{1/6}\eta\Upsilon)$. Indeed, because $Ind_{\widetilde{Q_1}(F)^{\boxplus}}^{\widetilde{G}_2(F)}(\delta_{Q_1(F)}^{1/6}\eta\Upsilon)$ is of finite length and $\widetilde{G}_2(F)^\star\backslash\widetilde{G}_2(F)$ is a finite group, any $\widetilde{G}_2(F)^\star$-submodule of $Ind_{\widetilde{Q_1}(F)^{\boxplus}}^{\widetilde{G}_2(F)}(\delta_{Q_1(F)}^{1/6}\eta\Upsilon)$ is contained in $\Theta_{G_2,\chi}^\star$.

Clearly $E(\phi)$ is a smooth genuine function on $\widetilde{G}_2(F)$ with support in $\widetilde{G}_2(F)^\star$.

For $m \in M_1(F)^{\boxplus}$ we can write $m = cm_1h'$ with

$$c = \alpha_1^\vee(a_1^{-2}t_1^2)\alpha_2^\vee(a_1^{-2}t_1^2)\alpha_3^\vee(a_1^{-1}t_1) \in C_{G_2(F)}, \qquad m_1 = \alpha_2^\vee(a_1^2)\alpha_3^\vee(a_1t_1^{-1}t_2), \qquad h' \in G_1'(F).$$

We must show

$$E(\phi)(mg) = |a_1|\eta\Upsilon(a_1^{-2}t_1^2)E(\phi)(g), \qquad \forall g \in \widetilde{G}_2(F).$$

Note that we can regard m as an element of $\widetilde{M_1}(F)^{\boxplus}$ because the cover splits. Since $M_1(F)^{\boxplus} < G_2(F)^\star$, it is enough to assume $g \in \widetilde{G}_2(F)^\star$.

Since h' is generated by the elements $n_{\alpha_3}(x)$ $(x \in F)$ and w_{α_3}, whose images in $Sp_2(F)$ belong to $\{diag(a, a^*) : a \in SL_2(F)\}$, $\omega_\psi^{even}((h', 1))\phi(0) = \phi(0)$. Also the image of m_1 in $Sp_2(F)$ is

$$\varrho_1^\vee(a_1t_1^{-1}t_2)\varrho_2^\vee(a_1^2) = diag(a_1t_1^{-1}t_2, a_1t_1t_2^{-1}, (a_1t_1t_2^{-1})^{-1}, (a_1t_1^{-1}t_2)^{-1}).$$

Thus $\eta\Upsilon \cdot \omega_\psi^{even}((m, 1))\phi(0) = |a_1|\eta\Upsilon(a_1^{-2}t_1^2)\phi(0)$ as required.

Regarding invariancy under $\mathfrak{s}(U_1(F))$, let $V(F) < Sp_2(F)$ be the unipotent subgroup

$$\left\{ v(x, y, z) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & y & z \\ & 1 & x & y \\ & & 1 & 0 \\ & & & 1 \end{pmatrix} : x, y, z \in F \right\}.$$

The image of $n_{\alpha_2}(x)n_{\alpha_2+\alpha_3}(y)n_{\alpha_2+2\alpha_3}(z) \in U_1(F)$ in $Sp_2(F)$ is $v(x, y, z)$, which acts trivially at 0 by (2.38).

We conclude that E is an embedding. Of course, this applies to any ψ_c. It remains to show that $\eta\Upsilon \cdot \omega_\psi^{even}$ does not afford the functional appearing in the proposition. Indeed, the subgroup $U_1(F)$ is in bijection with $V(F)$ and exactly as in [16] (Proposition 2.5), as long as $2b_1b_3 + b_2^2 \neq 0$, any such functional vanishes on the space of ω_ψ^{even}. □

Remark 2.10. *The proof follows the arguments of* [16] *(Proposition 2.5). There, the analog of $G_2(F)^\star$ is the kernel of the spinor norm map, $SO_5(F)'$.*

The following lemma combines [16] (Theorem 2.6) and [17] (Proposition 3).

Lemma 2.25. *Assume $n \geq 1$. For any generic character ψ_b of $V_{\mathcal{O}_1}(F)$, $j_{V_{\mathcal{O}_1},\psi_b}(\Theta_{G_n,\chi}) = 0$.*

Remark 2.11. *If $\ell(b) \in F^{*2}$, it is possible to conjugate ψ_b into the character $u \mapsto \psi(u_{\epsilon_2})$. Then the statement of Lemma 2.25 is similar to that of Theorem 2.6 of* [16]*. The general case was stated in* [17] *(Proposition 3).*

Proof. Exactly as in [16], the proof is derived using a sequence of claims, all of which follow from the results already proved in Sections 2.3.1-2.3.2. The arguments of [16] referring to unipotent subgroups can be repeated without a change. The difference is that here instead of using a tensor product representation to describe $j_{U_k}(\Theta_{G_n,\chi})$, we use the induced representation of Proposition 2.19 (or Claim 2.21 when $k = n$). We briefly present the arguments of [16] and focus on the necessary changes. The restriction of the cover $\widetilde{G}_n(F)$ to $GSpin_{2(n-1)}(F)$ gives a cover of the latter. The key observation is that $j_{U_1,\psi_b}(\Theta_{G_n,\chi})$ is either a supercuspidal representation of $\widetilde{GSpin}_{2(n-1)}(F)$, or zero.

Claim 2.26. *Assume $2 \le k \le n$. Let $c_1, \dots, c_{k-1} \in F$ and $d_1, d_2, d_3 \in F$ be given with $\ell({}^t(d_1, d_2, d_3)) \neq 0$. Define a character ψ of $N_{GL_k}(F)U_k(F)$ by*

$$\psi(u) = \begin{cases} \psi(\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} c_i u_{\alpha_{i+1}} + d_1 u_{\epsilon_{k+1}-\epsilon_{n+1}} + d_2 u_{\epsilon_{k+1}} + d_3 u_{\epsilon_{k+1}+\epsilon_{n+1}}) & k < n, \\ \psi(\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} c_i u_{\alpha_{i+1}} + u_{\epsilon_{n+1}}) & k = n. \end{cases}$$

Then $j_{N_{GL_k}U_k,\psi}(\Theta_{G_n,\chi}) = 0$. Here N_{GL_k} is embedded in the GL_k part of M_k.

Proof. We use induction on n, the base case is $n = 2$. For any $1 \le l < k$, let G_{n-l} be embedded in M_l and $Q'_{k-l} = M'_{k-l} \ltimes U'_{k-l} < G_{n-l}$ be the standard parabolic subgroup with a Levi part M'_{k-l} isomorphic to $GL_{k-l} \times G_{n-k}$. Let $N'_{GL_{k-l}}$ be the embedding of $N_{GL_{k-l}}$ in the GL_{k-l} part of M'_{k-l}. As a unipotent subgroup of SO_{2n+1}, $N_{GL_k}U_k$ takes the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} z_1 & u_1 & u_2 & u_3 & u_4 \\ & z_2 & v_1 & v_2 & * \\ & & I_{2(n-k)+1} & * & * \\ & & & * & * \\ & & & & * \end{pmatrix},$$

where $z_1 \in N_{GL_l}$, $z_2 \in N'_{GL_{k-l}}$, $u_1, \dots, u_4$ are the coordinates of U_l and v_1, v_2 are the coordinates of U'_{k-l}.

Assume $c_l = 0$ for some $1 \le l < k$. Then

$$j_{N_{GL_k}U_k,\psi} = j_{N_{GL_l},\psi_1} j_{N'_{GL_{k-l}}U'_{k-l},\psi_2} j_{U_l},$$

where ψ_1 and ψ_2 are obtained from ψ by restriction.

By the induction hypothesis, or by Lemma 2.23 if $n - l = 1$ (and then $k = n$),

$$j_{N'_{GL_{k-l}}U'_{k-l},\psi_2}(\Theta_{G_{n-l},\chi_2}) = 0 \tag{2.39}$$

for any exceptional character χ_2 of $C_{\widetilde{T}_{n-l+1}(F)}$.

Write as in Proposition 2.19, $j_{U_l}(\Theta_{G_n,\chi}) \subset I(\Theta_{GL_l,\chi_1}, \Theta_{G_{n-l},\chi_2})^\star$. It is enough to prove

$$j_{N'_{GL_{k-l}}U'_{k-l},\psi_2}(I(\Theta_{GL_l,\chi_1}, \Theta_{G_{n-l},\chi_2})^\star) = 0.$$

Moreover, according to Lemma 2.13 it suffices to prove that $j_{N'_{GL_{k-l}}U'_{k-l},\psi_2}$ vanishes on

$$ind_{p^{-1}(GL_l(F)^\star \times G_{n-l}(F))}^{\widetilde{M}_l(F)}(\Theta^\star_{GL_l,\chi_1} \otimes \Theta_{G_{n-l},\chi_2}). \tag{2.40}$$

Let $St_{\psi_2}(F)$ be the normalizer of $N'_{GL_{k-l}}(F)U'_{k-l}(F)$ and stabilizer of ψ_2 in $G_{n-l}(F)$. The double coset space

$$(GL_l(F)^\star G_{n-l}(F))\backslash M_l(F)/(GL_l(F)St_{\psi_2}(F))$$

has one element. Using the Geometric Lemma of Bernstein and Zelevinsky [12] (Theorem 5.2), the application of $j_{N'_{GL_{k-l}}U'_{k-l},\psi_2}$ to (2.40) is seen to be equal to

$$ind_{p^{-1}(GL_l(F)^\star \times St_{\psi_2}(F))}^{p^{-1}(GL_l(F) \times St_{\psi_2}(F))}(\Theta^\star_{GL_l,\chi_1} \otimes j_{N'_{GL_{k-l}}U'_{k-l},\psi_2}(\Theta_{G_{n-l},\chi_2})),$$

which vanishes by (2.39).

Thus we may assume $c_1 = \dots = c_{k-1} = 1$. By virtue of Corollary 2.20 and Lemma 2.13 it is enough to show

$$j_{N_{GL_k}U_k,\psi}(Ind_{\widetilde{Q}_1(F)}^{\widetilde{G}_n(F)}(ind_{p^{-1}(GL_1(F)^\star \times G_{n-1}(F))}^{\widetilde{M}_1(F)}(\Theta^\star_{GL_1,\chi_3} \otimes \Theta_{G_{n-1},\chi_4}))) = 0.$$

Let χ' be an exceptional character of $C_{\widetilde{T}_n(F)}$. If $n=2$, let $r=1$, otherwise take $2\leq r\leq n-1$. Let ψ' be a nontrivial character of $N_{GL_r}(F)U_r(F)$, where $N_{GL_r}U_r$ is a subgroup of G_{n-1} embedded in the standard maximal parabolic subgroup with a Levi part $GL_r\times G_{n-1-r}$. If $n>2$, assume that ψ' is defined as in the statement of the lemma, with respect to $c'_1,\dots,c'_{r-1},d'_1,d'_2,d'_3\in F$, where $\ell({}^t(d'_1,d'_2,d'_3))\neq 0$. According to the induction hypothesis and Lemma 2.23,

$$j_{N_{GL_r}U_r,\psi'}(\Theta_{G_{n-1},\chi'})=0.$$

As above [12] (Theorem 5.2) implies

$$j_{N_{GL_r}U_r,\psi'}(ind_{p^{-1}(GL_1(F)^*\times G_{n-1}(F))}^{\widetilde{M}_1(F)}(\Theta^{\star}_{GL_1,\chi_3}\otimes\Theta_{G_{n-1},\chi_4}))=0. \tag{2.41}$$

Now the filtration argument of [16] applies to our case as well. Specifically, the stabilizer in $M_k(F)$ of ψ contains $N_{GL_k}(F)GSpin_{2(n-k)}(F)$, where $GSpin_{2(n-k)}(F)$ is the stabilizer of ψ in $G_{n-k}(F)$ (GL_k and G_{n-k} are regarded as subgroups of M_k). The space of the representation induced from $\widetilde{Q}_1(F)$ to $\widetilde{G}_n(F)$ has a filtration according to the double cosets $Q_1(F)\backslash G_n(F)/(N_{GL_k}(F)GSpin_{2(n-k)}(F))$. Let w be a representative of some double coset. Then either w conjugates one of the root subgroups of $N_{GL_k}U_k$ on which ψ is nontrivial, into U_1, in which case $j_{N_{GL_k}U_k,\psi}$ clearly vanishes, or $\psi|_{w(N_{GL_k}U_k)\cap(N_{GL_k}U_k)}=\psi'$ with ψ' as above and then the Jacquet module vanishes by (2.41). □

For $n=1,2$ the lemma follows immediately from Lemmas 2.23 and 2.24. Henceforth assume $n>2$.

Claim 2.27. *The representation $j_{U_1,\psi_b}(\Theta_{G_n,\chi})$, if nonzero, is a supercuspidal representation of $\widetilde{GSpin}_{2(n-1)}(F)$.*

Proof. Assume $\ell(b)\in F^{*2}$, then we may assume $b=e_n(\in F^{2n-1})$, i.e., $\psi_b(u)=\psi(u_{\epsilon_2})$. Exactly as in [16] (Lemma 2.8), using an "exchange of roots" the claim can be reduced to showing the vanishing of $\tau=j_{U_{l+1},\psi_2}(\Theta_{G_n,\chi})$, where $0<l<n$ and ψ_2 is the character of $U_{l+1}(F)$ given by $\psi_2(u)=\psi(u_{\epsilon_{l+1}})$. Let $Y_{l+1}<GL_{l+1}$ be the mirabolic subgroup - the subgroup of matrices with the last row equal to $(0,\dots,0,1)$. Then τ is a genuine representation of $\widetilde{Y}_{l+1}(F)$ and we must show $\tau=0$. Suppose this does not hold. According to Bernstein and Zelevinsky [11] (5.14), there are $c_1,\dots,c_l\in F$ such that the character $\psi_1(u)=\psi(\sum_{i=1}^{l}c_iu_{\alpha_{i+1}})$ of $N_{GL_{l+1}}(F)$ satisfies $j_{N_{GL_{l+1}},\psi_1}(\tau)\neq 0$. But this means $j_{N_{GL_{l+1}}U_{l+1},\psi}(\Theta_{G_n,\chi})\neq 0$, where $\psi=\psi_1\cdot\psi_2$, contradicting Claim 2.26. If $\ell(b)\in F^*-F^{*2}$ the argument is similar, with a minor change to ψ_2. □

Claim 2.28. *For any genuine supercuspidal representation τ of $\widetilde{GSpin}_{2(n-1)}(F)$ and exceptional characters χ_1 and χ_2 of $C_{\widetilde{T}_{GL_n}}(F)$ and $\widetilde{T}_1(F)$,*

$$Hom_{\widetilde{GSpin}_{2(n-1)}(F)}(j_{U_1,\psi_b}(Ind_{\widetilde{Q}_n(F)}^{\widetilde{G}_n(F)}(\Theta_{GL_n,\chi_1}\otimes\Theta_{G_0,\chi_2})),\tau)=0. \tag{2.42}$$

Proof. Since in this particular case the tensor representation is defined, we are in the same situation as in [16] (Lemma 2.9), except that we have the quasi-split $GSpin_{2(n-1)}(F)$ instead of split $SO_{2(n-1)}(F)$. The space (2.42) can be analyzed using the Bruhat theory of Silberger [72] (Theorems 1.9.4 and 1.9.5). One considers the double coset space $Q_n(F)\backslash G_n(F)/(U_1(F)GSpin_{2(n-1)}(F))$, then uses the theory of derivations of Bernstein and Zelevinsky [11]. Since τ is supercuspidal, this space is of dimension at most 1. Because $n\geq 3$ and we are assuming that the residue characteristic of F is odd, Θ_{GL_n,χ_1} does not have a

Whittaker model ([48] Section I.3, see also [18] p. 145). In this case, the dimension of (2.42) is zero. The arguments follow closely those of [43] (Section 4) for quasi-split $SO_{2(n-1)}(F)$, Soudry [73] (Section 8) and Ginzburg, Rallis and Soudry [31] (Theorem 6.2(c)). □

As in [16], the proposition follows: set $\tau = j_{U_1,\psi_b}(\Theta_{G_n,\chi})$, then Claims 2.21, 2.27 and 2.28 applied to τ imply $Hom_{\widetilde{GSpin}_{2(n-1)}(F)}(\tau,\tau) = 0$, contradiction. □

Now we are ready to prove the theorem.

Proof of Theorem 1. Let $\Theta = \Theta_{G_n,\chi}$, $\mathcal{O} = (r_1,\dots,r_m)$ be such that $\mathcal{O} \not\geq \mathcal{O}_0$ and ψ_b be a generic character of $V_{\mathcal{O}}(F)$. The proof is a local analog of [16] (Theorem 4.2 (i)). We briefly reproduce the arguments. Let e (resp. o) be the number of even (resp. odd) numbers r_i greater than 1. By the definition of a unipotent class, e is even, whence $m-e$ is odd.

We only show the case $o>0$. Put $r = n-o-(m+e-1)/2$. The unipotent subgroup $V_{\mathcal{O}}(F)$ corresponds to the following unipotent subgroup of $SO_{2n+1}(F)$ (see Section 1.2),

$$\left\{\begin{pmatrix} \ddots & & & & & \alpha & \iddots \\ & I_o & 0 & x & y & z & \alpha' \\ & & I_e & 0 & v & y' & \\ & & & I_{m-e} & 0 & x' & \\ & & & & I_e & 0 & \\ & & & & & I_o & \\ & & & & & & \ddots \end{pmatrix}\right\}.$$

Here α is an $r\times o$ matrix and if h is an $i\times j$ matrix, $h' = -J_j{}^t h J_i$. The middle $(2o+e+m)\times(2o+e+m)$ block, regarded as a unipotent subgroup of $G_{n-r} < M_r$, corresponds to the unipotent class $(3^o2^e1^{m-o-e})$ and we denote it by $V_{(3^o2^e1^{m-o-e})}$. We have

$$C(G_{n-r}(F), h_{(3^o2^e1^{m-o-e})}(F)) = GL_o(F)\times GL_e(F)\times G_{(m-e-1)/2}(F).$$

According to the description of Carter [19] (p. 398), if ε belongs to the open orbit (see the discussion in the beginning of this section), $St_\varepsilon(\overline{F})^0$ is a reductive group of Lie type

$$\begin{cases} B_{(o-1)/2}\times C_{e/2}\times D_{(m-o-e)/2} & o \text{ is odd},\\ D_{o/2}\times C_{e/2}\times D_{(m-o-e-1)/2} & o \text{ is even}. \end{cases}$$

Since the coordinates of α and z vanish in $V^{\mathrm{a}}_{\mathcal{O}}$, ψ_b is trivial on the coordinates of α' and z. According to the definition, the restriction of ψ_b to $V_{(3^o2^e1^{m-o-e})}(F)$ is a generic character. The restriction of ψ_b to the coordinates of x (resp. v) is characterized by an $o\times(m-e)$ (resp. $e\times e$) matrix $\mathbf{x}$ (resp. $\mathbf{v}$). We may assume that, if $(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{v})\in V^{\mathrm{a}}_{\mathcal{O}}(F)$ is the point defined by $\mathbf{x}$ and $\mathbf{v}$, $St_{(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{v})}(\overline{F})^0$ is already of the prescribed Lie type. It follows that ψ_b is trivial on y and using a conjugation by a suitable $g\in GL_k(F)$ (a product of a unipotent matrix and a Weyl element), we may also assume $\ell(\mathbf{x}_1)\neq 0$.

Using another conjugation, we can move the $(r+1)$-th row (which contains $\mathbf{x}_1$) to the first row. Again by the transitivity of the Jacquet functor, it is enough to prove $j_{U,\psi'_b}(\Theta)=0$, for the subgroup $U(F) < U_1(F)$ of matrices u whose first row is

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0_{r+o-1+e} & x_1 & y_1 & z_{1,1} & \alpha'_1 & z_{1,2} \end{pmatrix},$$

where for a matrix h, h_i is the i-th row of h; $(h_{i,1},h_{i,2}) = h_i$; $h_{i,2}\in F$; and $\psi'_b(u) = \psi(\mathbf{x}_1({}^t x_1))$.

If $j_{U,\psi'_b}(\Theta) \neq 0$, there exists some character ψ_c of $U_1(F)$ which agrees with ψ'_b on $U(F)$, such that $j_{U_1,\psi_c}(\Theta) \neq 0$. However, any such character takes the form $\psi_c(u) = \psi(r(u)c)$ where ${}^tc$ is the row $(c_1, \dots, c_{r+o-1+e}, \mathbf{x}, 0_{r+o-1+e}) \in F^{2n-1}$. Since $\ell(c) \neq 0$, this contradicts Lemma 2.25. □

We also have the following vanishing result.

Proposition 2.29. *For all $3 \leq k \leq n$, $j_{N_{GL_k}U_k,\psi}(\Theta_{G_n,\chi}) = 0$, where $\psi(u) = \psi(\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} u_{\alpha_{i+1}})$.*

Proof. Since $j_{N_{GL_k}U_k,\psi} = j_{N_{GL_k},\psi} j_{U_k}$ and using Proposition 2.19 and Lemma 2.13, we see that it is enough to prove

$$j_{N_{GL_k},\psi}(ind_{p^{-1}(GL_k(F)\times G_{n-k}(F)^\star)}^{\widetilde{M}_k(F)}(\Theta_{GL_k,\chi_1} \otimes \Theta^\star_{G_{n-k},\chi_2})) = 0. \tag{2.43}$$

As above we use [12] (Theorem 5.2). Let $St_\psi(F)$ be the normalizer of $N_{GL_k}(F)$ and stabilizer of ψ in $GL_k(F)$. The space

$$(GL_k(F)G_{n-k}(F)^\star)\backslash M_k(F)/(St_\psi(F)G_{n-k}(F))$$

has one element. Since $\psi|_{N_{GL_k}}$ is the standard Whittaker character and Θ_{GL_k,χ_1} is not generic ([48] Section I.3), $j_{N_{GL_k},\psi}(\Theta_{GL_k,\chi_1}) = 0$. Hence (2.43) follows. □

2.3.3. *Explicit construction of exceptional characters.* Let η be a character of F^*. Start with defining a character χ_0 of $T_{n+1}(F)^2$, depending on η. For $t = \prod_{i=1}^n \eta_i^\vee(a_i^2)\beta_1^\vee(t_1)$, let

$$\chi_0(t) = \prod_{i=1}^n |a_i|^{n-i+1}\eta(\Upsilon(t)).$$

Using (1.6) we see that $\chi_0(\alpha^\vee(x^{\mathrm{l}(\alpha)})) = |x|$ for all $\alpha \in \Delta_{G_n}$ and $x \in F^*$. Extend χ_0 to a genuine character χ of $\widetilde{T}_{n+1}(F)^2$ using $\mathfrak{s}$: $\chi(\zeta\mathfrak{s}(t)) = \zeta\chi_0(t)$ $(\zeta \in \mu_2)$. If n is even, χ is exceptional.

Let ψ be a nontrivial additive character of F. If $z = \prod_{i=1}^n \eta_i^\vee(d)$ and $z' = \prod_{i=1}^n \eta_i^\vee(d')$, where $d, d' \in (F^*)^{2/\gcd(2,n+1)}$, using (2.9), (2.1) and (2.2) we get $\sigma(z,z') = c(d,d')^{\lceil n/2\rceil}$. Therefore, $\zeta\mathfrak{s}(z) \mapsto \zeta\gamma_\psi^{\lceil n/2\rceil}(d)$ is a genuine character of $C_{\widetilde{GL}_n(F)}$ (if n is even, $\gamma_\psi(d) = 1$).

Since $[t,z]_\sigma = 1$, we can define the following exceptional character of $C_{\widetilde{T}_{n+1}(F)}$:

$$\chi(\zeta\mathfrak{s}(t)\mathfrak{s}(z)) = \zeta\chi_0(t)|d|^{n(n+1)/4}\eta(d)^n\gamma_\psi^{\lceil n/2\rceil}(d). \tag{2.44}$$

In fact, every exceptional character can be written in this form, for suitable ψ and η.

We mention that in the context of exceptional representations of GL_n, the character η is the "determinantal character" of Bump and Ginzburg ([18] p. 143).

Let $\widetilde{T}_{n+1}(F)^{\mathrm{m}}$ be the maximal abelian subgroup given in Claim 2.10. The following formula defines an extension χ' of χ to $\widetilde{T}_{n+1}(F)^{\mathrm{m}}$. For $t = \prod_{i=1}^n \eta_i^\vee(a_i)\beta_1^\vee(t_1) \in T_{n+1}(F)^{\mathrm{m}}$, let

$$\chi'(\zeta\mathfrak{s}(t)) = \zeta\prod_{i=1}^n |a_i|^{(n-i+1)/2}\eta(\Upsilon(t))\prod_{i=0}^{\lceil n/2\rceil-1}\gamma_\psi(a_{n-2i}).$$

Now consider the unramified case. Assume all data are unramified. This means that $|2| = 1$, $q > 3$ in F; ψ is unramified ($\psi|_{\mathfrak{O}} = 1$, $\psi|_{\mathcal{P}^{-1}} \neq 1$); and η is unramified ($\eta|_{\mathfrak{O}^*} = 1$). Then $\gamma_\psi|_{\mathfrak{O}^*} = 1$ (see e.g. [79] Lemma 3.4). Therefore χ is trivial on $C_{\widetilde{T}_{n+1}(F)} \cap K^*$. In this case χ is an unramified character (see Section 2.2.2).

3. Global theory

3.1. **The r-fold cover of $G_n(\mathbb{A})$.** Let F be a number field containing all r r-th roots of unity, with a ring of adèles $\mathbb{A}$. The global r-fold cover $\widetilde{G}_n(\mathbb{A})$ of $G_n(\mathbb{A})$ is defined similarly to the definition of $\widetilde{GL}_n(\mathbb{A})$ of [48] (Section 0.2, see also [24, 80, 81]). At each place ν we have the exact sequence of Section 2.1.1,

$$1 \to \mu_r(F_\nu) \xrightarrow{\iota_\nu} \widetilde{G}_n(F_\nu) \xrightarrow{p_\nu} G_n(F_\nu) \to 1.$$

Denote by c the inverse of the global Hilbert symbol, c is the product of the local functions c_ν. At each ν, let $K_\nu < G_n(F_\nu)$ be either $G_n(\mathfrak{O}_\nu)$ if F_ν is p-adic, or a maximal compact subgroup in the Archimedean case, and put $K = \prod_\nu K_\nu$. For simplicity, fix an identification of $\mu_r(F_\nu)$ with $\mu_r = \mu_r(F)$ (which is usually identified with a subgroup of $\mathbb{C}^*$). Let S_∞ be the set of Archimedean places of F. Fix a finite set S_0 containing S_∞ and the places ν such that $|r|_\nu < 1$ or $q_\nu \le 3$. For any finite set $S \supset S_0$ denote

$$G_n(\mathbb{A})_S = \prod_{\nu\in S} G_n(F_\nu) \prod_{\nu\notin S} K_\nu, \qquad G_n(\mathbb{A})_S^* = \prod_{\nu\in S} \widetilde{G}_n(F_\nu) \prod_{\nu\notin S} K_\nu^*.$$

For $\nu \in S$, $\widetilde{G}_n(F_\nu) < G_n(\mathbb{A})_S^*$ (in particular $\iota_\nu(\mu_r) < G_n(\mathbb{A})_S^*$). Note that K_ν^* was defined given the assumption on S (see Section 2.1.4). Let $\widehat{\mu_r}$ be the subgroup of $G_n(\mathbb{A})_S^*$ generated by the elements $\iota_\nu(\zeta)\iota_{\nu'}(\zeta)^{-1}$ where $\zeta \in \mu_r$ and $\nu, \nu' \in S$. Define $\widehat{G}_n(\mathbb{A})_S = \widehat{\mu_r}\backslash G_n(\mathbb{A})_S^*$. Then

$$G_n(\mathbb{A}) = \varinjlim_S G_n(\mathbb{A})_S, \qquad \widetilde{G}_n(\mathbb{A}) = \varinjlim_S \widehat{G}_n(\mathbb{A})_S.$$

We have an exact sequence

$$1 \to \mu_r \xrightarrow{\iota} \widetilde{G}_n(\mathbb{A}) \xrightarrow{p} G_n(\mathbb{A}) \to 1.$$

Regarding the topologies on these groups refer to [48] (Section 0.2).

Let $\prod'_\nu G_n(F_\nu)$ be the restricted direct product with respect to the subgroups $\{K_\nu\}_\nu$. Then $G_n(\mathbb{A}) = \prod'_\nu G_n(F_\nu)$. Similarly, define $\prod'_\nu \widetilde{G}_n(F_\nu)$ with respect to $\{K_\nu^*\}_\nu$. Set $\mu_r^\times = \{(i_\nu(\zeta_\nu))_\nu \in \prod'_\nu \widetilde{G}_n(F_\nu) : \prod_\nu \zeta_\nu = 1\}$. Then $\widetilde{G}_n(\mathbb{A}) = \mu_r^\times \backslash \prod'_\nu \widetilde{G}_n(F_\nu)$.

In Section 2.1.4 we defined κ_ν for $\nu \notin S_0$, extend κ_ν to a smooth section of $G_n(F_\nu)$. Define sections κ_ν also for $\nu \in S_0$ arbitrarily. Set $\kappa = \prod_\nu \kappa_\nu$. This is a section of $G_n(\mathbb{A})$, well defined because $\kappa_\nu(K_\nu) = K_\nu^*$ for $\nu \notin S_0$. The corresponding 2-cocycle is defined by $\gamma(g,g') = \kappa(g)\kappa(g')\kappa(gg')^{-1}$, well defined since for $\nu \notin S_0$, κ_ν is a splitting of K_ν.

Consider $\mathfrak{s} = \prod_\nu \mathfrak{s}_\nu$, where $\mathfrak{s}_\nu$ is the section given in Section 2.1.1. This is not a section of $G_n(\mathbb{A})$, but can be used with the following subgroups.

Claim 3.1. *The section $\mathfrak{s}$ is a splitting of $G_n(F)$ and $N_n(\mathbb{A})$. It is well defined on $T_{n+1}(\mathbb{A})$.*

Proof. First observe that $\mathfrak{s}$ is indeed well defined on these subgroups. Indeed, let $g \in G_n(F)$ and write $g = utwu'$ as in (2.12). Then for any place ν, $\mathfrak{s}_\nu(g) = \mathfrak{s}_\nu(u)\mathfrak{s}_\nu(t)\mathfrak{s}_\nu(w)\mathfrak{s}_\nu(u')$. For almost all ν, the elements u, t, w and u' lie in K_ν, then Claim 2.3 implies $\mathfrak{s}_\nu(g) \in K_\nu^*$. The same claim implies that if $u \in N_n(\mathbb{A})$ and $t \in T_{n+1}(\mathbb{A})$, $\mathfrak{s}_\nu(u_\nu) = \kappa_\nu(u_\nu) \in K_\nu^*$ and $\mathfrak{s}_\nu(t_\nu) = \kappa_\nu(t_\nu) \in K_\nu^*$ for almost all ν.

Since for all ν, $\mathfrak{s}_\nu$ is a splitting of $N_n(F_\nu)$, $\mathfrak{s}$ is a splitting of $N_n(\mathbb{A})$. To show that $\mathfrak{s}$ is a splitting of $G_n(F)$ one uses (2.12), the relations in [10] (Section 1) defining the multiplication in $G_n(F_\nu)$ and $\widetilde{G}_n(F_\nu)$, and the fact that c is trivial on $F^* \times F^*$. □

Remark 3.1. *Let H be a subgroup of $G_n(\mathbb{A})$ such that for any $h \in H$, for almost all ν, $\mathfrak{s}(h_\nu) = \kappa(h_\nu)$. Then if $h, h' \in H$, we have $\mathfrak{s}_\nu(h_\nu)\mathfrak{s}_\nu(h'_\nu) = \mathfrak{s}_\nu(h_\nu h'_\nu)$ almost everywhere. Hence we can define the 2-cocycle $\sigma(h,h') = \mathfrak{s}(h)\mathfrak{s}(h')\mathfrak{s}(hh')^{-1}$ on H and then $\sigma = \prod_\nu \sigma_\nu$ where σ_ν is the block-compatible cocycle of Section 2.1.1. According to Claim 3.1, σ is well defined on $T_n(\mathbb{A})$, and trivial on $G_n(F)$ and $N_n(\mathbb{A})$.*

Henceforth $r = 2$.

3.2. **Representations, intertwining operators and Eisenstein series.** We study irreducible genuine representations of $\widetilde{T}_{n+1}(\mathbb{A})$ formed by extending a genuine character of $C_{\widetilde{T}_{n+1}(\mathbb{A})} = \mu_2^\times \backslash \prod'_\nu C_{\widetilde{T}_{n+1}(F_\nu)}$ to a maximal abelian subgroup, then inducing to $\widetilde{T}_{n+1}(\mathbb{A})$. The resulting representation is independent of the choices of abelian subgroup and extension ([48] Section 0.3).

Let χ be a genuine character of $C_{\widetilde{T}_{n+1}(\mathbb{A})}$, which is trivial on $C_{\widetilde{T}_{n+1}(\mathbb{A})} \cap \mathfrak{s}(T_{n+1}(F))$. Then $\chi = \otimes'_\nu \chi_\nu$, where for almost all ν, χ_ν is unramified, because it is trivial on $C_{\widetilde{T}_{n+1}(F_\nu)} \cap K_\nu^*$ (see Section 2.2.2).

Following Kazhdan and Patterson [48] (Section II.1) we will use two maximal subgroups, the resulting representation will be the same. One choice is $X = \mu_2^\times \backslash \prod'_\nu X_\nu$, where $C_{\widetilde{T}_{n+1}(F_\nu)} < X_\nu < \widetilde{T}_{n+1}(F_\nu)$ is a local maximal abelian subgroup such that for almost all ν, $X_\nu = C(\widetilde{T}_{n+1}(F_\nu), \widetilde{T}_{n+1}(F_\nu) \cap K_\nu^*)$ (see Claim 2.9). The subgroup X is suitable for relating a global representation to its local pieces. Globally it is simpler to work with a subgroup containing $\mathfrak{s}(T_{n+1}(F))$. As in [48] (Lemma II.1.1), we introduce the following alternative.

Claim 3.2. *The subgroup $C(\widetilde{T}_{n+1}(\mathbb{A}), \mathfrak{s}(T_{n+1}(F)))$ is a maximal abelian subgroup of $\widetilde{T}_{n+1}(\mathbb{A})$, which contains $\mathfrak{s}(T_{n+1}(F))$. We have $C(\widetilde{T}_{n+1}(\mathbb{A}), \mathfrak{s}(T_{n+1}(F))) = \mathfrak{s}(T_{n+1}(F))C_{\widetilde{T}_{n+1}(\mathbb{A})}$.*

Proof. The containment from right to left is clear, because by Claim 3.1 the section $\mathfrak{s}$ splits $G_n(F)$, hence the elements of $\mathfrak{s}(T_{n+1}(F))$ commute. Now we proceed as in the proof of Claim 2.9 and note that by Weil [85] (Section XIII.5 Propositions 7 and 8), $c(x,y) = 1$ for all $y \in \mathbb{A}^*$ if and only if $x \in (\mathbb{A}^*)^2$, and $c(x,y) = 1$ for all $y \in F^*$ if and only if $x \in F^*(\mathbb{A}^*)^2$ (compare to Section 1.3.3). Observe that the proof of Claim 2.9 uses the local cocycle σ_ν defined using $\mathfrak{s}_\nu$. As explained in Remark 3.1, the argument extends to the global setting. □

For $\underline{s} = (s_1, \dots, s_{n+1}) \in \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ define a nongenuine character $\mathrm{e}_{\underline{s}}$ of $\widetilde{T}_{n+1}(\mathbb{A})$ by requiring $\mathrm{e}_{\underline{s}}(\alpha^\vee(x)) = |x|^{(\alpha,\underline{s})/\mathrm{l}(\alpha)}$ for all $\alpha \in \Delta_{G'_{n+1}}$ and $x \in \mathbb{A}^*$. E.g., $\mathrm{e}_{\underline{s}}(\alpha_1^\vee(x)) = |x|^{(s_1-s_2)/2}$, $\mathrm{e}_{\underline{s}}(\alpha_{n+1}^\vee(x)) = |x|^{s_{n+1}}$. The character $\mathrm{e}_{\underline{s}}$ is trivial on $\mathfrak{s}(T_{n+1}(F))$.

If $d \in \mathbb{C}$, denote $\underline{d} = (d, \dots, d)$ (the length of $\underline{d}$ will be clear from the context).

Let χ be a genuine character of $C_{\widetilde{T}_{n+1}(\mathbb{A})}$, which is trivial on $C_{\widetilde{T}_{n+1}(\mathbb{A})} \cap \mathfrak{s}(T_{n+1}(F))$. Denote $\chi_{\underline{s}} = \mathrm{e}_{\underline{s}} \cdot \chi$. Assume we are given an abelian subgroup $C_{\widetilde{T}_{n+1}(\mathbb{A})} < H < \widetilde{T}_{n+1}(\mathbb{A})$ and an extension χ' of χ to H. We will always assume $(\chi')_{\underline{s}} = (\chi_{\underline{s}})'$. Therefore we continue to denote the extension by χ (instead of χ') and the notation $\chi_{\underline{s}}$ is not ambiguous.

Let $V_H(\chi_{\underline{s}})$ be the space of the representation $Ind_{HN_n(\mathbb{A})}^{\widetilde{G}_n(\mathbb{A})}(\chi_{\underline{s}})$. Specifically, this is the space of functions $f : \widetilde{G}_n(\mathbb{A}) \to \mathbb{C}$ which are $\widetilde{K}$-smooth on the right and $f(h\mathfrak{s}(u)g) = \delta_{B_n(\mathbb{A})}^{1/2}(h)\chi_{\underline{s}}(h)f(g)$ for all $h \in H$, $u \in N_n(\mathbb{A})$ and $g \in \widetilde{G}_n(\mathbb{A})$. Set $V_H(\chi) = V_H(\chi_{\underline{0}})$. A standard section $f_{\underline{s}}$ is a function such that $f_{\underline{s}}|_{\widetilde{K}}$ is independent of $\underline{s}$ and for all $\underline{s}$, $f_{\underline{s}} \in V_H(\chi_{\underline{s}})$. For any $f \in V_H(\chi)$ there is a standard section $f_{\underline{s}}$ with $f_{\underline{0}} = f$.

We extend χ to $C(\widetilde{T}_{n+1}(\mathbb{A}),\mathfrak{s}(T_{n+1}(F)))$ and to X. The extension to $C(\widetilde{T}_{n+1}(\mathbb{A}),\mathfrak{s}(T_{n+1}(F)))$ is obtained by acting trivially on $\mathfrak{s}(T_{n+1}(F))$. The extension to X is arbitrary, as long as both extensions agree on $C(\widetilde{T}_{n+1}(\mathbb{A}),\mathfrak{s}(T_{n+1}(F)))\cap X$ (a suitable extension to X with this property always exists, see [48] p. 108).

For brevity, put $\Xi = C(\widetilde{T}_{n+1}(\mathbb{A}),\mathfrak{s}(T_{n+1}(F)))$.

The space $V_X(\chi_{\underline{s}})$ decomposes as the restricted tensor product $\otimes'_\nu V(\chi_{\underline{s},\nu})$ with respect to $\{V(\chi_{\underline{s},\nu})^{K^*_\nu}\}_{\nu\notin S_0}$, where $V(\chi_{\underline{s},\nu})$ is the space of $Ind_{\widetilde{B}_n(F_\nu)}^{\widetilde{G}_n(F_\nu)}(\rho_\nu(\chi_{\underline{s},\nu}))$, defined in Section 2.2.1.

The spaces $V_X(\chi)$ and $V_\Xi(\chi)$ are isomorphic (see [48] p. 109). Specifically, for $f\in V_X(\chi)$ define $f'\in V_\Xi(\chi)$ via

$$f'(g)=\sum_{\delta'\in\ (C_{\widetilde{T}_{n+1}(\mathbb{A})}\cap\mathfrak{s}(T_{n+1}(F)))\backslash T_{n+1}(F)} f(\mathfrak{s}(\delta')g),\qquad g\in\widetilde{G}_n(\mathbb{A}). \tag{3.1}$$

For $\mathbf{w}\in W_n$, let $M'(w,\chi_{\underline{s}}):V_\Xi(\chi_{\underline{s}})\to V_\Xi({}^{\mathbf{w}}\chi_{\underline{s}})$ be the standard intertwining operator defined by (the meromorphic continuation of)

$$M'(w,\chi_{\underline{s}})f'(g)=\int_{N_n^w(\mathbb{A})\backslash N_n(\mathbb{A})} f'_{\underline{s}}(\mathfrak{s}(w)^{-1}\mathfrak{s}(u)g)du. \tag{3.2}$$

Note that $\mathfrak{W}_n\subset G_n(F)$. Using the isomorphism $V_\Xi\cong V_X$ we can define the corresponding intertwining operator $M(w,\chi_{\underline{s}}):V_X(\chi_{\underline{s}})\to V_X({}^{\mathbf{w}}\chi_{\underline{s}})$. The poles and zeros (with multiplicities) of these operators coincide.

Now we are ready to define the Eisenstein series. For $f\in V_X(\chi)$ let

$$E_{B_n}(g;f,\underline{s})=\sum_{\delta\in B_n(F)\backslash G_n(F)} f'_{\underline{s}}(\mathfrak{s}(\delta)g).$$

The sum is absolutely convergent in a suitable cone and has a meromorphic continuation. Analogously to [48] (Proposition II.1.2), or using the general formulation of Mœglin and Waldspurger [62] (Section II.1.7), if

$$E_{B_n}^{N_n}(g;f,\underline{s})=\int_{N_n(F)\backslash N_n(\mathbb{A})} E_{B_n}(\mathfrak{s}(u)g;f,\underline{s})du$$

is the constant term of $E_{B_n}(g;f,\underline{s})$ along N_n,

$$E_{B_n}^{N_n}(g;f,\underline{s})=\sum_{\mathbf{w}\in W_n} M'(w,\chi_{\underline{s}})f'_{\underline{s}}(g).$$

3.3. **Induction from M_n.** Throughout this section, the groups GL_n and G_0 are regarded as subgroups of M_n. Since the local subgroups $\widetilde{GL}_n(F_\nu)$ and $\widetilde{G}_0(F_\nu)$ commute, $\widetilde{GL}_n(\mathbb{A})$ and $\widetilde{G}_0(\mathbb{A})$ commute. Therefore, genuine automorphic representations of $\widetilde{M}_n(\mathbb{A})$ can be described using the usual tensor product.

Let χ be a genuine character of $C_{\widetilde{T}_{n+1}(\mathbb{A})}$ which is trivial on $C_{\widetilde{T}_{n+1}(\mathbb{A})}\cap\mathfrak{s}(T_{n+1}(F))$. According to the results of Section 2.1.6,

$$C_{\widetilde{T}_{n+1}(\mathbb{A})}=\{(\zeta,\zeta):\zeta\in\mu_2\}\backslash(C_{\widetilde{T}_{GL_n}(\mathbb{A})}\times\widetilde{G}_0(\mathbb{A})).$$

Therefore we can write uniquely $\chi=\chi^{(1)}\otimes\chi^{(2)}$, where $\chi^{(1)}$ and $\chi^{(2)}$ are genuine characters of $C_{\widetilde{T}_{GL_n}(\mathbb{A})}$ and $\widetilde{G}_0(\mathbb{A})$. The character $\chi^{(1)}$ is trivial on $C_{\widetilde{T}_{GL_n}(\mathbb{A})}\cap\mathfrak{s}(T_{GL_n}(F))$ and $\chi^{(2)}$ is trivial on $\mathfrak{s}(G_0(F))$.

The description of Section 3.2 was adapted from the exposition in [48] (Section II.1), which we briefly recall. For $\underline{s}\in\mathbb{C}^n$ define a nongenuine character $\mathrm{e}_{\underline{s}}$ of $\widetilde{T}_{GL_n}(\mathbb{A})$ by requiring

$\mathrm{e}_{\underline{s}}(\alpha_i^\vee(x)) = |x|^{(\alpha_i,\underline{s})/2}$ for all $2 \le i \le n$ and $x \in \mathbb{A}^*$. Let σ be a genuine character of $C_{\widetilde{T}_{GL_n}(\mathbb{A})}$. For $C_{\widetilde{T}_{GL_n}(\mathbb{A})} < H < \widetilde{T}_{GL_n}(\mathbb{A})$, the space $V_H(\sigma_{\underline{s}})$ consists of functions on $\widetilde{GL}_n(\mathbb{A})$.

Denote $K_{GL_n(F_\nu)} = K_\nu \cap GL_n(F_\nu)$ and for $\nu \notin S_0$, $K^*_{GL_n(F_\nu)} = \kappa_\nu(K_{GL_n(F_\nu)})$. We have the subgroup $X^{GL_n} = \mu_2^\times \backslash \prod'_\nu X_\nu^{GL_n}$, where for almost all ν, $X_\nu^{GL_n} = C(\widetilde{T}_{GL_n}(F_\nu), \widetilde{T}_{GL_n}(F_\nu) \cap K^*_{GL_n(F_\nu)})$. Also let $\Xi^{GL_n} = C(\widetilde{T}_{GL_n}(\mathbb{A}), \mathfrak{s}(T_{GL_n}(F)))$. We alter between $V_{X^{GL_n}}(\sigma)$ and $V_{\Xi^{GL_n}}(\sigma)$, where $f \in V_{X^{GL_n}}(\sigma)$ is mapped to $f' \in V_{\Xi^{GL_n}}(\sigma)$ using a summation over $(C_{\widetilde{T}_{GL_n}(\mathbb{A})} \cap \mathfrak{s}(T_{GL_n}(F)))\backslash T_{GL_n}(F)$.

Claim 3.3. *Let χ be as above and denote by $V_{Q_n}(V_{\Xi^{GL_n}}(\chi^{(1)}) \otimes \chi^{(2)})$ the subspace of right $\widetilde{K}$-smooth functions in $Ind_{\widetilde{Q}_n(\mathbb{A})}^{\widetilde{G}_n(\mathbb{A})}(Ind_{\Xi^{GL_n} N_{GL_n}(\mathbb{A})}^{\widetilde{GL}_n(\mathbb{A})}(\chi^{(1)}) \otimes \chi^{(2)})$. Then*

$$V_\Xi(\chi) \cong V_{Q_n}(V_{\Xi^{GL_n}}(\chi^{(1)}) \otimes \chi^{(2)}).$$

Proof. According to Section 2.1.6 (and [48] p. 59),

$$C_{\widetilde{T}_{n+1}(F_\nu)} = \{(\zeta,\zeta) : \zeta \in \mu_2\}\backslash(C_{\widetilde{T}_{GL_n}(F_\nu)} \times \widetilde{G}_0(F_\nu)), \tag{3.3}$$

$$T_{n+1}(F_\nu)^{\mathrm{m}} = \{(\zeta,\zeta) : \zeta \in \mu_2\}\backslash(T_{GL_n}(F_\nu)^{\mathrm{m}} \times \widetilde{G}_0(F_\nu)), \tag{3.4}$$

and for $\nu \notin S_0$,

$$\begin{aligned} &C(\widetilde{T}_{n+1}(F_\nu), \widetilde{T}_{n+1}(F_\nu) \cap K_\nu^*) \\ &= \{(\zeta,\zeta) : \zeta \in \mu_2\}\backslash(C(\widetilde{T}_{GL_n}(F_\nu), \widetilde{T}_{GL_n}(F_\nu) \cap K^*_{GL_n(F_\nu)}) \times \widetilde{G}_0(F_\nu)). \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

In general let σ be a genuine character of $C_{\widetilde{T}_{n+1}(F_\nu)}$. Using (3.3) we can write $\sigma_\nu = \sigma_\nu^{(1)} \otimes \sigma_\nu^{(2)}$ for unique genuine characters $\sigma_\nu^{(1)}$ and $\sigma_\nu^{(2)}$ of $C_{\widetilde{T}_{GL_n}(F_\nu)}$ and $\widetilde{G}_0(F_\nu)$. Then

$$Ind_{\widetilde{B}_n(F_\nu)}^{\widetilde{G}_n(F_\nu)}(\rho_\nu(\sigma_\nu)) \cong Ind_{\widetilde{Q}_n(F_\nu)}^{\widetilde{G}_n(F_\nu)}(Ind_{\widetilde{B}_{GL_n}(F_\nu)}^{\widetilde{GL}_n(F_\nu)}(\rho_\nu(\sigma_\nu^{(1)})) \otimes \sigma_\nu^{(2)}). \tag{3.6}$$

Assume

$$X_\nu = \begin{cases} C(\widetilde{T}_{n+1}(F_\nu), \widetilde{T}_{n+1}(F_\nu) \cap K_\nu^*) & \nu \notin S_0, \\ \widetilde{T}_{n+1}(F_\nu)^{\mathrm{m}} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Define $X^{GL_n} = \mu_2^\times \backslash \prod'_\nu X_\nu^{GL_n}$ where

$$X_\nu^{GL_n} = \begin{cases} C(\widetilde{T}_{GL_n}(F_\nu), \widetilde{T}_{GL_n}(F_\nu) \cap K^*_{GL_n(F_\nu)}) & \nu \notin S_0, \\ \widetilde{T}_{GL_n}(F_\nu)^{\mathrm{m}} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Now (3.4)-(3.6) yield

$$Ind_{XN_n(\mathbb{A})}^{\widetilde{G}_n(\mathbb{A})}(\chi) \cong Ind_{\widetilde{Q}_n(\mathbb{A})}^{\widetilde{G}_n(\mathbb{A})}(Ind_{X^{GL_n} N_{GL_n}(\mathbb{A})}^{\widetilde{GL}_n(\mathbb{A})}(\chi^{(1)}) \otimes \chi^{(2)})$$

and the isomorphism between the spaces follows because

$$(C_{\widetilde{T}_{n+1}(\mathbb{A})} \cap \mathfrak{s}(T_{n+1}(F)))\backslash T_{n+1}(F) \cong (C_{\widetilde{T}_{GL_n}(\mathbb{A})} \cap \mathfrak{s}(T_{GL_n}(F)))\backslash T_{GL_n}(F). \qquad \square$$

3.4. **Global exceptional (small) representations.**

3.4.1. *Definition and basic properties.* Let χ be a genuine character of $C_{\widetilde{T}_{n+1}(\mathbb{A})}$, which is trivial on $C_{\widetilde{T}_{n+1}(\mathbb{A})} \cap \mathfrak{s}(T_{n+1}(F))$. Analogously to the local case, call χ exceptional if for all $\alpha \in \Delta_{G_n}$, $\chi(\alpha^{\vee *}(x^{\mathfrak{l}(\alpha)})) = |x|$ for all $x \in \mathbb{A}^*$. We have $\chi = \otimes' \chi_\nu$ where χ_ν is a local exceptional character of $C_{\widetilde{T}_{n+1}(F_\nu)}$.

Put $\mathrm{Res}_{\underline{s}=\underline{0}} = \lim_{\underline{s}\to\underline{0}} \prod_{i=2}^{n}(s_i - s_{i+1})s_{n+1}$. For $f \in V_X(\chi)$, define

$$\theta_f(g) = \operatorname*{Res}_{\underline{s}=\underline{0}} E_{B_n}^{N_n}(g; f, \underline{s}), \qquad g \in \widetilde{G}_n(\mathbb{A}).$$

Proposition 3.4. *Let χ be an exceptional character and let $f \in V_X(\chi)$.*

(1) The residue $\mathrm{Res}_{\underline{s}=\underline{0}} M'(w_0, \chi_{\underline{s}}) f'_{\underline{s}}(g)$ is finite and nonzero. If $w \neq w_0$, $\mathrm{Res}_{\underline{s}=\underline{0}} M'(w, \chi_{\underline{s}}) f'_{\underline{s}} = 0$.

(2) The constant term $\theta_f^{N_n}$ of θ_f along N_n is the image of $M'(w_0, \chi)$:

$$\int_{N_n(F)\backslash N_n(\mathbb{A})} \theta_f(\mathfrak{s}(u)g)du = M'(w_0, \chi) f'(g).$$

Here we normalize the measure by requiring the volume of $F\backslash\mathbb{A}$ to be 1.

(3) The representation $\Theta_{G_n,\chi}$ spanned by θ_f as f varies in $V_X(\chi)$ is irreducible, automorphic, genuine and belongs to $L^2(\mathfrak{s}(G_n(F))\backslash\widetilde{G}_n(\mathbb{A}))$. It is isomorphic to $\otimes'_\nu \Theta_{G_n,\chi_\nu}$.

Proof. The proof follows exactly as in [16] (Proposition 3.1 and Theorem 3.2) and [48] (Proposition II.1.2 and Theorems II.1.4 and II.2.1), we briefly reproduce the argument. The assertions follow from the calculation of the poles of $M'(w, \chi_{\underline{s}}) f'_{\underline{s}}(g)$. When we take a pure tensor f, $M(w, \chi_{\underline{s}}) f_{\underline{s}} = (\otimes_{\nu\in S}\varphi_\nu) \otimes (\otimes'_{\nu\notin S}\varphi_\nu)$ where $S \supset S_0$ is a finite set of places depending on χ and f. The infinite unramified part is $\otimes'_{\nu\notin S}\varphi_\nu$. For any fixed place ν, the local intertwining operator is holomorphic since $\chi_{\underline{s},\nu}$ belongs to the positive Weyl chamber when $\underline{s}$ tends to $\underline{0}$. Thus the poles are located in the infinite unramified part, they are determined by the product $\prod_{\nu\notin S} c(\mathbf{w}, \chi_{\underline{s},\nu})$. If $\mathbf{w} = \mathbf{w_0}$, according to Claim 2.22 the poles belong to

$$\frac{\prod_{2\le i<j\le n+1} \zeta(j - i + s_j - s_i)\zeta(-j - i + 2(n+2) + s_i + s_j) \prod_{i=2}^{n+1} \zeta(n + 2 - i + s_i)}{\prod_{2\le i<j\le n+1} \zeta(1 + j - i + s_j - s_i)\zeta(1 - j - i + 2(n+2) + s_i + s_j) \prod_{i=2}^{n+1} \zeta(n + 3 - i + s_i)}.$$

Here ζ denotes the partial Dedekind zeta function of F with respect to S. Recall that ζ is holomorphic except at $s = 1$, where it has a simple pole, and is nonzero on the right half-plane $\Re(s) \ge 1$. When $\underline{s} \to \underline{0}$, precisely n zeta functions contribute a pole. If $\mathbf{w} \neq \mathbf{w_0}$, one of these functions will be omitted. Finally, the image $M'(w_0, \chi)V_\Xi(\chi)$ is isomorphic to $\otimes'_\nu M_\nu(w_0, \chi_\nu)V(\chi_\nu)$ which is $\otimes'_\nu \Theta_{G_n,\chi_\nu}$. □

The representation $\Theta_{G_n,\chi}$ is the global exceptional representation.

3.4.2. *Explicit construction of exceptional characters.* Let η be a Hecke character, i.e., a character of $F^*\backslash\mathbb{A}^*$, and let ψ be a nontrivial character of $F\backslash\mathbb{A}$. Let γ_ψ be the corresponding global Weil factor. One can mimic the local construction of Section 2.3.3 and construct a global exceptional character. Define for $t = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \eta_i^\vee(a_i^2)\beta_1^\vee(t_1) \in T_{n+1}(\mathbb{A})^2$, $d \in (\mathbb{A}^*)^{2/\gcd(2,n+1)}$, $z = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \eta_i^\vee(d)$ and $\zeta \in \mu_2$,

$$\chi(\zeta\mathfrak{s}(t)\mathfrak{s}(z)) = \zeta \prod_{i=1}^{n} |a_i|^{n-i+1} \eta(\Upsilon(t))|d|^{n(n+1)/4}\eta(d)^n \gamma_\psi^{\lceil n/2\rceil}(d). \tag{3.7}$$

This is a global exceptional character and it decomposes as $\otimes'\chi_\nu$, where χ_ν is given by (2.44). For almost all ν, χ_ν is unramified.

If n is odd, in contrast with the local case, this description does not exhaust all exceptional characters, as one may replace γ_ψ with a more general class of functions. However, $\chi|_{\mathfrak{s}(T_{n+1}(\mathbb{A})^2)}$ is uniquely described by η and (3.7).

If we write $\chi = \chi^{(1)} \otimes \chi^{(2)}$ in the notation of Section 3.3,

$$\chi^{(1)}(\zeta_{\mathfrak{s}}(\prod_{i=1}^{n}\eta_i^\vee(a_i^2))\mathfrak{s}(\prod_{i=1}^{n}\eta_i^\vee(d))) = \zeta\prod_{i=1}^{n}|a_i|^{n-i+1}\eta(d^n\prod_{i=1}^{n}a_i^2)|d|^{n(n+1)/4}\gamma_\psi^{\lceil n/2\rceil}(d), \tag{3.8}$$
$$\chi^{(2)}(\zeta_{\mathfrak{s}}(\beta_1^\vee(t_1))) = \zeta\eta(t^{-2}).$$

3.4.3. *The constant term.* Let χ be an exceptional character and let θ be an automorphic form in the space of $\Theta_{G_n,\chi}$. For any $1 \le k \le n$ define the constant term of θ along U_k by

$$\theta^{U_k}(g) = \int_{U_k(F)\backslash U_k(\mathbb{A})}\theta(\mathfrak{s}(u)g)du, \qquad g \in \widetilde{G}_n(\mathbb{A}). \tag{3.9}$$

Let χ be an exceptional character. We prove Theorem 3. Namely, the function $m \mapsto \theta^{U_n}(m)$ ($m \in \widetilde{M}_n(\mathbb{A})$) belongs to the space of $\Theta_{GL_n,|\det|^{-1/2}\chi^{(1)}} \otimes \Theta_{G_0,\chi^{(2)}}$, where $\chi^{(1)}$ and $\chi^{(2)}$ are exceptional characters such that $\chi = \chi^{(1)} \otimes \chi^{(2)}$.

Proof of Theorem 3. Write $\theta = \theta_f$ for some $f \in V_X(\chi)$, $\theta_f(g) = \mathrm{Res}_{\underline{s}=\underline{0}}E_{B_n}^{N_n}(g;f,\underline{s})$ (see Section 3.4.1). Let $\mathcal{W} \subset W_n$ be a set satisfying $G_n = \coprod_{\mathbf{w}\in\mathcal{W}}B_nw^{-1}Q_n$. For $X < Q_n$ and $\mathbf{w} \in \mathcal{W}$, set $X^w = {}^wB_n \cap X$. According to Mœglin and Waldspurger [62] (Section II.1.7),

$$\int_{U_n(F)\backslash U_n(\mathbb{A})}\sum_{\delta\in B_n(F)\backslash G_n(F)}f'_{\underline{s}}(\mathfrak{s}(\delta)\mathfrak{s}(u)g)du = \sum_{\mathbf{w}\in\mathcal{W}}\sum_{m\in M_n^w(F)\backslash M_n(F)}M'(w,\chi_{\underline{s}})f'_{\underline{s}}(\mathfrak{s}(m)g).$$

Here $M'(w,\chi_{\underline{s}})$ is defined by meromorphic continuation of an integral over $U_n^w(F)\backslash U_n(\mathbb{A})$. As we argue below, the domain of integration can be changed and $M'(w,\chi_{\underline{s}})$ is actually given by (3.2).

We describe a choice of the set $\mathcal{W}$. There are 2^n representatives to consider. For $\underline{b} \in \{0,1\}^n$, set $\mathbf{w}_{\underline{b}} = \prod_{i=1}^{n}\mathbf{w}_{\epsilon_{\mathbf{i+1}}}{}^{b_i}$ (a product of commuting reflections). The elements $\{\mathbf{w}_{\underline{b}}\}_{\underline{b}}$ are a set of representatives, but $w_{\underline{b}}N_nw_{\underline{b}}^{-1}$ might not contain N_{GL_n}. It will be convenient to select elements $\mathbf{w}$ which satisfy

$$N_{GL_n} < {}^wN_n \tag{3.10}$$

(see below). Write $\underline{b} = (1^{m_1}0^{l_1}\dots1^{m_k}0^{l_k})$, where $m_i, l_i \ge 0$, $m = \sum_{i=1}^{k}m_i$, $l = \sum_{i=1}^{k}l_i$, $m+l = n$ and we assume k is minimal such that $\underline{b}$ can be written in this form (e.g., if $m_i, m_{i+1} > 0$, $l_i > 0$). For any $a,b,c \ge 0$ such that $a+b+c \le n$, put

$$\omega_{a,b,c} = diag(I_a, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I_{n-a-b-c} \\ J_b & 0 \end{pmatrix}, I_c) \in GL_n(F),$$
$$\alpha_{\underline{b},j} = \omega_{\sum_{i=1}^{j-1}l_i, m_j, \sum_{i=1}^{j-1}m_i} \qquad \forall 1 \le j \le k$$

(if $m_j = 0$, $\alpha_{\underline{b},j} = I_n$), $\mathbf{z}_{\underline{b}} = \alpha_{\underline{b},k}\dots\alpha_{\underline{b},1}$. Our set of representatives is $\mathcal{W} = \{\mathbf{z}_{\underline{b}}\mathbf{w}_{\underline{b}} : \underline{b} \in \{0,1\}^n\}$. The elements $\mathbf{w} \in \mathcal{W}$ satisfy (3.10).

Since $U_n^w < N_n$, we may write the du-integration of $M'(w,\chi_{\underline{s}})$ over $U_n^w(\mathbb{A})\backslash U_n(\mathbb{A})$. The condition (3.10) implies ${}^wN_n \cap N_n = N_{GL_n}({}^wN_n \cap U_n)$, whence $U_n^w\backslash U_n = ({}^wN_n \cap N_n)\backslash N_n$. Therefore $M'(w,\chi_{\underline{s}})f'_{\underline{s}} \in V_\Xi({}^{\mathbf{w}}\chi)$.

We use the notation of Section 3.3. Write ${}^{\mathbf{w}}\chi = \chi_{\mathbf{w}}^{(1)} \otimes \chi_{\mathbf{w}}^{(2)}$, where $\chi_{\mathbf{w}}^{(1)}$ and $\chi_{\mathbf{w}}^{(2)}$ are genuine characters of $C_{\widetilde{T}_{GL_n}(\mathbb{A})}$ and $\widetilde{G}_0(\mathbb{A})$. According to Claim 3.3 we can regard $M'(w,\chi_{\underline{s}})f'_{\underline{s}}$ as

an element of $V_{Q_n}(V_{\Xi^{GL_n}}(\chi^{(1)}_{\mathbf{w}})_{\underline{z}} \otimes (\chi^{(2)}_{\mathbf{w}})_{s_{n+1}})$, where $\underline{z} \in \mathbb{C}^n$ satisfies $\mathrm{e}_{\underline{z}} = {}^{\mathbf{w}}\mathrm{e}_{\underline{s}}|_{T_{GL_n}(\mathbb{A})}$. Put $\underline{s}^{(n)} = (s_1, \dots, s_n)$.

The mapping

$$\{f, w, g, s_{n+1}\}(\underline{s}^{(n)}, b) = M'(w, \chi_{\underline{s}}) f'_{\underline{s}}(bg) \qquad (b \in \widetilde{GL}_n(\mathbb{A}))$$

belongs to $V_{\Xi^{GL_n}}(|\det|^{n/2}(\chi^{(1)}_{\mathbf{w}})_{\underline{z}})$ (we used $\delta^{1/2}_{Q_n(\mathbb{A})} = |\det|^{n/2}$).

By (3.10), $GL_n(F)^w = B_{GL_n}(F)$ hence $M_n^w(F)\backslash M_n(F) \cong GL_n(F)^w\backslash GL_n(F)$ and the summation is an Eisenstein series with respect to B_{GL_n}, applied to $\{f, w, g, s_{n+1}\}$. Thus

$$\theta_f(g) = \sum_{\mathbf{w}\in\mathcal{W}} \operatorname*{Res}_{\underline{s}=\underline{0}} E_{B_{GL_n}}(I_n; \{f, w, g, s_{n+1}\}, \underline{s}^{(n)}).$$

Fix $\mathbf{w} = \mathbf{z}_{\underline{b}}\mathbf{w}_{\underline{b}}$ and let m_i, l_i, m, l be as above. Let $\mathcal{U}_{\alpha_{n+1}}$ be the unipotent subgroup of $N_n(\mathbb{A})$ generated by $n_{\alpha_{n+1}}$. Then $\mathcal{U}_{\alpha_{n+1}} < ({}^wN_n \cap N_n)(\mathbb{A})$ if and only if $m = 0$.

Claim 3.5. *The function $M'(w, \chi_{\underline{s}})f'_{\underline{s}}$ is holomorphic at $\underline{s}$ except perhaps for a simple pole at $s_{n+1} = 0$. The pole exists for some data f' if and only if $m > 0$.*

Claim 3.6. *The series $E_{B_{GL_n}}(I_n; \{f, w, g, s_{n+1}\}, \underline{s}^{(n)})$ is holomorphic at $\underline{s}^{(n)}$ except perhaps for simple poles at $s_i - s_{i+1}$ for $1 \le i < n$. If $l > 0$ and $m > 0$, it has at most $n-2$ poles. If $l = 0$, the function $y \mapsto E_{B_{GL_n}}(I_n; \{f, w, yg, s_{n+1}\}, \underline{s}^{(n)})$ on $\widetilde{GL}_n(\mathbb{A})$ belongs to the space of $\Theta_{GL_n, |\det|^{-1/2}\chi^{(1)}}$.*

Before proving these claims, we use them to complete the proof of the theorem. According to Claim 3.5, $s_{n+1} \cdot \{f, w, g, s_{n+1}\}$ is holomorphic and vanishes at $s_{n+1} = 0$ if $m = 0$. Hence we may assume $m > 0$. Then by Claim 3.5 the series $E_{B_{GL_n}}(I_n; \{f, w, g, s_{n+1}\}, \underline{s}^{(n)})$ multiplied by $\prod_{i=1}^{n-1}(s_i - s_{i+1})$ vanishes for $\underline{s} \to \underline{0}$, unless $l = 0$ and then $\mathbf{w} = \mathbf{z}_{\underline{1}}\mathbf{w}_{\underline{1}}$. Therefore

$$\theta_f(g) = \operatorname*{Res}_{\underline{s}=\underline{0}} E_{B_{GL_n}}(I_n; \{f, w, g, s_{n+1}\}, \underline{s}^{(n)}).$$

Now Claim 3.5 shows that $y \mapsto \theta_f(yg)$ belongs to the space of $\Theta_{GL_n, |\det|^{-1/2}\chi^{(1)}}$. Clearly $h \mapsto \theta_f(hg)$ $(h \in \widetilde{G}_0(\mathbb{A}))$ lies in the space of $\Theta_{G_0,\chi^{(2)}}$. The theorem is proved.

Proof of Claim 3.5. As in the proof of Proposition 3.4 and with the same notation, we analyze the poles of $M'(w, \chi_{\underline{s}})f'_{\underline{s}}$ by looking at $M(w, \chi_{\underline{s}})f_{\underline{s}}$ for a pure tensor $f \in V_X(\chi)$. Denote $M(w, \chi_{\underline{s}})f_{\underline{s}} = (\otimes_{\nu\in S}\varphi_\nu) \otimes (\otimes'_{\nu\notin S}\varphi_\nu)$. At each $\nu \in S$, the local intertwining operator is holomorphic around $\underline{0}$, because when $\underline{s} \to \underline{0}$, $\chi_{\underline{s},\nu}$ belongs to the positive Weyl chamber. The poles of the intertwining operator coincide with the poles of the infinite product. If $m = 0$, the integration is trivial hence clearly there is no pole. Otherwise, the poles are contained in the set of poles of

$$\frac{\prod_{2\le i<j\le n+1}\zeta(-j-i+2(n+2)+s_i+s_j)\prod_{i=2}^{n+1}\zeta(n+2-i+s_i)}{\prod_{2\le i<j\le n+1}\zeta(1-j-i+2(n+2)+s_i+s_j)\prod_{i=2}^{n+1}\zeta(n+3-i+s_i)}.$$

This product is holomorphic except for a simple pole at $s_{n+1} = 0$. Furthermore, since $\mathcal{U}_{\alpha_{n+1}}$ is not a subgroup of $({}^wN_n \cap N_n)(\mathbb{A})$, the factor $\zeta(n+2-i+s_i)$ with $i = n+1$ contributes to the poles of the intertwining operator. Hence there is a pole at $s_{n+1} = 0$. □

Proof of Claim 3.6. To compute the poles of $E_{B_{GL_n}}(I_n; \{f, w, g, s_{n+1}\}, \underline{s}^{(n)})$ we consider the constant term of $\{f, w, g, s_{n+1}\}$ along N_{GL_n}. According to Kazhdan and Patterson [48]

(Proposition II.1.2),

$$\int_{N_{GL_n}(F)\backslash N_{GL_n}(\mathbb{A})} E_{B_{GL_n}}(\mathfrak{s}(u);\{f,w,g,s_{n+1}\},\underline{s}^{(n)})du$$
$$=\sum_{\omega\in W_{GL_n}}(M^{GL_n})'(\omega,\underline{s}^{(n)})\{f,w,g,s_{n+1}\}'_{\underline{s}^{(n)}}(I_n).$$

Here W_{GL_n} is the Weyl subgroup of GL_n and

$$(M^{GL_n})'(\omega,\underline{s}^{(n)}):V_{\Xi^{GL_n}}(|\det|^{n/2}(\chi_{\mathbf{w}}^{(1)})_{\underline{z}})\to V_{\Xi^{GL_n}}(|\det|^{n/2\ \mathfrak{s}(\omega)}((\chi_{\mathbf{w}}^{(1)})_{\underline{z}}))$$

is the corresponding intertwining operator.

We may assume that $\chi|_{\mathfrak{s}(T_{n+1}(\mathbb{A})^2)}$ is defined with respect to a Hecke character η according to (3.7). Since $g\mapsto\eta(\Upsilon(g))$ is an automorphic character of $G_n(\mathbb{A})$ which extends to a nongenuine automorphic character of $\widetilde{G}_n(\mathbb{A})$, and $(\eta\Upsilon\cdot\chi)^{(1)}_{\mathbf{w}}=\eta\Upsilon\cdot(\chi^{(1)}_{\mathbf{w}})$, we may prove the claim for $(\eta^{-1}\Upsilon)\cdot\chi$. This means that we assume $\eta=1$, then for $t=\prod_{i=1}^n\eta_i^\vee(a_i^2)\beta_1^\vee(t_1)\in T_{n+1}(\mathbb{A})^2$, $d\in(\mathbb{A}^*)^{2/\gcd(2,n+1)}$, $z=\prod_{i=1}^n\eta_i^\vee(d)$ and $\zeta\in\mu_2$,

$$\chi(\zeta\mathfrak{s}(t)\mathfrak{s}(z))=\zeta\prod_{i=1}^n|a_i|^{n-i+1}|d|^{n(n+1)/4}\gamma(d). \tag{3.11}$$

Here γ is a complex-valued function on the quotient of $(\mathbb{A}^*)^{2/\gcd(2,n+1)}$ by $((\mathbb{A}^*)^{2/\gcd(2,n+1)}\cap F^*)\mathbb{A}^{*2}$, which satisfies $\gamma(dd')=c(d,d')^{\lceil n/2\rceil}\gamma(d)\gamma(d')$ and is nonzero. Additionally, by Claim 3.5 we may assume $s_{n+1}=0$.

The element $\mathbf{z}_{\underline{b}}$ acts on the cocharacters $\eta_i^\vee$. Let τ be the permutation such that

$$^{\mathbf{z}_{\underline{b}}^{-1}}(\prod_{i=1}^n\eta_i^\vee(a_i))=\prod_{i=1}^n\eta_i^\vee(a_{\tau^{-1}(i)}).$$

Also define $\underline{c}\in\{-1,1\}^n$ by $c_i=1-2b_i$. Then

$$(\chi_{\mathbf{w}}^{(1)})_{\underline{z}}(\mathfrak{s}(\prod_{i=1}^n\eta_i^\vee(a_i^2)))=\prod_{i=1}^n|a_i|^{c_{\tau(i)}(n-\tau(i)+1+s_{\tau(i)})}.$$

Note that here we used the fact that $\chi(\mathfrak{s}(\beta_1^\vee(t_1)))=1$, because (see e.g. (1.7))

$$^{\mathbf{w}_{\underline{b}}}(\prod_{i=1}^n\eta_i^\vee(a_i))=\prod_{i=1}^n\eta_i^\vee(a_i^{\mp1})\beta_1^\vee(\cdots).$$

We show that $\chi_{\mathbf{w}}^{(1)}$ belongs to the positive Weyl chamber. Denote by $\sqcup$ the concatenation of tuples, e.g., $(2,5,1)\sqcup(2,8,-1,0)=(2,5,1,2,8,-1,0)$. For r integers $i_1,\dots,i_r$, set $^J(i_1,i_2,\dots,i_r)=(i_r,\dots,i_2,i_1)$. Let $(1,\dots,n)=[l_1]\sqcup\ldots\sqcup[l_k]\sqcup[m_k]\sqcup\ldots\sqcup[m_1]$, where for $r\geq0$, $[r]$ is an ascending sequence of r integers. Then

$$(\tau^{-1}(1),\dots,\tau^{-1}(n))={}^J[m_1]\sqcup[l_1]\sqcup\ldots\sqcup{}^J[m_k]\sqcup[l_k].$$

It follows that if $1\leq i<j<l$, $\tau(i)<\tau(j)$ and $c_{\tau(i)}=c_{\tau(j)}=1$. Thus for $x\in\mathbb{A}^*$,

$$\chi_{\mathbf{w}}^{(1)}(\mathfrak{s}(\eta_i^\vee(x^2)\eta_j^\vee(x^{-2})))=|x|^{n-\tau(i)+1-(n-\tau(j)+1)}=|x|^{\tau(j)-\tau(i)}. \tag{3.12}$$

For $l+1\leq i<j<n$, we have $\tau(i)>\tau(j)$ and $c_{\tau(i)}=c_{\tau(j)}=-1$, whence

$$\chi_{\mathbf{w}}^{(1)}(\mathfrak{s}(\eta_i^\vee(x^2)\eta_j^\vee(x^{-2})))=|x|^{\tau(i)-\tau(j)}. \tag{3.13}$$

If $l\leq i\leq l$ and $l+1\leq j\leq n$, then $c_{\tau(i)}=1$ and $c_{\tau(j)}=-1$,

$$\chi_{\mathbf{w}}^{(1)}(\mathfrak{s}(\eta_l^\vee(x^2)\eta_{l+1}^\vee(x^{-2})))=|x|^{2n+2-\tau(i)-\tau(j)}. \tag{3.14}$$

We conclude that $\chi_{\mathbf{w}}^{(1)}$ belongs to the positive Weyl chamber. Therefore, for decomposable data, at any place ν the local intertwining operator is holomorphic. Then the poles of the intertwining operator $(M^{GL_n})'(\omega, \underline{s}^{(n)})$ appear only in the infinite unramified part.

Consider such poles. For the purpose of a bound, we may assume $\omega = J_n$. Let $S \supset S_0$ be a finite set. For a positive root (i,j), where $1 \le i < j \le n$, and $\nu \notin S$, let

$$d_{(i,j),\nu} = (\chi_{\mathbf{w}}^{(1)})_\nu(\mathfrak{s}_\nu(\eta_i^\vee(\varpi_\nu^2)\eta_j^\vee(\varpi_\nu^{-2}))) = q_\nu^{-C_{(i,j)}}.$$

Because $(\chi_{\mathbf{w}}^{(1)})_\nu$ belongs to the positive Weyl chamber, $C_{(i,j)} > 0$. Hence the poles appear (with multiplicities) in the product

$$\prod_{1\le i<j\le n} \zeta(C_{(i,j)} + s_{\tau(j)} - s_{\tau(i)}).$$

For a pair (i,j) appearing in this product, there is a pole at $\underline{s}^{(n)} = \underline{0}$ if and only if $C_{(i,j)} = 1$. For any i, there is at most one j such that $\tau(j) - \tau(i) = 1$ and similarly, at most one j with $\tau(i) - \tau(j) = 1$. Looking at (3.12)-(3.14) we see that the only possible poles are simple poles at $s_i - s_{i+1} = 0$ for each $1 \le i < n$ such that $i \ne l$. If $l = 0$ or $m = 0$ (in which case $l = n$), these are $n-1$ poles. Otherwise we have at most $n-2$ poles.

Now assume $l = 0$. Then

$$|\det|^{n/2}\chi_{\mathbf{w}}^{(1)}(\mathfrak{s}(\prod_{i=1}^n \eta_i^\vee(a_i^2))\mathfrak{s}(\prod_{i=1}^n \eta_i^\vee(d))) = \prod_{i=1}^n |a_i|^{n-i}|d|^{n(n-1)/4}\gamma(d^{-1}).$$

This is an exceptional character of $C_{\widetilde{T}_{GL_n}(\mathbb{A})}$ and therefore according to the results of Kazhdan and Patterson [48] (Proposition II.1.2 and Theorems II.1.4 and II.2.1), the mapping

$$y \mapsto \lim_{\underline{s}^{(n)}\to\underline{0}} \prod_{i=1}^{n-1}(s_i - s_{i+1})E_{B_{GL_n}}(y; \{f, w, g, 0\}, \underline{s}^{(n)})$$

(we assumed $s_{n+1} = 0$) belongs to the space of $\Theta_{GL_n, |\det|^{n/2}\chi_{\mathbf{w}}^{(1)}}$. Since

$$E_{B_{GL_n}}(y; \{f, w, g, s_{n+1}\}, \underline{s}^{(n)}) = E_{B_{GL_n}}(I_n; \{f, w, yg, 0, \underline{s}^{(n)}),$$

it is left to show $|\det|^{n/2}\chi_{\mathbf{w}}^{(1)} = |\det|^{-1/2}\chi^{(1)}$. Equality (3.11) implies

$$\chi^{(1)}(\mathfrak{s}(\prod_{i=1}^n \eta_i^\vee(a_i^2))\mathfrak{s}(z)) = \prod_{i=1}^n |a_i|^{n-i+1}|d|^{n(n+1)/4}\gamma(d).$$

Because $\gamma(d^{-1}) = \gamma(d)$, the result follows. □

□

Remark 3.2. *The analog result for SO_{2n+1} was proved in* [16, 44] *by induction, using a parabolic subgroup with a Levi part isomorphic to $GL_1 \times SO_{2(n-1)+1}$. This approach does not seem to work, because the representation of $M_1(\mathbb{A})$ cannot be described using the tensor product. Note that the twist of $\chi^{(1)}$ by $|\det|^{-1/2}$ is compatible with the result on SO_{2n+1}.*

3.4.4. *Vanishing results.* Let ψ be a nontrivial character of $F\backslash\mathbb{A}$. We use the notation of Section 2.3.2. The global counterpart of the twisted Jacquet modules is a class of Fourier coefficients, for generic characters. Any character of $V_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathbb{A})$ which is trivial on $V_{\mathcal{O}}(F)$ is the lift of a character of $V_{\mathcal{O}}^{\mathrm{a}}(\mathbb{A})$, trivial on $V_{\mathcal{O}}^{\mathrm{a}}(F)$. Such a character can be identified with a point $b \in V_{\mathcal{O}}^{\mathrm{a}}(F)$. The character ψ_b is generic if b belongs to the open orbit with respect to the

action of $C(G_n(\overline{F}), h_{\mathcal{O}}(\overline{F}^*))$ on $V^{\mathrm{a}}_{\mathcal{O}}(\overline{F})$. The Fourier coefficient of an automorphic function φ on $\widetilde{G}_n(\mathbb{A})$ or $G_n(\mathbb{A})$, with respect to $\mathcal{O}$ and ψ_b, is given by

$$\int_{V_{\mathcal{O}}(F)\backslash V_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathbb{A})} \varphi(\mathfrak{s}(v))\psi_b(v)dv.$$

This is the Fourier coefficient of Theorem 2. This theorem follows immediately from Theorem 1 using a local-global principle (see e.g. [39] Propositon 1). In particular, as in [16] (Proposition 4.3), we have the following result (directly implied by Lemma 2.25):

Proposition 3.7. *Let χ be an exceptional character and $b \in F^{2n-1}$ be with $\ell(b) \neq 0$. Then for any θ in the space of $\Theta_{G_n,\chi}$, $\int_{U_1(F)\backslash U_1(\mathbb{A})} \theta(\mathfrak{s}(u))\psi(r(u)b)du = 0$.*

4. Application - the theta period

In this section we prove Theorem 4. Let F be a number field with a ring of adèles $\mathbb{A}$. Denote by A^+ the subgroup of idèles of F whose finite components are trivial and Archimedean components are equal, real and positive, it can be identified with $\mathbb{R}_{>0}$.

Regard GL_n and G_0 as subgroups of M_n. Let $GL_n(\mathbb{A})^1 < GL_n(\mathbb{A})$ be the subgroup of matrices b such that $|\det b| = 1$. If $g = bhuk \in G_n(\mathbb{A})$ with $b \in GL_n(\mathbb{A})$, $h \in G_0(\mathbb{A})$, $u \in U_n(\mathbb{A})$ and $k \in K$, define $H(g) = |\det b|$.

Let $\widetilde{G}_n(\mathbb{A})$ be the double cover of $G_n(\mathbb{A})$, defined in Section 3.1. We identify $G_n(F)$ and $N_n(\mathbb{A})$ with their images in $\widetilde{G}_n(\mathbb{A})$ under $\mathfrak{s}$ (see Claim 3.1). Furthermore, regard $G_n(\mathbb{A})$ as a subgroup of $\widetilde{G}_n(\mathbb{A})$ by fixing a section $G_n(\mathbb{A}) \to \widetilde{G}_n(\mathbb{A})$. E.g., one can take the section κ defined in Section 3.1. We suppress the actual section from the notation.

Let τ be an irreducible unitary automorphic cuspidal representation of $GL_n(\mathbb{A})$ with a central character ω_τ and let η be a unitary Hecke character. As in the statement of the theorem, assume $\omega_\tau^2(t)\eta^n(t) = 1$ for all $t \in A^+$.

Let ρ be a smooth complex-valued function on $G_n(\mathbb{A})$, satisfying the following properties: it is K finite; for any $b^1 \in GL_n(\mathbb{A})^1$, $h \in G_0(\mathbb{A})$, $u \in U_n(\mathbb{A})$, $t \in A^+$, $a = t \cdot I_n$ and $g \in G_n(\mathbb{A})$, $\rho(b^1 ahug) = \delta^{\frac{1}{2}}_{Q_n(\mathbb{A})}(a)\omega_\tau(a)\eta(h)\rho(b^1 g)$; and for any $k \in K$, the mapping $b^1 \mapsto \rho(b^1 k)$ is a $K \cap GL_n(\mathbb{A})^1$-finite vector in the space of τ. The standard section corresponding to ρ is defined by $\rho_s(g) = H(g)^s\rho(g)$, for any $s \in \mathbb{C}$.

We have the following Eisenstein series,

$$E_{Q_n}(g;\rho,s) = \sum_{\delta \in Q_n(F)\backslash G_n(F)} \rho_s(\delta g), \qquad g \in G_n(\mathbb{A}).$$

According to Hundley and Sayag [36] (Proposition 18.0.4), the series $E_{Q_n}(g;\rho,s)$ is holomorphic when $\Re(s) > 0$ except perhaps at $s = 1/2$, where it may have a simple pole. This pole exists (for some data ρ, g) if and only if $L(s,\tau,Sym^2 \otimes \eta)$ has a pole at $s = 1$. Equivalently, one can use the partial L-function $L^S(s,\tau,Sym^2 \otimes \eta)$, where S is any finite set of places, see [36] (Remark 2.2.2 and Section 19.3).

Remark 4.1. *In* [36] *the L-function was actually $L^S(s,\tau,Sym^2 \otimes \eta^{-1})$. This is because their embedding of G_0 in G_n was different. In the notation of Section 1.2, they sent $\theta_1^\vee$ to $-\epsilon_1^\vee$ (compare* (1.7) *to their formula for wmw^{-1} in* [36] *Lemma 13.2.4).*

Put $E_{1/2}(g;\rho) = \mathrm{Res}_{s=1/2} E_{Q_n}(g;\rho,s)$, where $\mathrm{Res}_{s=1/2} = \lim_{s\to 1/2}(s - 1/2)$.

In general if $H < G_n(\mathbb{A})$ and we are given two complex-valued genuine functions φ and φ' on $\widetilde{H}$, the function $h \mapsto \varphi(h)\varphi'(h)$ is independent of the actual choice of section $H \to \widetilde{G}_n(\mathbb{A})$. Therefore it can be regarded as a function on H.

Let χ and χ' be global exceptional characters, constructed as explained in Section 3.4.2, and form the exceptional representations $\Theta_{G_n,\chi}$ and $\Theta_{G_n,\chi'}$. We take χ and χ' such that $\chi \cdot \chi' \cdot \eta = 1$ on $C_{G_n(\mathbb{A})}$, which means

$$(\chi \cdot \chi')(\beta^\vee(t))\eta(t) = 1, \qquad \forall t \in \mathbb{A}^*. \tag{4.1}$$

This is possible (see Section 3.4.2). Let θ (resp. θ') be an automorphic form in the space of $\Theta_{G_n,\chi}$ (resp. $\Theta_{G_n,\chi'}$).

For any complex-valued function Ξ on $G_n(F)\backslash G_n(\mathbb{A})$, satisfying $\Xi(\beta^\vee(t)g) = \eta(t)\Xi(g)$ for all $g \in G_n(\mathbb{A})$ and $t \in \mathbb{A}^*$, the co-period of Ξ, θ and θ' is the following integral

$$\mathcal{I}(\Xi, \theta, \theta') = \int_{C_{G_n(\mathbb{A})}G_n(F)\backslash G_n(\mathbb{A})} \Xi(g)\theta(g)\theta'(g)dg,$$

provided it is absolutely convergent. Note that $H(\beta^\vee(t)g) = H(g)$, hence $\rho_s, E_{Q_n}(\cdot;\rho,s)$ and $E_{1/2}(\cdot;\rho)$ are, at least formally, possible candidates for Ξ.

Let dt be the standard Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{R}$. We fix measures such that the following formulas hold.

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{Q_n(F)\backslash G_n(\mathbb{A})} \varphi(g)dg = \int_K \int_{M_n(F)\backslash M_n(\mathbb{A})} \int_{U_n(F)\backslash U_n(\mathbb{A})} \varphi(umk)du\delta^{-1}_{Q_n(\mathbb{A})}(m)dmdk, \\
&\int_{GL_n(F)\backslash GL_n(\mathbb{A})} \varphi(b)db = n^{-1} \int_{GL_n(F)\backslash GL_n(\mathbb{A})^1} \int_{\mathbb{R}_{>0}} \varphi((t \cdot I_n)b)t^{-1}dtdb.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

The co-period $\mathcal{I}(E_{1/2}(\cdot;\rho),\theta,\theta')$ is absolutely convergent (see [44] Claim 3.1). In order to compute it we apply the truncation operator of Arthur [3, 1] to $E_{Q_n}(g;\rho,s)$ as in, e.g., [39, 40, 32, 27]. For the complete argument see [44], we provide a sketch. For a real number $d > 1$, let $ch_{>d} : \mathbb{R}_{>0} \to \{0,1\}$ be the characteristic function of $\mathbb{R}_{>d}$ and set $ch_{\leq d} = 1 - ch_{>d}$. Denote

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{E}_1^d(g;s) &= \sum_{\delta \in Q_n(F)\backslash G_n(F)} \rho_s(\delta g) ch_{\leq d}(H(\delta g)), \\
\mathcal{E}_2^d(g;s) &= \sum_{\delta \in Q_n(F)\backslash G_n(F)} M(w,s)\rho_s(\delta g) ch_{>d}(H(\delta g)).
\end{aligned}$$

Here $M(w,s)$ is the global intertwining operator corresponding to a representative w such that $wU_nw^{-1} = U_n^-$. The co-periods $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{E}_1^d(\cdot;s),\theta,\theta')$ and $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{E}_2^d(\cdot;s),\theta,\theta')$ are absolutely convergent for $\Re(s) \gg 0$ and

$$\mathcal{I}(\Lambda_d E_{Q_n}(\cdot;\rho,s),\theta,\theta') = \mathcal{I}(\mathcal{E}_1^d(\cdot;s) - \mathcal{E}_2^d(\cdot;s),\theta,\theta'), \tag{4.3}$$

where $\Lambda_d E_{Q_n}(\cdot;\rho,s)$ is the application of the truncation operator to $E_{Q_n}(\cdot;\rho,s)$.

The following proposition describes $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{E}_i^d(\cdot;s),\theta,\theta')$ and essentially completes the proof.

Proposition 4.1. *The co-periods $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{E}_1^d(\cdot;s),\theta,\theta')$ and $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{E}_2^d(\cdot;s),\theta,\theta')$ have meromorphic continuation to the whole plane. Moreover,*

$$\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{E}_1^d(\cdot;s),\theta,\theta') = \frac{d^{s-1/2}}{s-1/2} \int_K \int_{GL_n(F)\backslash GL_n(\mathbb{A})^1} \rho(bk)\theta^{U_n}(bk)\theta'^{U_n}(bk)dbdk, \tag{4.4}$$

$$\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{E}_2^d(\cdot;s),\theta,\theta') = \frac{d^{-s-1/2}}{s+1/2} \int_K \int_{GL_n(F)\backslash GL_n(\mathbb{A})^1} M(w,s)\rho_s(bk)\theta^{U_n}(bk)\theta'^{U_n}(bk)dbdk. \tag{4.5}$$

Here θ^{U_n} and ${\theta'}^{U_n}$ are given by (3.9)*. In particular, $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{E}_1^d(\cdot;s),\theta,\theta')$ is holomorphic except perhaps at $s=1/2$, where it may have a simple pole.*

Now taking the residue in (4.3) yields

$$\mathcal{I}(\Lambda_d E_{1/2}(\cdot;\rho),\theta,\theta') = \operatorname*{Res}_{s=1/2}\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{E}_1^d(\cdot;s),\theta,\theta') - \operatorname*{Res}_{s=1/2}\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{E}_2^d(\cdot;s),\theta,\theta').$$

Here $\Lambda_d E_{1/2}(\cdot;\rho)$ is the application of the truncation operator to $E_{1/2}(\cdot;\rho)$. Taking $d\to\infty$ (and using [52] Proposition 4.3.3), we obtain

$$\mathcal{I}(E_{1/2}(\cdot;\rho),\theta,\theta') = \int_K \int_{GL_n(F)\backslash GL_n(\mathbb{A})^1} \rho(bk)\theta^{U_n}(bk){\theta'}^{U_n}(bk)dbdk.$$

This proves part (1) of the theorem. Part (2) follows from Theorem 3.2 of [27] (see also [39] Proposition 2 and [40]).

Proof of Proposition 4.1. The proof is a simple modification of the proof of Proposition 3.2 of [44]. We briefly present the argument. Consider $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{E}_1^d(\cdot;s),\theta,\theta')$. Collapsing the summation into the integral gives

$$\int_{C_{G_n(\mathbb{A})}Q_n(F)\backslash G_n(\mathbb{A})} \rho_s(g)ch_{\le d}(H(g))\theta(g)\theta'(g)dg. \tag{4.6}$$

We write the Fourier expansion of θ' along the derived group C_{U_n} of U_n. Assume $n>1$, the case $n=1$ is trivial because the cover of $G_1(\mathbb{A})$ splits (by Claim 2.2). The group $M_n(F)$ acts on the set of characters of $C_{U_n}(F)\backslash C_{U_n}(\mathbb{A})$ with $\lfloor n/2\rfloor+1$ orbits. For $0\le j\le\lfloor n/2\rfloor$, define a character ψ_j of $C_{U_n}(F)\backslash C_{U_n}(\mathbb{A})$ by $\psi_j(c)=\psi(\sum_{i=1}^j c_{n-2i+1,n+2i})$, where ψ is a nontrivial character of $F\backslash\mathbb{A}$. The stabilizer $St_{\psi_j}(F)$ of ψ_j in $M_n(F)$ is equal to $St'_{\psi_j}(F)\times G_0(F)$, where $St'_{\psi_j}(F)$ is the stabilizer computed in [44],

$$St'_{\psi_j}(F)=\left\{\begin{pmatrix} a & z\\ 0 & b\end{pmatrix}: a\in GL_{n-2j}(F), b\in Sp_j(F), z \text{ is any } (n-2j)\times 2j \text{ matrix}\right\}<GL_n(F)$$

and Sp_j is the symplectic group in $2j$ variables. Then

$$\theta'(g)=\sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor n/2\rfloor}\sum_{\lambda\in St_{\psi_j}(F)\backslash GL_n(F)}\int_{C_{U_n}(F)\backslash C_{U_n}(\mathbb{A})}\theta'(c\lambda g)\psi_j(c)dc.$$

Plugging this into (4.6) gives a sum of integrals $\sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor n/2\rfloor}\mathcal{I}_j$ with

$$\mathcal{I}_j=\int_K\int_{C_{G_n(\mathbb{A})}St_{\psi_j}(F)\backslash M_n(\mathbb{A})}\rho_s(mk)ch_{\le d}(H(m))$$
$$\int_{U_n(F)\backslash U_n(\mathbb{A})}\theta(umk)\left(\int_{C_{U_n}(F)\backslash C_{U_n}(\mathbb{A})}\theta'(cumk)\psi_j(c)dc\right)du\delta_{Q_n(\mathbb{A})}^{-1}(m)dmdk.$$

Set $V_j=(C_{U_n}\cap U_n\cap U_{n-2j})\backslash(U_n\cap U_{n-2j})$ and

$$X_j(F)=\left\{\begin{pmatrix} I_{n-2j} & 0 & v_1 & c_1 & c_2\\ & I_{2j} & 0 & c_3 & c_1'\\ & & 1 & 0 & v_1'\\ & & & I_{2j} & 0\\ & & & & I_{n-2j}\end{pmatrix}\in U_n(F)\right\}.$$

The constant term of θ' along C_{U_n} is a function on $V_j(F)\backslash V_j(\mathbb{A}) \cong (F\backslash\mathbb{A})^{n-2j}$ and by considering a Fourier expansion of this function and using Proposition 3.7, one sees that

$$\int_{C_{U_n}(F)\backslash C_{U_n}(\mathbb{A})} \theta'(c)\psi_j(c)dc = \int_{X_j(F)\backslash X_j(\mathbb{A})} \theta'(c)\psi_j(c)dc.$$

Here ψ_j was extended to a character of $X_j(\mathbb{A})$, trivially on the coordinates of v_1 (and v_1'). Applying this to $\mathcal{I}_j$ and factoring the du-integration through X_j yields

$$\mathcal{I}_j = \int_K \int_{C_{G_n(\mathbb{A})}St_{\psi_j}(F)\backslash M_n(\mathbb{A})} \rho_s(mk)ch_{\le d}(H(m)) \int_{X_j(\mathbb{A})\backslash(U_n(F)\backslash U_n(\mathbb{A}))}$$
$$\left(\int_{X_j(F)\backslash X_j(\mathbb{A})} \theta(xu_1mk)\psi_j^{-1}(x)dx\right)\left(\int_{X_j(F)\backslash X_j(\mathbb{A})} \theta'(xu_1mk)\psi_j(x)dx\right)du_1\delta_{Q_n(\mathbb{A})}^{-1}(m)dmdk.$$

The following lemma is the heart of the unfolding argument.

Lemma 4.2. *For any $j > 0$, $\mathcal{I}_j = 0$.*

Proof. The proof of Lemma 3.5 in [44] is separated into two cases, $j < n/2$ and $j = n/2$. In the case $j < n/2$ one introduces an inner integration along a unipotent radical of GL_n, into $\mathcal{I}_j$, then uses the fact that $\rho_s|_{GL_n(\mathbb{A})}$ is a cusp form to show that $\mathcal{I}_j$ vanishes. The arguments include a Fourier expansion, an "exchange of roots" and a few vanishing results. The vanishing results we use here are Proposition 3.7, Claim 2.26 and Proposition 2.29. Note that in [44] we also used a result on a global constant term (with respect to U_k and any $k \ge 3$), this can be replaced with a local result - Proposition 2.29 (as already observed in [44], Remark 3.8).

Assume $j = n/2$ (in particular, n is even). In this case $St_{\psi_{n/2}} = Sp_{n/2}$. Utilizing a result of Ikeda [37] (Proposition 1.3) on functions on Jacobi groups along with Proposition 3.7, one can introduce an inner integration $\int_{Sp_{n/2}(F)\backslash Sp_{n/2}(\mathbb{A})} \rho_s(ymk)dy$ into $\mathcal{I}_j$, which vanishes according to Jacquet and Rallis [39] (Proposition 1). The arguments in [44] are applicable. We need to observe that, as in the case of the cover of $SO_{2n+1}(\mathbb{A})$, the restriction of the cover of $G_n(\mathbb{A})$ to $Sp_{n/2}(\mathbb{A})$ is a nontrivial double cover, which is therefore isomorphic to the usual metaplectic cover of $Sp_{n/2}(\mathbb{A})$. □

Therefore, Integral (4.6) is equal to $\mathcal{I}_0$ and since $St_{\psi_0}(F) = M_n(F)$ and

$$(C_{G_n(\mathbb{A})}M_n(F))\backslash M_n(\mathbb{A}) \cong GL_n(F)\backslash GL_n(\mathbb{A}),$$

Integral $\mathcal{I}_0$ becomes

$$\int_K \int_{GL_n(F)\backslash GL_n(\mathbb{A})} \rho_s(bk)ch_{\le d}(H(b))\theta^{U_n}(bk)\theta'^{U_n}(bk)\delta_{Q_n(\mathbb{A})}^{-1}(b)dbdk. \tag{4.7}$$

Regarding the case $n = 1$, Lemma 2.24 immediately implies $\theta' = \theta'^{U_1}$ and we also get that (4.6) equals (4.7). Now assume $n \ge 1$.

Finally to extract the dependency on s one uses (4.2). Assume χ (resp. χ') is given by (3.7) with respect to η_χ, ψ_χ (resp. $\eta_{\chi'}, \psi_{\chi'}$). Then (3.7) and (4.1) imply

$$\eta_\chi(t^{-2})\eta_{\chi'}(t^{-2})\eta(t) = 1, \qquad \forall t \in \mathbb{A}^*. \tag{4.8}$$

Let $t \in A^+$. Then $\gamma_\psi(t) = 1$ and according to (3.8),

$$\chi^{(1)}(\mathfrak{s}(\prod_{i=1}^n \eta_i^\vee(t))) = t^{n(n+1)/4}\eta_\chi(t)^n. \tag{4.9}$$

By Theorem 3, (4.8) and (4.9),

$$\theta^{U_n}(t\cdot I_n)\theta'^{U_n}(t\cdot I_n)=t^{n(n-1)/2}\eta(t)^{n/2}\theta^{U_n}(I_n)\theta'^{U_n}(I_n).$$

Since also

$$\rho_s(t\cdot I_n)\delta_{Q_n(\mathbb{A})}^{-1}(t\cdot I_n)=t^{n(s-n/2)}\omega_\tau(t)\rho(I_n),$$

when we apply (4.2) to (4.7) we get

$$n^{-1}\int_K\int_{GL_n(F)\backslash GL_n(\mathbb{A})^1}\rho(bk)\theta^{U_n}(bk)\theta'^{U_n}(bk)\int_{0<t^n\le d}\omega_\tau(t)\eta(t)^{n/2}t^{n(s-3/2)}dtdbdk.$$

Because $\omega_\tau(t)\eta(t)^{n/2}=1$, we reach (4.4).

Similar arguments apply to $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{E}_2^d(\cdot;s),\theta,\theta')$ and we obtain (4.5). Note that

$$M(w,s)\rho_s(t\cdot I_n)\delta_{Q_n(\mathbb{A})}^{-1}(t\cdot I_n)=t^{n(s-n/2)}\omega_\tau^{-1}(t)\eta(t)^{-n}\rho(I_n).$$ □

References

[1] J. Arthur. A trace formula for reductive groups. II. Applications of a truncation operator. *Compositio Math.*, 40(1):87–121, 1980.

[2] J. Arthur. Unipotent automorphic representations: conjectures. *Astérisque*, (171-172):13–71, 1989. Orbites unipotentes et représentations, II.

[3] J. G. Arthur. A trace formula for reductive groups. I. Terms associated to classes in $G(\mathbf{Q})$. *Duke Math. J.*, 45(4):911–952, 1978.

[4] M. Asgari. *On holomorphy of local Langlands L-functions*. ProQuest LLC, Ann Arbor, MI, 2000. Thesis (Ph.D.)–Purdue University.

[5] M. Asgari. Local L-functions for split spinor groups. *Canad. J. Math.*, 54(4):673–693, 2002.

[6] M. Asgari and F. Shahidi. Generic transfer for general spin groups. *Duke Math. J.*, 132(1):137–190, 2006.

[7] M. Asgari and F. Shahidi. Functoriality for general spin groups, 2011. arXiv:1101.3467 [math.NT], http://arxiv.org/abs/1101.3467.

[8] D. Ban and C. Jantzen. The langlands quotient theorem for finite extensions of p-adic groups. 2013, to appear in Glasnik Mat.

[9] W. Banks. A corollary to Bernstein's theorem and Whittaker functionals on the metaplectic group. *Math. Res. Letters*, 5(6):781–790, 1998.

[10] W. D. Banks, J. Levy, and M. R. Sepanski. Block-compatible metaplectic cocycles. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 507:131–163, 1999.

[11] I. N. Bernstein and A. V. Zelevinsky. Representations of the group $GL(n,F)$ where F is a local non-Archimedean field. *Russian Math. Surveys*, 31(3):1–68, 1976.

[12] I. N. Bernstein and A. V. Zelevinsky. Induced representations of reductive p-adic groups I. *Ann. Scient. Éc. Norm. Sup.*, 10(4):441–472, 1977.

[13] A. Borel and N. R. Wallach. *Continuous cohomology, discrete subgroups, and representations of reductive groups*, volume 94 of *Annals of Mathematics Studies*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J., 1980.

[14] R. Brylinski and B. Kostant. Minimal representations of E_6, E_7, and E_8 and the generalized Capelli identity. *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.*, 91(7):2469–2472, 1994.

[15] D. Bump, S. Friedberg, and D. Ginzburg. A Rankin-Selberg integral using the automorphic minimal representation of SO(7). *J. Ramanujan Math. Soc.*, 15(2):81–124, 2000.

[16] D. Bump, S. Friedberg, and D. Ginzburg. Small representations for odd orthogonal groups. *Internat. Math. Res. Notices*, (25):1363–1393, 2003.

[17] D. Bump, S. Friedberg, and D. Ginzburg. Lifting automorphic representations on the double covers of orthogonal groups. *Duke Math. J.*, 131(2):363–396, 2006.

[18] D. Bump and D. Ginzburg. Symmetric square L-functions on GL(r). *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 136(1):137–205, 1992.

[19] R. W. Carter. *Finite groups of Lie type*. Wiley Classics Library. John Wiley & Sons Ltd., Chichester, 1993. Conjugacy classes and complex characters, Reprint of the 1985 original, A Wiley-Interscience Publication.
[20] W. Casselman. The unramified principal series of p-adic groups I: the spherical function. *Compositio Math.*, 40(3):387–406, 1980.
[21] D. H. Collingwood and W. M. McGovern. *Nilpotent orbits in semisimple Lie algebras*. Van Nostrand Reinhold Mathematics Series. Van Nostrand Reinhold Co., New York, 1993.
[22] M. Finkelberg and S. Lysenko. Twisted geometric Satake equivalence. *J. Inst. Math. Jussieu*, 9(4):719–739, 2010.
[23] Y. Flicker and D. Kazhdan G. Savin. Explicit realization of a metaplectic representation. *J. Analyse Math.*, 55:17–39, 1990.
[24] Y. Z. Flicker and D. A. Kazhdan. Metaplectic correspondence. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.*, (64):53–110, 1986.
[25] W. T. Gan and G. Savin. On minimal representations definitions and properties. *Represent. Theory*, 9:46–93 (electronic), 2005.
[26] D. Ginzburg. Certain conjectures relating unipotent orbits to automorphic representations. *Israel J. Math.*, 151:323–355, 2006.
[27] D. Ginzburg, D. Jiang, and S. Rallis. Nonvanishing of the central critical value of the third symmetric power L-functions. *Forum Math.*, 13(1):109–132, 2001.
[28] D. Ginzburg, D. Jiang, and D. Soudry. Periods of automorphic forms, poles of L-functions and functorial lifting. *Sci. China Math.*, 53(9):2215–2238, 2010.
[29] D. Ginzburg, D. Jiang, and D. Soudry. On certain automorphic descents to GL_2. *Internat. Math. Res. Notices*, (21):4779–4820, 2011.
[30] D. Ginzburg, S. Rallis, and D. Soudry. On the automorphic theta representation for simply laced groups. *Israel J. Math.*, 100:61–116, 1997.
[31] D. Ginzburg, S. Rallis, and D. Soudry. On a correspondence between cuspidal representations of GL_{2n} and $\widetilde{Sp}_{2n}$. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 12(3):849–907, 1999.
[32] D. Ginzburg, S. Rallis, and D. Soudry. On explicit lifts of cusp forms from GL_m to classical groups. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 150(3):807–866, 1999.
[33] D. Ginzburg, S. Rallis, and D. Soudry. Generic automorphic forms on $SO(2n+1)$: functorial lift to $GL(2n)$, endoscopy, and base change. *Internat. Math. Res. Notices.*, 2001(14):729–764, 2001.
[34] D. Ginzburg, S. Rallis, and D. Soudry. On Fourier coefficients of automorphic forms of symplectic groups. *Manuscripta Math.*, 111(1):1–16, 2003.
[35] D. Ginzburg, S. Rallis, and D. Soudry. *The Descent Map from Automorphic Representations of GL(n) to Classical Groups*. World Scientific Publishing, Singapore, 2011.
[36] J. Hundley and E. Sayag. Descent construction for GSpin groups, 2012. arXiv:1110.6788v2 [math.NT], http://arxiv.org/pdf/1110.6788.pdf.
[37] T. Ikeda. On the theory of Jacobi forms and Fourier-Jacobi coefficients of Eisenstein series. *J. Math. Kyoto Univ.*, 34(3):615–636, 1994.
[38] Luc J.-L. Brylinski and P. Deligne. Central extensions of reductive groups by $\mathbf{K}_2$. *Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci.*, (94):5–85, 2001.
[39] H. Jacquet and S. Rallis. Symplectic periods. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 423:175–197, 1992.
[40] D. Jiang. G_2-periods and residual representations. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 497:17–46, 1998.
[41] D. Jiang and D. Soudry. The local converse theorem for $SO(2n+1)$ and applications. *Ann. of Math.*, 157(3):743–806, 2003.
[42] A. C. Kable. The tensor product of exceptional representations on the general linear group. *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)*, 34(5):741–769, 2001.
[43] E. Kaplan. On the local theory of Rankin–Selberg convolutions for $SO_{2l} \times GL_n$. 2013, Thesis, Tel-Aviv University, Israel.
[44] E. Kaplan. The theta period of a cuspidal automorphic representation of $GL(n)$. 2014, doi:10.1093/imrn/rnt358, to appear in Internat. Math. Res. Notices.
[45] D. Kazhdan. The minimal representation of D_4. In *Operator algebras, unitary representations, enveloping algebras, and invariant theory (Paris, 1989)*, volume 92 of *Progr. Math.*, pages 125–158. Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1990.

[46] D. Kazhdan, B. Pioline, and A. Waldron. Minimal representations, spherical vectors and exceptional theta series. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 226(1):1–40, 2002.
[47] D. Kazhdan and G. Savin. The smallest representation of simply laced groups. In *Festschrift in honor of I. I. Piatetski-Shapiro on the occasion of his sixtieth birthday, Part I (Ramat Aviv, 1989)*, volume 2 of *Israel Math. Conf. Proc.*, pages 209–223. Weizmann, Jerusalem, 1990.
[48] D. A. Kazhdan and S. J. Patterson. Metaplectic forms. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.*, (59):35–142, 1984.
[49] H. H. Kim and W. Kim. On local L-functions and normalized intertwining operators II; quasi-split groups. In *On certain L-functions*, volume 13 of *Clay Math. Proc.*, pages 265–295. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2011.
[50] T. Kubota. Topological covering of SL(2) over a local field. *J. Math. Soc. Japan*, 19:114–121, 1967.
[51] T. Kubota. *On automorphic functions and the reciprocity law in a number field.* Lectures in Mathematics, Department of Mathematics, Kyoto University, No. 2. Kinokuniya Book-Store Co. Ltd., Tokyo, 1969.
[52] J.-P. Labesse and J.-L. Waldspurger. La formule des traces tordue d'après le Friday Morning Seminar, 2012. arXiv:1204.2888v2 [math.RT], http://arxiv.org/abs/1204.2888.
[53] R. P. Langlands. On the classification of irreducible representations of real algebraic groups. In *Representation theory and harmonic analysis on semisimple Lie groups*, volume 31 of *Math. Surveys Monogr.*, pages 101–170. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1989.
[54] E. Lapid and Z. Mao. A conjecture on Whittaker-Fourier coefficients of cusp forms, 2013. arXiv:1309.3190 [math.NT], http://arxiv.org/pdf/1309.3190v1.pdf.
[55] H. Y. Loke and G. Savin. On minimal representations of Chevalley groups of type D_n, E_n and G_2. *Math. Ann.*, 340(1):195–208, 2008.
[56] H. Y. Loke and G. Savin. Modular forms on non-linear double covers of algebraic groups. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 362(9):4901–4920, 2010.
[57] I. Matić. Levi subgroups of p-adic $\mathrm{Spin}(2n+1)$. *Math. Commun.*, 14(2):223–233, 2009.
[58] H. Matsumoto. Sur les sous-groupes arithmétiques des groupes semi-simples déployés. *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)*, 2(1):1–62, 1969.
[59] P. J. McNamara. Metaplectic Whittaker functions and crystal bases. *Duke Math. J.*, 156(1):1–31, 2011.
[60] P. J. McNamara. Principal series representations of metaplectic groups over local fields. In *Multiple Dirichlet series, L-functions and automorphic forms*, volume 300 of *Progr. Math.*, pages 299–327. Birkhäuser/Springer, New York, 2012.
[61] P. Mezo. Metaplectic tensor products for irreducible representations. *Pacific J. Math.*, 215(1):85–96, 2004.
[62] C. Mœglin and J.-L. Waldspurger. *Spectral decomposition and Eisenstein series*. Cambridge University Press, New York, 1995.
[63] C. C. Moore. Group extensions of p-adic and adelic linear groups. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.*, (35):157–222, 1968.
[64] G. Muić. A geometric construction of intertwining operators for reductive p-adic groups. *Manuscripta Math.*, 125:241–272, 2008.
[65] P. Perrin. Représentations de Schrödinger, indice de Maslov et groupe metaplectique. In *Non Commutative Harmonic Analysis and Lie Groups (Proc. Marseille-Luminy 1980)*, volume 880 of *Lecture Notes in Math.*, pages 370–407. Springer-Verlag, 1981.
[66] D. Prasad. Weil representation, Howe duality, and the theta correspondence. In *Theta functions: from the classical to the modern*, volume 1 of *CRM Proc. Lecture Notes*, pages 105–127. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1993.
[67] R. Rao. On some explicit formulas in the theory of Weil representations. *Pacific J. Math.*, 157(2):335–371, 1993.
[68] J. R. Roskies. *The minimal representation of SO(4,3) over a P-adic field*. Stanford University, California, 1996.
[69] H. Sabourin. Une représentation unipotente associée à l'orbite minimale: le cas de $\mathrm{SO}(4,3)$. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 137(2):394–465, 1996.
[70] G. Savin. An analogue of the Weil representation for G_2. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 434:115–126, 1993.
[71] G. Savin. Dual pair $G_{\mathcal{J}} \times \mathrm{PGL}_2$, $G_{\mathcal{J}}$ is the automorphism group of the Jordan algebra $\mathcal{J}$. *Invent. Math.*, 118(1):141–160, 1994.

[72] A. J. Silberger. *Introduction to harmonic analysis on reductive p-adic groups*. Princeton University Press and University of Tokyo Press, Princeton, New Jersey, 1979.
[73] D. Soudry. *Rankin–Selberg convolutions for $SO_{2l+1} \times GL_n$: local theory*, volume 105, no. 500 of *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R. I., 1993.
[74] D. Soudry. Rankin–Selberg integrals, the descent method, and Langlands functoriality. In *ICM*, pages 1311–1325, Madrid, 2006, 2006. EMS.
[75] R. Steinberg. Générateurs, relations et revêtements de groupes algébriques. In *Colloq. Théorie des Groupes Algébriques (Bruxelles, 1962)*, pages 113–127. Librairie Universitaire, Louvain, 1962.
[76] R. Steinberg. *Lectures on Chevalley groups*. Yale University, New Haven, Conn., 1968. Notes prepared by John Faulkner and Robert Wilson.
[77] H. Sun. Examples of metaplectic tensor products, 1997. preprint, available at http://www.geocities.ws/mathtester/pubs/tensor.pdf, last accessed December 2013.
[78] H. Sun. Remarks on certain metaplectic groups. *Canad. Math. Bull.*, 41(4):488–496, 1998.
[79] D. Szpruch. The Langlands-Shahidi Method for the metaplectic group and applications. Thesis, Tel-Aviv University, Israel., 2010.
[80] S. Takeda. Metaplectic tensor products for automorphic representations of GL(r), 2013. arXiv:1303.2785 [math.RT], http://arxiv.org/abs/1303.2785.
[81] S. Takeda. The twisted symmetric square L-function of GL(r). *Duke Math. J.*, 163(1):175–266, 2014.
[82] P. Torasso. Méthode des orbites de Kirillov-Duflo et représentations minimales des groupes simples sur un corps local de caractéristique nulle. *Duke Math. J.*, 90(2):261–377, 1997.
[83] D. A. Vogan, Jr. Singular unitary representations. In *Noncommutative harmonic analysis and Lie groups (Marseille, 1980)*, volume 880 of *Lecture Notes in Math.*, pages 506–535. Springer, Berlin, 1981.
[84] A. Weil. Sur certains groupes d'opérateurs unitaires. *Acta Math.*, 111(1):143–211, 1964.
[85] A. Weil. *Basic number theory*. Classics in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1995. Reprint of the second (1973) edition.

E-mail address: kaplaney@gmail.com